

Rockingham

MINUTES

Ordinary Meeting of Council

Held on Tuesday 22 July 2025 at 6:00pm City of Rockingham Council Chamber

DISCLAIMER:

Please read the following important disclaimer before proceeding:

Statements or decisions made at this meeting should not be relied or acted on by an applicant or any other person until they have received written notification from the City. Notice of all approvals, including planning and building approvals, will be given to applicants in writing. The City of Rockingham expressly disclaims liability for any loss or damages suffered by a person who relies or acts on statements or decisions made at a Council or Committee meeting before receiving written notification from the City.



where the coast comes to life

City of Rockingham Ordinary Meeting of Council 6:00pm Tuesday 22 July 2025



	CONTENTS	
1.	Declaration of Opening/Announcement of Visitors	4
2.	Record of Attendance/Apologies/Approved Leave of Absence	4
3.	Responses to Previous Public Questions Taken on Notice	5
4.	Public Question Time	11
5.	Applications for Leave of Absence	16
6.	Confirmation of Minutes of the Previous Meeting	16
7.	Matters Arising from Minutes of Previous Meeting	16
8.	Announcement by the Person Presiding without Discussion	16
9.	Declaration of Member's and Officer's Interest	16
10.	Petitions/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions	17
11.	Matters for which the Meeting may be Closed	17
	Chief Executive Officer Performance Review Panel	18
	HR-001/25 Appointment of independent consultant to facilitate the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review for the 2024-2025 period	18
	Corporate and Community Development Committee	20
	GM-012/25 Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments	20
12.	Receipt of Minutes of Standing Committees	22
13.	Recommendations of Committees	22
	Planning and Asset Services Committee	23
	AS-013/25 Tender - T24/25-82 Framework Agreement for the Provision of Plumbing Services	23
	AS-014/25 WALGA Quote WQ25/26-13 - Supply, Delivery and Licencing of Two (2) Tandem Axle Side Loading Refuse Trucks	27
	AS-015/25 WALGA Quote WQ25/26-14 - Supply of One (1) New 30,000 Litre Articulated Water Cart	31
	Corporate and Community Development Committee	35
	GM-013/25 Proposed Local Government Reform – Communications Agreement	35
14.	Receipt of Information Bulletin	41
15.	Report of Mayor	42
	MR-006/25 Meetings and Functions Attended by the Mayor and Deputy Mayor	42

16.	Reports of Council Members	45
17.	Reports of Officers	45
18.	Addendum Agenda	45
19.	Motions of which Previous Notice has been given	45
20.	Notices of Motion for Consideration at the Following Meeting	45
21.	Questions by Members of which Due Notice has been given	45
22.	Urgent Business Approved by the Person Presiding or by Decision of Council	45
23.	Matters Behind Closed Doors	45
24.	Date and Time of Next Meeting	46
25.	Closure	46

City of Rockingham **Ordinary Meeting of Council Minutes** Tuesday 22 July 2025 – Council Chamber



1. **Declaration of Opening**

The Mayor declared the Council meeting open at 6:01pm and welcomed all present.

Acknowledgement of Country

The Mayor noted, with permission from Elders, Ngaala kaaditi Nyoongar moort keyen kaadak nidjar boodjar, I acknowledge the Nyoongar people as the traditional owners of the land.

The City of Rockingham acknowledges the Traditional Owners and Custodians of this land, the Binjareb and Whadjuk Nyoongar peoples and their continuing connection to the land, waters and community. We pay our respects to all members of Aboriginal communities and their cultures; and to Elders past and present.

Recording and Live Streaming of proceedings

In accordance with Council Policy this meeting is being live streamed on the City's website, with the exception of confidential items and periods of adjournment or as determined by the Presiding Member.

By being present at this meeting, members of the public consent to the possibility that their image and voice may be live streamed to the public. Recordings are also made available on the City's website following the meeting.

Please note that clause 8.5 of the City's Standing Orders Local Law 2001 provides that "no person is to use any electronic, visual or audio recording device or instrument to record the proceedings of the Council or a committee without the written permission of the Council".

If anyone breaches this Standing Order they will be asked to leave the Council Chamber. 6:03pm Cr Hudson joined the meeting.

2. Record of Attendance/Apologies/Approved Leave of Absence

2.1 **Council Members**

Mayor Deb Hamblin

Cr Lorna Buchan, Deputy Mayor Comet Bay Ward **Baldivis Ward** Cr Mike Crichton Cr Brett Wormall **Baldivis Ward** Cr Robert Schmidt Comet Bay Ward

Cr Craig Buchanan, JP Rockingham/Safety Bay Ward Cr Peter Hudson (from 6:03pm) Rockingham/Safety Bay Ward Cr Caroline Hume Rockingham/Safety Bay Ward Cr Dawn Jecks Rockingham/Safety Bay Ward Cr Leigh Liley Rockingham/Safety Bay Ward

2.2 **Executive**

Mr Michael Parker Chief Executive Officer Mr Sam Assaad **Director Asset Services** Mr John Pearson **Director Corporate Services** Mr Michael Holland **Director Community Development**

Mr Peter Ricci Director Planning and Development Services

Mr Jim Olynyk, JP Manager Governance and Councillor Support Ms Lauren Townsend Governance Coordinator Ms Sue Langley Governance Officer Ms Jennifer Weinman Governance Officer - Councillor Support/FOI 2.3 **Members of the Gallery:** 2.4 **Apologies:** Cr Kelly Middlecoat **Baldivis Ward** Cr Mark Jones Rockingham/Safety Bay Ward 2.5 **Approved Leave of Absence:** 3. **Responses to Previous Public Questions Taken on Notice**

3.1 Ms Latoya Voogt, Waikiki – AUKUS / EPBC

At the Council meeting held on 24 June 2025, Ms Voogt asked the following questions that were taken on notice. The Director Planning and Development Services provided a response in a letter dated 10 July 2025 as follows:

Question

Town Hall Meeting regarding AUKUS and Nuclear Facilities in the City of Rockingham

1. Has the Mayor or CEO conferred with Councillors regarding the Annual Electors Meeting and the public Town Hall meeting regarding AUKUS and its related nuclear facilities in Rockingham? May I receive an update?

Response

Members of the Perth South West Metropolitan Alliance met with representatives of Australian Submarine Agency on 20 June 2025 and were advised that the Agency is working on the delivery of community consultation and would provide an update on those preparations within three (3) weeks.

Question

The EPBC Approval of the CIF and Submarine Infrastructure Works

2. On pages 4-5 of the EPBC Approval, pertaining to water quality monitors and toxic materials being dredged, could Council request that water quality monitoring be published daily or available in real-time via an app or website?

As stated in Defence's original submission, the toxic chemicals (including asbestos, PFAS, TBT, arsenic and benzene) released during dredging and dredge soil disposal, will cause harm to current and future "recreational and commercial users of Cockburn Sound." That's our children, our families and our community in general. It's really important for the council to oversee ongoing air and water quality monitoring for the safety of residents and the marine ecosystem, including penguins, dolphins and sealions. Beaches will need to be closed at some points and a text messaging service may also reduce harm to people inhaling or swimming in contaminants.

Response

In accordance with conditions outlined in the EPBC Approval (2024/10031), the approval holder must undertake Annual Compliance Reporting. This includes preparing a compliance report that contains accurate and complete details of compliance and any non-compliance with each condition attached to the approval decision, and all commitments made in each Plan that formed part of the application.

This comprises water quality monitoring detailed in Condition 8c (page 4). Condition 46a (page 17) states that each compliance report must be published on the website attributed to the approval holder and made available to the public.

Given that the decision has been issued, there is no ability for third parties to request changes to the approval conditions.

Question

3. Naragebup Environmental Centre: Opportunity for funding and paid workers. The EPBC Approval Document provides an opportunity for research and relocation of the "syngnathids" in the area (pg. 13). This is an opportunity for the Council to secure funding for Naragebup Environment Centre and I would like to request that Council contacts both Defence's CEMP representative and the West Australian Marine Science Institution (WAMSI) Westport Marine Science Program to encourage funding and use of Rockingham facilities, and the inclusion of local schools and/or TAFE in ecological projects.

Response

As an independent entity, the Environment Centre should contact Department of Defence and WAMSI directly with this request.

Question

4. The EPBC Approval also infers that the Approval Holder (Defence), decides on the consequences for any non-compliance of the stated conditions. Furthermore, the Waste to Energy Plant in Rockingham could potentially degrade our air-quality and cause cancers. To add to this, AUKUS Pillar 2 indemnifies the US and UK of any responsibility from any spills, leaks and explosions from their nuclear submarines.

I would like to request that Council creates stronger by-laws to protect our marine environment and the health of our people in The City of Rockingham.

<u>Response</u>

Under the Local Government Act 1995, Local Laws can only apply to the area over which the local authority has jurisdiction. Given that the marine environment is located outside the City of Rockingham district, there is no authority to adopt a Local Law addressing the matter you have raised.

3.2 Mr Terry Sanfead, Karnup – Community Garden and Rockingham Montessori Activities

At the Council meeting held on 24 June 2025, Mr Sanfead asked the following questions that were taken on notice. The Director Planning and Development Services provided a response in a letter dated 2 July 2025 as follows:

Question

1. We've observed a concerning pattern in this application: missing traffic reports, no fire safety documentation, no proof of continued non-conforming use. This land was previously owned by a former Lord Mayor's brother. It is now held by a private school who would clearly benefit from approval of this application. Can this Council provide assurance, backed by documentation, that no historical agreements, favours, or land sale conditions are influencing the outcome of this application?

Response

The application was supported by a Development Application Report, Development Plans, a Bushfire Management Plan and Bushfire Evacuation Plan. The applicant's Development Application Report also included information in support of the continuation of the Reception Centre Non-Conforming Use.

The suggestion that there has been inappropriate decisions based on historical ownership is an allegation which is strenuously refuted. All Development Applications submitted to the City are assessed for compliance with the City's Town Planning Scheme No.2, regardless of land ownership.

Question

2. Have Montessori requested students be allowed at this site? Will it be possible for Montessori to have 150 students and staff on this site on any or all days and teach them. Does this not make this an application for a school?

Response

The Development Application was not submitted for a School. It would not be possible for the Montessori School to conduct classes on this site, as it would be inconsistent with the Development Approval.

As stated in the Development Application Report, the former Reception Centre building will be used to host meetings for community groups or organisations, consistent with the booking of a community hall or similar and has the potential to be used by local Rockingham businesses or organisations to host commercial events or training.

Question

 I am concerned regarding the fire management plan submitted for the current Montessori school application — noting that while Lot 1809 Mandurah Road is not part of this current application, it was included in previous submissions.

In past fire plans for this site and the adjoining Lot 1809, there were serious discrepancies:

- One plan described Lot 1809 as flat and treeless, when it is in fact a steep hill covered with trees.
- Another claimed that the development would have unrestricted access in both directions on Mandurah Road, which is not the case.

I have been informed by the fire officer involved in the prior assessment that the development did not meet WA fire safety regulations and that New South Wales (NSW) regulations were used instead to approve the application.

Given this history of misleading or incorrect fire plan information, can the Council confirm:

- That the current fire management plan (excluding Lot 1809) has been rigorously assessed for compliance with Western Australia's bushfire planning regulations;
- Have steps have been taken to independently verify the accuracy of the site's fire risk characteristics, including topography, vegetation, and emergency access; and
- Have WA regulations and not NSW standards been used in the current approval process?

Response

The City considers that the Bushfire Management Plan and Evacuation Management Plan submitted with the Development Application is compliant with the Western Australian Planning Commission's Planning for Bushfire Protection Guidelines.

Question

4. Did the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) and subsequently the Joint Development Assessment Panel (JDAP) only approve the proposed school on the condition that a range of specific safety measures — particularly those designed to safeguard children would be implemented?

Response

The JDAP approved the previous application for an Education Establishment subject to various traffic safety conditions on advice from Main Roads Western Australia, however, this is not a matter which is relevant to the submitted Development Application for a 'Community Purpose'.

Questions

- 5. Did this conditional approval require that the site provide two access/egress points with entry and exit in both directions on Mandurah Road, accessible at all times to the general public for safety and emergency reasons?
- 6. Was a designate U turn facility south of the site also required for school buses to head north on Mandurah Road for the safety of both the children on these buses and the general public using Mandurah Road?
- 7. Did Main Roads WA only approve the site on the condition that the second driveway be locked and made available exclusively for emergency services resulting in the fire plan not being achievable at all?
- 8. Did Main Roads WA also require, as a condition of approval, that a physical barrier of a specific length be constructed between the northbound and southbound lanes of Mandurah Road for traffic and child safety?

Response

As this is not a matter related to the Development Application for a 'Community Purpose' as determined by Council on 24 June 2025, please refer to the Development Assessment Panel Minutes and Agendas which are available for public viewing on the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage website, or if not available, on written request for archived DAPs agendas and minutes via the DAP secretariat daps @dplh.wa.gov.au

3.3 Ms Kristen Sanfead, Karnup – Community Garden and Rockingham Montessori Activities

At the Council meeting held on 24 June 2025, Ms Sanfead asked the following questions that were taken on notice. The Director Planning and Development Services provided responses dated 2 July 2025 and 17 July 2025 as follows:

Questions

1. Did Main Roads only agree to the school development on the basis that deceleration and acceleration lanes of specified distances be installed to allow buses transporting children to safely enter and exit traffic on Mandurah Road?

Response

The Development Application determined by Council on 24 June 2025 was not for a School, but for a 'Community Purpose' (use of the 'Reception Centre' building and Community Garden).

In light of your questions relating to the Montessori School Development Approval which has expired, it is suggested that you obtain a copy of the Development Assessment Panel Minutes and Agendas which are available for public viewing on the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage website, or if not available, on written request for archived DAPs agendas and minutes via the DAP secretariat daps@dplh.wa.gov.au

Question

2. In light of the above, can this site reasonably be considered safe for school children and other vulnerable members of our community if these safety measures are not fully implemented?

Response

Please refer to the response to question 1 above.

Question

3. How was this mandatory before and not now?

Response

Please refer to the response to question 1 above.

Question

4. Is the Council putting their community at risk? Has the Council considered the potential risk to the community?

Response

The Development Application for a 'Community Purpose' is compliant with the City's Town

Planning Scheme No.2, and the due regard matters included in the Planning and Development

(Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 and not considered to present unacceptable risk.

Question

5. In the previous application by Montessori did the Department of Health stipulate that connection to scheme water and substantial sewage treatment infrastructure were essential for the site to be considered safe and suitable for children?

Response

Please refer to the response to question 1 above.

Question

6. If these safety and health requirements have not been fully met, how can the site be considered safe for children, elderly individuals, or physically and cognitively impaired members of our community?

Response

Please refer to the response to question 4 above.

Question

7. Has the Council considered whether the relevant statutory authorities costly upgrades that require substantial modifications to the site to ensure the safety of children, including fire safety', traffic management, and health infrastructure are being avoided?

Response

Please refer to the response to questions 1 and 4 above.

Question

- 8. By approving this application, will the Council be effectively removing or compromising the safeguards necessary to protect children from foreseeable risks such as:
 - Restricted access and egress that could increase the risk of injury or fatalities in bushfires;
 - Traffic hazards on the busy Mandurah Road due to limited entry and exit points;
 - Safe U turn facilities on busy Mandurah Road
 - Health risks associated with inadequate sewerage and drinking water systems?

Given these potential hazards, will the Council act to protect children by refusing this application — and in doing so, also protect the community and ratepayers from costly litigation arising from negligence linked to approving a development that fails to meet essential safety requirements?

By approving this application, will the Council be effectively removing or compromising the community voice.

Response

The traffic implications associated with the 'Community Purpose' are considered to be acceptable in terms of volume and frequency, noting fewer people will access the site than associated with the 'Reception Centre'

Question

Considering the site's current condition and location, is this site unsafe for children?

Response

There is no evidence to support this claim.

Question

10. Is this site still considered safe when JDAP, SAT, Main Roads, Department of Health, Fire and Emergency Services stipulated it is not without costly infrastructure upgrades?

Response

Please refer to the response to questions 1 and 4 above.

Question

11. Do the Council believe it is doing its due diligence by overlooking this?

Response

Please refer to the response to questions 1 and 4 above.

Question

12. Does the Council consider this site safe for vulnerable and mobility-impaired members of the public?

Response

A Public Building approval is required to be maintained by the owners for the continued use of the 'Reception Centre' building.

Question

13. Does the Council place any effort into protecting vulnerable members of the community when unacceptable risks are ignored by planning consider the responsibility?

Response

In its consideration and determination, the Council was satisfied that there are no unacceptable risks associated with the 'Community Purpose' use.

Question

14. Montessori has claimed that if this application is not approved, the building will have no use. However, is this claim accurate? It is not the building itself that presents the problem, it is the location.

If Development Approval for the 'Community Purpose' was not granted by the Council, the 'Reception Centre' Non-Conforming Use could still be undertaken from the site pursuant to the City's Town Planning Scheme No.2.

3.4 Mr Ray Major, Rockingham – Illegal camping and signage

At the Council meeting held on 24 June 2025, Mr Major asked the following questions that were taken on notice. The Director Planning and Development Services provided a response in a letter dated 30 July 2025 as follows:

Question

 Given no infringements for illegal camping in Rockingham have been issued this financial, is there any intent to enforce the Council bylaw on this matter?

Response

Each identified transgression will continue to be considered based on time, place and circumstances (including the State's housing crisis), noting that infringements are only one means of implementing the Local Law.

Question

When will the no camping stolen signs at Governor Road Reserve be replaced?

Response

The no camping signs will be replaced at the Governor Road Reserve before the end of July 2025.

4. Public Question Time

6:04pm

The Mayor opened Public Question Time and invited members from the Public Gallery to ask questions. The Mayor noted that this was the only opportunity in the meeting for the public to ask questions and that Public Question Time is now being livestreamed as per Council Policy.

4.1 Mr Jason Davies, Hillman will be asking this question on behalf of Ms Jayne Foulger, Baldivis – Recycled paper

The Mayor invited Mr Davies to present the question on behalf of Ms Jayne Foulger to the Council.

Mr Davies asked the following question:

1. Is the glossy paper the Council use for their leaflets made from recycled paper?

If not why not?

If so, why do they use glossy paper, which is more expensive than nonglossy - isn't this a waste of rate-payers money?

The Mayor advised No. The paper is not from recycled material but it can be recycled.

Recycled paper is actually more expensive than the glossy paper stock that the City uses. For certain projects the City will however use recycled paper for example projects that have a sustainability message.

4.2 Mr Clive Arther Galletly, Rockingham - Traffic lights on Read Street / public transport

The Mayor invited Mr Galletly to present his questions to the Council.

Mr Galletly asked the following questions:

 At the last meeting I asked if council would ask about modifying the traffic lights on Read Street to improve traffic flow and to request average speed cameras on Seabrooke Avenue. I was invited to do it myself. I have not had a reply from the police, so again ask if Council is willing to consider asking the police to install average speed cameras on Seabrooke Avenue?

- 2. Is Council willing to consider line markings on Seabrooke Avenue?
- 3. Main Roads responded that they will discuss modifying the Read Street traffic lights with the City of Rockingham during the next scheduled liaison meeting 14 August 2025.

Will Council also please bring up the following difficulties that pedestrians and cyclist have crossing at the roundabout at Read Street / Safety Bay Road / Warnbro Sound Avenue where crossings can be hazardous given it is a 70kph zone and also to discuss the traffic lights at the Ennis Avenue and Patterson Road intersection where it's giving great weight to Ennis Avenue motorists, disadvantaging people with long wait times going north from Patterson Road and on the way back, particularly at off peak times at nights with long waits and no other vehicles in sight.

The Mayor advised that the issue of the traffic lights at Read Street and the meeting scheduled for 14 August with Main Roads WA is noted.

The issue of enforcement is a matter for WA Police. The City will continue to work with them to address locations where traffic data shows a need to prioritise enforcement including the use of average speed cameras. WA Police will determine whether and how they will undertake that enforcement activity.

As previously discussed the new matters relating to your perceptions on the traffic light timing at Patterson Road and Ennis Avenue needs to be referred directly to Main Roads WA who have responsibility for traffic lights.

The new matter relating to cyclists at the Read Street / Safety Bay Road / Warnbro Sound Avenue needs to be investigated noting that it will take up to 8 weeks for an assessment to be completed and a response will be sent by the City once the process is completed.

4. We should be encouraging use of public transport to reduce traffic congestion. However, a number of bus shelters have been removed. My question is why? Bus drivers advise me that most students do not use their smartrider cards or pay, as bus drivers are obliged to take all passengers up to the age of 16, so that Transperth's numbers are completely fictitious.

According to Perth Transport Authority, the average number of boardings per day in Swinstone Street is six (6). The other day I counted 16 students waiting on one side of the road and 15 at the bus stop on the other. Imagine how many more parents would allow their children to take the bus to Rockingham Senior High School if there were large bus shelters to provide shelter from the weather.

So, again, why are bus shelters being removed at a time when we should be encouraging greater use of public transport?

The Mayor noted that she has seen on social media about bus shelters and has asked the Director to provide some answers about why certain ones have been replaced or removed. These matters are under the direct responsibility of the Public Transport Authority. Although officers have referred your enquiry to PTA, you should also refer these observations directly for their consideration and response. The Mayor advised that Officers will respond when they have answers.

4.3 Mr David Rudman, Port Kennedy – COVID vaccines

The Mayor invited Mr Rudman to present his question to the Council.

Mr Rudman asked the following question:

1. DNA levels that are 145 times above the safety limits.

Nine (9) Councils have sent letters to State and Federal Government officials requesting thorough and independent investigations into the DNA levels of the MRNA COVID vaccines.

The Council of Mundaring, WA passed a motion with seven (7) for and one (1) against to send letters to the Premier and the Health Minister urging for the immediate suspension of the use of all COVID 19 'vaccines' in Australia and raising the issue of excess deaths and hospitalisations in WA following the rollouts.

When will Rockingham do the right thing and join the other Councils in their quest for transparency of this all too obvious scandal?

The Mayor advised that similar to the responses provided to your questions asked on 21 December 2021, 25 January 2022, 28 February 2023, 26 November 2024, the City has no role in governing the approval or use of vaccinations. It is not the role of the City to provide health advice or opinion on these matters. The matter of health falls within the realms of the state and federal governments. Your questions, comments and concerns should be addressed to the State or Federal Department of Health.

4.4 Ms T Gibson, Rockingham - Millar Road Landfill / Fruit at work / Gifts / Salaries / CEO KPIs / Code of Conduct / FOGO / Rates notice

The Mayor invited Ms Gibson to present her questions to the Council.

Ms Gibson asked the following questions:

- 1. Has the City started to review and formulate the CEO's KPI's allowing publication to the Cities website?
- 1a. If not, when will this occur?

The CEO advised No, regulations are yet to be enacted in regards to CEO KPIs but we expect that they'll be coming down the line in due course.

- 2. It appears that limited cost saving measures have not been put in place to limit the financial burden on rate payers by the City?
- 2a. Why is this?

The CEO advised that this statement is incorrect. Team Plans are reviewed annually. Streamlining of processes has occurred utilising better technology to help reduce the cost of inspections and the like. Bundling of service contracts has occurred to achieve economies of scale including traffic management contracts, project consultancies and parks management.

2b. Is the reduction of the Cities costs listed as a KPI for the CEO? If not, why not?

The CEO advised that at this stage no they are not. Cost impacts service delivery and service delivery is an important function of the City in meeting community expectations.

3. Has the Mayor, CEO and or City asked and or instructed elected members to vote a certain way prior to the public debate and vote for a Notice of Motion and or Alternation Notice of Motion in chambers?

The CEO advised that the City and CEO do not instruct Council Members how to vote, they merely make recommendations and the decision making is a democratic process.

- 4. Can the City advise if the Code of Conduct is reviewed and signed yearly by elected members and staff members?
- 4a. If not, why not?

The CEO advised that after each election Council Members make a declaration to abide by the Code of Conduct, so that's every two (2) years after their election. The Code of Conduct is referred to in contracts of employment and included in staff inductions and orientations and referred to in mandatory workplace behaviour training which is refreshed every two (2) years.

5. Why is FOGO not being rolled out to local business who will generate more food waste than the common resident?

The CEO advised that FOGO is not able to be supported for non-residential properties at this time. Each non-residential property is different with different circumstances and many non-residential waste services are provided by private operators other than the City of Rockingham.

6. Have all residents been charged for caddies and bin liners?

The CEO advised No. They've all been supplied to residents as part of the rollout.

7. Does the City view the rates notice as a tax invoice?

The CEO advised No, it's a rates notice under the Local Government Act.

- 8. Can the CEO please advise of the status of the Millar Road Tip Investigation?
- 8a. If not why not?

The CEO advised that a preliminary site investigation process in underway and ongoing and Council Members are aware of the status.

8b. Would a FOI request be declined if put forward for the Millar Road Tip Investigation?

The CEO advised that all Freedom of Information applications are dealt with in accordance with relevant legislation. In the absence of an application we are unable to answer the specific question.

9. When will the Rockingham Air Quality Monitoring Systems be placed on the urgent advocacy list?

The CEO advised that the City responded to you on 7 July 2025 in respect to this matter which is not currently an advocacy position of the City.

10. Has the Fruit at Work programme ceased?

The CEO advised Yes.

10a. When did it cease?

The CEO advised that the Program has not been utilised in 2025

10b. Was this reported back to the rate payer who raised this as a concern during PQT some time back?

The CEO advised No.

11. When an issue and or concern is reported by a public member, in regards to not declaring election gifts and or gifts how is this reported?

The CEO advised that the complaint would be provided to the Department of Local Government or relevant authority to assess and determine an outcome in accordance with relevant legislation.

11a. Can a public member state on Facebook and infer that an elected member has not declared a gift or gifts if they have simply reported it up the food chain and the departments know?

The CEO advised that the City is not responsible for private commentary on Facebook so cannot comment any further on that.

12. While it may seem contradictory, outsourcing can sometimes be more costeffective in the short term, however over-reliance on outsourcing can become more expensive over time

The CEO noted the statement.

13. The wages estimated for this financial year, has vastly increased more so than the last two (2) financial years.

Can the CEO please explain why the increase considering the rate of pay is determined by SAT, State Wage Cases etc, which has not increased their figures by what has been estimated and forecasted by the City in 2025-2026?

The CEO advised that the City is subject to Enterprise Bargaining Agreements, the softer labour market is enabling vacancies to be filled that had previously been difficult to fill in past years. Our rapidly increasing population has required additional staff resources to service the expanding demand for services and infrastructure for our community.

4.5 Mr Sunny Miller, Safety Bay – Proposed Garden Island Highway

The Mayor invited Mr Miller to present his questions to the Council.

Mr Miller asked the following questions:

We congratulate the City advocating to "address traffic congestion" resulting from Morrison's dodgy backroom scheme to give away our money and defence to a foreign power but we object to the City advocating for the environmental vandalism of the Garden Island Highway.

- 1. Why is the City sitting on its hands and crying for the Garden Island Highway. Have you explored cheaper alternatives?
- 2. What has the City done to address flaws in its transport study before advocating for the Garden Island Highway?
- 3. Has the City not checked the traffic situation some weeks ago when between 6.30 and 8am we observed heavy traffic up to 45 vehicles per minute all flowing around 60kph with no jams at all? But what might happen?
- 4. How often does Navy's shuttle bus travel at the moment if at all?
- Why has the City not advocated with Stirling to have a shuttle bus at rush hours? We suggest such a bus should allow non-uniformed workers on board
- 6. It would be good if the City advocated with Stirling for faster arrangements to deal with situations when drivers have no ID. The alternative has been that traffic is held up while the driver's ID is shown.
- 7. Has the City done anything to get a roundabout at Safety Bay Road and Parkin Street?
- 8. Has the City sought to get Navy to address the situation of a breakdown on the Causeway that might hold up traffic?
- 9. Why were only local business owners and officials invited to attend the recent Conference further alienating the public who have not been consulted?

The Mayor took the questions on notice and noted that following the US delegation the City spoke to the Navy about busing people over and a lot of those questions have been addressed by Navy and by State and Federal Government.

6:27pm There being no further questions the Mayor closed Public Question Time.

5.	Applic	Applications for Leave of Absence			
	2025 an	Middlecoat requested lead 13 to 21 September 202			
			oplication by Cr Middlecoat for leave of absence for the 2025 and 13 to 21 September 2025 inclusive.		
			Carried – 10/0		
6.	Confir	mation of Minutes of the Previous Meeting			
	Moved (Cr Jecks, seconded Cr C	Crichton:		
		uncil CONFIRMS the Mis a true and accurate reco	nutes of the Ordinary Council meeting held on 24 June rd.		
			Carried – 10/0		
7.	Matter	s Arising from Minu	tes of Previous Meeting		
	Nil				
8.	Annou	ncement by the Per	son Presiding without Discussion		
	6:28pm	Council are recommend	to all present that decisions made at Committees of dations only and may be adopted in full, amended or d for consideration at the Council meeting.		
9.	Declar	ations of Members a	ations of Members and Officers Interests		
	6:29pm	The Chief Executive Officer noted the following declarations of interests.			
	9.1	Item HR-001/25	Appointment of independent consultant to facilitate the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review for the 2024-2025 period		
		Officer:	Mr Michael Parker, Chief Executive Officer		
		Type of Interest:	Financial		
		Nature of Interest:	The appointment of a consultant is preparatory to undertaking an annual review of performance as required under the CEO's contract of employment. Noting that Clause 16 of Schedule 2 of the <i>Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996</i> requires the performance review process to be agreed between the local government and the CEO.		
		Extent of Interest:	Not Applicable		
	9.2	Item GM-012/25	Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments		
		Officer:	Mr Michael Holland, Director Community Development		
		Type of Interest:	Impartiality		
		Nature of Interest:	A family member has nominated for the Global Relationship Advisory Group. Mr Holland declares that he will deal with the matter on its merits if required to provide advice.		
		Extent of Interest:	Not Applicable		

	9.3	Item GM-012/25	Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments	
		Council Member:	Cr Caroline Hume	
		Type of Interest:	Impartiality	
		Nature of Interest:	Several of the nominees are known to Cr Hume personally. Cr Hume declares that she will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.	
		Extent of Interest:	Not Applicable	
	9.4	Item GM-012/25	Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments	
		Council Member:	Cr Leigh Liley	
		Type of Interest:	Impartiality	
		Nature of Interest:	Cr Liley has friendships with a number of the nominees. Cr Liley declares that she will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.	
		Extent of Interest:	Not Applicable	
	9.5	Item GM-012/25	Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments	
		Council Member:	Cr Robert Schmidt	
		Type of Interest:	Impartiality	
		Nature of Interest:	Multiple applicants are known to Cr Schmidt. Cr Schmidt declares that he will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.	
		Extent of Interest:	Not Applicable	
	9.6	Item GM-012/25	Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments	
		Council Member:	Mayor Deb Hamblin	
		Type of Interest:	Impartiality	
		Nature of Interest:	Several of the nominees are known personally to the Mayor. Mayor Hamblin declares that he will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.	
		Extent of Interest:	Not Applicable	
10.	Petitio	ns/Deputations/Pres	sentations/Submissions	
	Nil			
11.	Matter	s for which the Meet	ting may be closed	
	6:31pm		n accordance with section 5.23(2)(a), (b) and (c) of the ct 1995 – if there are any questions or debate on	
		HR-001/25 Appointment of independent consultant to facilitate the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review for the 2024-2025 period		
			elationship Advisory Group Appointments	
		then the Council will n 23 - Matters Behind Cl	eed to defer the matter for consideration at Agenda Item osed Doors.	
		There were no question	ns or request for debate.	

Page 18

Chief Executive Officer Performance Review Panel

Mr Michael Parker, Chief Executive Officer declared a Financial interest in Item 7.1 Appointment of independent consultant to facilitate the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review for the 2024-2025 period. Clause 16 of Schedule 2 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 requires the performance review process to be agreed between the local government and the CEO (refer to Item 9 for specific details).

6:32pm Mr Michael Parker, Chief Executive Officer left the meeting.

CONFIDENTIAL ITEM

Section 5.95(3) Local Government Act 1995 (Act)
This item may be discussed behind closed doors as per
Section 5.23(2)(a) and (c) of the Act

Chief Executive Officer Performance Review Panel



Report number / title: HR-001/25 Appointment of independent consultant to

facilitate the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review for the 2024-2025

period

File number: PERS/PAR-M/2215

Proponent/s:

Author: Ms Helen Redmond, Manager Human Resource Development

Other Contributor/s:

Date of Panel meeting: 21 July 2025

Previously before Council:

Disclosure of Interest: Mr Michael Parker, Chief Executive Officer declared a Financial

Interest in Item HR-001/25 Appointment of independent consultant to facilitate the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review for the 2024-2025 period, as per Sections 5.60A and 5.65 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, as the appointment of a consultant is preparatory to undertaking an annual review of performance as required under the CEO's contract of employment. Noting that Clause 16 of Schedule 2 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 requires the performance review process to be agreed between the local

government and the CEO.

Nature of Council's role: Executive

Attachments: 1. Confidential Attachment – Price Consulting

2. Confidential Attachment - Minutes of the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review Panel meeting held 21 July

2025

Maps/Diagrams:

Site:

Lot Area:

Page 19

Purpose of Report

To consider the appointment of a suitable consultant to undertake the Chief Executive Officer (**CEO**) performance review for the 2024-2025 period.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council **APPOINTS** Price Consulting as the independent reviewer for the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review in 2024-2025 period, as per Confidential Attachment 1.

CEO Performance Review Panel Recommendation

That Council **APPOINTS** Price Consulting as the independent reviewer for the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review in 2024-2025 period, as per Confidential Attachment 1.

Panel Voting - 4/0

The Panel's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Implications of the Changes to the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Council Resolution

Moved Cr Schmidt, seconded Cr Hudson:

That Council **APPOINTS** Price Consulting as the independent reviewer for the Chief Executive Officer Performance Review in 2024-2025 period, as per Confidential Attachment 1.

Carried - 10/0

The Council's Reason for Varying the Committee's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Corporate and Community Development Committee

Mayor Hamblin, Crs Hume, Liley, Schmidt and Mr Michael Holland, Director Community Development declared an impartiality interest in item GM-012/25 Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments. Crs Hume, Liley and Schmidt will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly. Mr Holland will deal with the matter on its merits if required to provide advice (refer to Item 9 for specific details).

CONFIDENTIAL ITEM

Section 5.95(3) Local Government Act 1995 (Act)
This item may be discussed behind closed doors as per
Section 5.23(2)(b) of the Act

General Management Services Governance and Councillor Support



Report number / title: GM-012/25 Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments

File number: ECD/74

Proponent/s:

Author: Ms Lauren Townsend, Governance Coordinator

Other Contributor/s: Mr Jim Olynyk, Manager Governance and Councillor Support

Date of Committee meeting: | 15 July 2025

Previously before Council: 22 April 2025 (GM-006/25) 25 June 2025 (GM-010/25)

Disclosure of Interest: Mr Michael Holland, Director Community De

Mr Michael Holland, Director Community Development declared an Impartiality Interest in Item GM-012/25 Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments, as detailed in Clause 4.19 of the City's Code of Conduct and as per section 5.70 of the Local Government Act 1995, as Mr Holland's relative has nominated for a community member position on the Global Relationship Advisory Group. Mr Holland declares that he will deal with the matter on its merits if required to provide advice.

Cr Caroline Hume declared an Impartiality Interest in Item GM-012/25 Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments, as detailed in Regulation 22 of the *Local Government (Model Code of Conduct) Regulations 2021* and as per section 5.65 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, as several of the nominees are known to Cr Hume. Cr Hume declares that she will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.

Cr Leigh Liley declared an Impartiality Interest in Item GM-012/25 Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments, as detailed in Regulation 22 of the *Local Government (Model Code of Conduct) Regulations 2021* and as per section 5.65 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, as Cr Liley has friendships with a number of the nominees. Cr Liley declares that she will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.

Page 21

Disclosure of Interest: (cont.)

Cr Robert Schmidt declared an Impartiality Interest in Item GM-012/25 Global Relationship Advisory Group Appointments, as detailed in Regulation 22 of the *Local Government (Model Code of Conduct) Regulations 2021* and as per section 5.65 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, as multiple applicants are known to Cr Schmidt. Cr Schmidt declares that he will deal with the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.

Nature of Council's role:

Executive

Attachments:

 Confidential – Global Relationship Advisory Group Community Representation Nominations 2025

Maps/Diagrams:

Site:

Lot Area:

Purpose of Report

For Council to consider appointments for community member representatives to the Global Relationship Advisory Group.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council **APPOINTS** the six (6) nominated community member representatives to the Global Relationship Advisory Group, as detailed in the Comments section of the confidential report, for a term expiring on 30 September 2026.

Committee Recommendation

That Council **APPOINTS** the six (6) nominated community member representatives to the Global Relationship Advisory Group, as detailed in the Comments section of the confidential report, for a term expiring on 30 September 2026.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Council Resolution

Moved Cr Hudson, seconded Cr Hume:

That Council **APPOINTS** the six (6) nominated community member representatives to the Global Relationship Advisory Group, as detailed in the Comments section of the confidential report, for a term expiring on 30 September 2026.

Carried - 10/0

The Council's Reason for Varying the Committee's Recommendation

Not Applicable

6:33pm Mr Michael Parker, Chief Executive Officer rejoined the meeting.

12.	Receipt of Minutes of Committees			
	Moved Cr Schmidt, seconded Cr Liley:			
	That Council RECEIVES and NOTES the minutes of the:			
	Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on 14 July 2025;			
	Corporate and Community Development Committee meeting held on 15 July 2025; and			
	Chief Executive Officer Performance Review Panel meeting held on 21 July 2025.			
	Carried – 10/0			
13.	Recommendations of Committees			
	Withdrawn Items			
	There were no report items withdrawn for discussion.			

PAGE 23

Planning and Asset Services Committee

Moved Deputy Mayor Buchan, seconded Cr Jecks:

That the Committee Recommendations for Items AS-013/25, AS-014/25 and AS-015/25 be adopted en bloc

Carried - 10/0

Asset Services Operations and Fleet Services



Report number / title: AS-013/25 Tender - T24/25-82 Framework Agreement for the Provision of Plumbing Services

File number: T24/25-82

Applicant:

Owner:

Author: Mr Tony Bailey, Manager Operations and Fleet Services

Other Contributors: Miss Astrid Grov, Contracts Officer

Date of Committee meeting: 14 July 2025

Previously before Council:

Disclosure of Interest:

Nature of Council's role: Executive

Attachments:

Maps / Diagrams:

Site:

Lot Area:

LA Zoning:

MRS Zoning:

Purpose of Report

To provide Council with details of the Tender submissions received for Tender T24/25-82 - Framework Agreement for the Provision of Plumbing Services, document the results of the Tender assessment and make recommendations regarding award of the contract.

Background

Tender T24/25-82 was advertised in the West Australian on Saturday, 12 April 2025. The Tender closed at 2.00pm, Wednesday, 7 May 2025 and was publicly opened immediately after closing.

PAGE 24

Details

The scope of works for this contract includes, but is not limited to, the installation, repair and maintenance of the following items associated with City of Rockingham (**City**) managed buildings and park infrastructure:

- Toilets and showers;
- Drink fountains;
- Hot water units;
- Grease traps;
- Preventative backflow devices; and
- Sinks and taps.

The period of the contract shall be from the date of award for 60 months.

A panel as approved by the Director Asset Services in compliance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, undertook Tender evaluations.

Evaluation of the Tender, in accordance with the advertised Tender assessment criteria, produced the following weighted scores:

Tenderer	Level of Service	Understanding Tender Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score	Rank
	35 Pts	35 Pts	30 Pts	100 Pts	
Techworks Plumbing Pty Ltd	31.3	30.3	29.5	91.1	1
Ace Plus	30.0	29.0	28.3	87.3	2
Maxey Plumbing Pty Ltd	27.7	25.3	29.9	82.9	3
PCB Plumbing and Gas Pty Ltd	25.8	23.7	28.5	78.0	4
Link Plumbing and Gas Services	25.0	22.3	30	77.3	5
Majestic Plumbing Pty Ltd	27.0	27.5	18.1	72.6	6
Warlitj Pty Ltd	16.7	21.3	23.9	61.9	7

The Contractor will be entitled to a price variation of the Contract rate every 12 months from the date of award. Such a price variation will be calculated in accordance with the variation in the Consumer Price Index for Perth Western Australia for all groups for the 12 months preceding the last completed CPI quarter as at the date the price variation is due.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

Not Applicable

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Not Applicable

c. Strategic

Community Plan

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2023-2033:

Aspiration: 3. Built Environment - A built environment carefully planned for today and tomorrow

Outcome/Objective: Built infrastructure meets current and future community needs -

Plan build and maintain current and future assets

d. Policy

In accordance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, for purchases above \$250,000, a public Tender process is to be conducted in accordance with the provision of section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995*; and *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11A(1).

e. Financial

Expenditure will be in accordance with the approved Budget and Business Plan. Annualised expenditure in the initial 12 months under the contract is estimated to be \$600,000. Pricing was very competitive as detailed in the assessment table and also when the existing contract is considered.

f. Legal and Statutory

In accordance with section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11(1).

Tenders are to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division before a local government enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250,000 unless sub regulation (2) states otherwise.

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks.

Customer Service / Program/Project management / Natural Environment: High and Extreme Risks Financial / Health and Safety: Medium, High and Extreme Risks

Nil

Comments

Following analysis of the submissions received, and in accordance with the Tender assessment criteria, it was determined that two (2) of the submissions, On Tap Services Pty Ltd and Commercial Property Maintenance WA did not complete the Request for Tender form correctly or completely and therefore are deemed non-conforming.

In addition to price, Tenderers were required to supply qualitative information addressing level of service and understanding of Tender requirements including, organisational structure, demonstrated relevant industry experience, available resources, qualified personnel, methodology for undertaking works and quality accreditation systems.

Techworks Plumbing Pty Ltd scored the highest in level of service, understanding of Tender requirements and provided very competitive pricing resulting in the highest overall assessment score.

Following consideration of the submissions in accordance with the Tender assessment criteria, Techworks Plumbing Pty Ltd represents best value to the City and is therefore recommended as the preferred Tenderer.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

PAGE 26

Officer Recommendation

That Council **ACCEPTS** the Tender submitted from Techworks Plumbing Pty Ltd, 9 Mumford Place, Balcatta WA 6021 for Tender T24/25-82 - Framework Agreement for the Provision of Plumbing Services in accordance with the Tender documentation from the date of Award for a period of 60 months.

Committee Recommendation

That Council *ACCEPTS* the Tender submitted from Techworks Plumbing Pty Ltd, 9 Mumford Place, Balcatta WA 6021 for Tender T24/25-82 - Framework Agreement for the Provision of Plumbing Services in accordance with the Tender documentation from the date of Award for a period of 60 months.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Council Resolution

That Council **ACCEPTS** the Tender submitted from Techworks Plumbing Pty Ltd, 9 Mumford Place, Balcatta WA 6021 for Tender T24/25-82 - Framework Agreement for the Provision of Plumbing Services in accordance with the Tender documentation from the date of Award for a period of 60 months.

Carried en bloc

The Council's Reason for Varying the Committee's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Asset Services Operations and Fleet Services



Report number / title: AS-014/25 WALGA Quote WQ25/26-13 - Supply, Delivery and Licencing of Two (2) Tandem

Axle Side Loading Refuse Trucks

File number: WQ25/26-13

Proponent/s

Author: Mr Tony Bailey, Manager Operations and Fleet Services

Other Contributors: Mr James Fawcett, Acting Fleet Management Supervisor

Date of Committee Meeting: 14 July 2025

Previously before Council:

Disclosure of Interest:

Nature of Council's Role: E

Attachments:

Maps/Diagrams:

Site:

Lot Area:

LA Zoning:

MRS Zoning:

Executive

Purpose of Report

To provide Council with details of the submissions received for WQ25/26-13 - Supply, Delivery and Licencing of Two (2) Tandem Axle Side Loading Refuse Trucks, document the results of the quote assessment and make recommendations regarding award of the quote.

Background

Quote WQ25/26-13 was sent out via the WALGA eQuote system to a panel of preferred suppliers on Wednesday, 2 April 2025. Quotes closed at 2.00pm Wednesday, 30 April 2025.

Details

The scope of works was the supply, delivery and licencing of two (2) tandem axle side loading refuse trucks. Separable portions were included to allow two (2) different waste compactor bodies to be fitted to the trucks.

A panel as approved by the Director Asset Services in compliance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, undertook evaluations.

Evaluation of submissions received in accordance with the advertised quote assessment criteria, produced the following weighted scores:

Quoter	Level of Service	Performance and Experience of Supplier	Price Considerations	Total Score	Rank
	24 Pts	40 Pts	36 Pts	100 Pts	
Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd Separable Portion 2 - Superior Pak body	15	36	35.9	86.9	1
Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd Separable Portion 1 - Bucher body	15	35	34.2	84.2	2
AV Truck Services Pty Ltd Separable Portion 2 - Superior Pak body	11	18	36	65	3
AV Truck Services Pty Ltd Separable Portion 1 - Bucher body	11	17	34.5	62.5	4

This is a lump sum contract which does not allow for a price variation due to rise and fall or a variation in the Consumer Price Index for Perth Western Australia.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Nil

c. Strategic

Community Plan

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2023-2033:

Aspiration: 5. Leadership Aspiration - Transparent and accountable leadership and governance

Outcome/Objective: Quality Leadership - Ensure accountable and transparent governance

d. Policy

In accordance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, for purchases above \$250,000, a public tender process is not required to be conducted where the supply of goods or services is to be obtained through the WALGA Preferred Supplier Program in accordance with the provision of section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995*; and *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11(2)(b).

e. Financial

The recommended submission of \$1,093,024 is in accordance with the approved Budget.

PAGE 29

f. Legal and Statutory

In accordance with section 3.57 of the Local Government Act 1995 and Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11(1):

Tenders are to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division before a local government enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250,000 unless sub regulation (2) states otherwise.

In accordance with the *Local Government (Functions & General) Regulations 1996*, Part 4 – division 2 Section 11 subsection (2)(b),.

Sub regulation (2) - 'Tenders do not have to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division if –

Sub regulation (2)(b) the supply of the goods or services is to be obtained through the Council Purchasing Service of Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA).

Therefore, a tender exemption applies to WALGA's Preferred Supply Contracts, and local governments are not required to go to public tender when purchasing from WALGA's arrangement, irrespective of contract value or length.

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks.

Customer Service / Program/Project management / Natural Environment: High and Extreme Risks Financial / Health and Safety: Medium, High and Extreme Risks

Nil

Comments

Submissions were received from two (2) companies being Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd and AV Truck Services Pty Ltd, both with separable portions for the waste compactor body quoted by Bucher Municipal and Superior Pak. Given the completed product involves a truck chassis and specialised water compactor, delivery timeframes for these assets typically range between 9-18 months.

The suitability of the trucks including mechanical, operator and safety assessment, price and availability of spare parts, servicing requirements, environmental performance and other criteria were assessed. Following consideration of the submissions in accordance with the quote criteria, Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd and AV Truck Services Pty Ltd demonstrated the capability, capacity, resources and personnel to complete the works with varying levels of competency which is reflected in the assessment scores.

In addition to supply and delivery, the quote documents also requested pricing options for servicing and maintaining the two (2) trucks. The intent was to assess whether these options would be beneficial to the City of Rockingham (**City**). There was insufficient information to assess these options, so the trucks will be maintained in accordance with the existing arrangement at the City where different suppliers can be used depending on the nature of the work required.

The Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd Volvo FE320 - Auto Dual Control truck fitted with the Superior Pak body as Separable Portion 2 rated the highest in the assessment process and is considered the best value to the City and therefore recommended as the preferred quoter.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council *ACCEPTS* the quote submitted from Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd, 31 Miguel Road, Bibra Lake WA 6163 for WALGA Quote WQ25/26-13 - Supply, Delivery and Licencing of Two (2) Tandem Axle Side Loading Refuse Trucks, Separable Portion 2 in accordance with the quote documentation for the supply of two (2) Volvo FE320 Auto Dual Control trucks fitted with the Superior Pak body for the lump sum value of \$1,093,024.

PAGE 30

Committee Recommendation

That Council *ACCEPTS* the quote submitted from Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd, 31 Miguel Road, Bibra Lake WA 6163 for WALGA Quote WQ25/26-13 - Supply, Delivery and Licencing of Two (2) Tandem Axle Side Loading Refuse Trucks, Separable Portion 2 in accordance with the quote documentation for the supply of two (2) Volvo FE320 Auto Dual Control trucks fitted with the Superior Pak body for the lump sum value of \$1,093,024.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Council Resolution

That Council *ACCEPTS* the quote submitted from Truck Centre WA Pty Ltd, 31 Miguel Road, Bibra Lake WA 6163 for WALGA Quote WQ25/26-13 - Supply, Delivery and Licencing of Two (2) Tandem Axle Side Loading Refuse Trucks, Separable Portion 2 in accordance with the quote documentation for the supply of two (2) Volvo FE320 Auto Dual Control trucks fitted with the Superior Pak body for the lump sum value of \$1,093,024.

Carried en bloc

The Council's Reason for Varying the Committee's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Asset Services Operations and Fleet Services



Report number / title: AS-015/25 WALGA Quote WQ25/26-14 - Supply of One (1) New 30,000 Litre Articulated

Water Cart

File number: WQ25/26-14

Proponent/s

Author: Mr Tony Bailey, Manager Operations and Fleet Services

Other Contributors: Mr James Fawcett, Acting Fleet Management Supervisor

Date of Committee Meeting: 14 July 2025

Previously before Council:

Disclosure of Interest:

Nature of Council's Role:

Attachments:

Maps/Diagrams:

Site:

Lot Area:

LA Zoning:

MRS Zoning:

Executive

Purpose of Report

To provide Council with details of the submissions received for WQ25/26-14 - Supply and Delivery of One (1) New 30,000 Litre Articulated Water Cart, document the results of the quote assessment and make recommendations regarding award of the quote.

Background

Quote WQ25/26-14 was sent out via the WALGA eQuote system to a panel of preferred suppliers on Tuesday, 13 May 2025. Quotes closed at 2.00pm, Wednesday, 11 June 2025 and were publicly opened immediately after the closing time.

Details

A panel as approved by the Director Asset Services in compliance with Executive Standard -Purchasing of Goods and Services, undertook evaluations.

Evaluation of submissions received, in accordance with the advertised quote assessment criteria, produced the following weighted scores:

Quoter	Level of Service	Performance and Experience of Supplier	Price Considerations	Total Score	Rank
	24 Pts	40 Pts	36 Pts	100 Pts	
CJD Equipment Pty Ltd	20	40	30.8	90.8	1
Hitachi Construction Machinery (Australia) Pty Ltd	15	37	36	88	2

The quote assessment requires that Local Content is considered with the following outcome:

Overter	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Donk
Quoter	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	Rank
CJD Equipment Pty Ltd	90.8	0	90.8	1
Hitachi Construction Machinery (Australia) Pty Ltd	88	1.5	89.5	2

This is a lump sum contract which does not allow for a price variation due to rise and fall or a variation in the Consumer Price Index for Perth Western Australia.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Nil

c. Strategic

Community Plan

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2023-2033:

Aspiration: 5. Leadership Aspiration - Transparent and accountable leadership and governance

Outcome/Objective: Quality Leadership - Ensure accountable and transparent governance

d. Policy

In accordance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, for purchases above \$250,000, a public tender process is not required to be conducted where the supply of goods or services is to be obtained through the WALGA Preferred Supplier Program in accordance with the provision of section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995*; and *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11(2)(b).

In accordance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, Local Content was assessed as part of the quote assessment

e. Financial

The recommended submission of \$1,070,000 is in accordance with the approved Budget.

f. Legal and Statutory

In accordance with section 3.57 of the Local Government Act 1995 and Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11(1).

'Tenders are to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division before a local government enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250,000 unless sub regulation (2) states otherwise'.

In accordance with the Local Government (Functions & General) Regulations 1996, Part 4 - division 2 Section 11 subsection (2)(b).

Sub regulation (2) - 'Tenders do not have to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division if -

Sub regulation (2)(b) the supply of the goods or services is to be obtained through the Council Purchasing Service of Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA).

Therefore, a tender exemption applies to WALGA's Preferred Supply Contracts, and local governments are not required to go to public tender when purchasing from WALGA's arrangement, irrespective of contract value or length.

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks.

Customer Service / Program/Project management / Natural Environment: High and Extreme Risks Financial / Health and Safety: Medium, High and Extreme Risks

Nil

Comments

Submissions were received from four (4) suppliers being CJD Equipment Pty Ltd, Hitachi Construction Machinery (Australia) Pty Ltd, Porter Equipment Australia Pty Ltd, and AFGRI Equipment Australia Pty Ltd. Following analysis of the submissions received, and in accordance with the quote assessment criteria, it was determined that two (2) of the submissions, Porter Equipment Australia Pty Ltd and AFGRI Equipment Australia Pty did not complete the Request for Quote form correctly or completely and therefore were deemed non-conforming in accordance with the Request for Quote documentation.

The suitability of the water cart including mechanical, operator and safety assessment, price and availability of spare parts, servicing requirements, environmental performance and other criteria were assessed. Following consideration of the submissions in accordance with the quote criteria, CJD Equipment Pty Ltd and Hitachi Construction Machinery (Australia) Pty Ltd demonstrated the capability, capacity, resources and personnel to complete the works with varying levels of competency which is reflected in the assessment scores. The quote criteria and inclusions for the water cart are commensurate with the harsh operating environment and need for safe working conditions for operators and mechanical servicing and repair personnel.

The CJD Equipment Pty Ltd Volvo A40J water cart comes with a five (5) year, 6,000 hour warrantee as compared with a one (1) year 1,500 hour warrantee offered by Hitachi Construction Machinery (Australia) Pty Ltd. The CJD Equipment Pty Ltd Volvo A40J water cart also performed very well in meeting specification requirements and the mechanical, operator and safety assessment and it scored highest in the assessment process overall. The CJD Equipment Pty Ltd Volvo A40J water cart is therefore considered the best value to the City of Rockingham and is recommended as the preferred quoter.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

PAGE 34

Officer Recommendation

That Council **ACCEPTS** the quote submitted from CJD Equipment Pty Ltd, 52 Great Eastern Highway, South Guildford WA 6055 for WALGA Quote WQ25/26-14 - Supply and Delivery of One (1) New 30,000 Litre Articulated Water Cart in accordance with the quote documentation for the supply of one (1) new 30,000 litre articulated water cart for the lump sum value of \$1,070,000.

Committee Recommendation

That Council **ACCEPTS** the quote submitted from CJD Equipment Pty Ltd, 52 Great Eastern Highway, South Guildford WA 6055 for WALGA Quote WQ25/26-14 - Supply and Delivery of One (1) New 30,000 Litre Articulated Water Cart in accordance with the quote documentation for the supply of one (1) new 30,000 litre articulated water cart for the lump sum value of \$1,070,000.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Council Resolution

That Council *ACCEPTS* the quote submitted from CJD Equipment Pty Ltd, 52 Great Eastern Highway, South Guildford WA 6055 for WALGA Quote WQ25/26-14 - Supply and Delivery of One (1) New 30,000 Litre Articulated Water Cart in accordance with the quote documentation for the supply of one (1) new 30,000 litre articulated water cart for the lump sum value of \$1,070,000.

Carried en bloc

The Council's Reason for Varying the Committee's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Corporate and Community Development Committee

General Management Services Governance and Councillor Support



Report number / title: GM-013/25 Proposed Local Government Reform - Communications Agreement

File number: GOV/96

Proponent/s:

Author: Ms Lauren Townsend, Governance Coordinator

Other Contributor/s: Mr Jim Olynyk, Manager Governance and Councillor Support

Mr Michael Parker, Chief Executive Officer

Date of Committee meeting: | 15 July 2025

Previously before Council:

Disclosure of Interest:

Nature of Council's role:

Attachments:

Maps / Diagrams:

Site:

Lot Area:

Executive

 City of Rockingham Submission on Local Government Reform – Communications Agreement

Purpose of Report

For Council to endorse the City of Rockingham (**City**) submission to the Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety (**DLGIRS**) on the Communications Agreement Consultation Paper.

Background

The Local Government Amendment Act 2023 (2023 Amendment Act) was passed by Parliament in May 2023. One of the reforms yet to be implemented is the requirement for a communications agreement between the Council and the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of a local government.

DLGIRS has released a consultation paper seeking feedback on the proposed regulations and default communications agreement (**order**). Submissions are invited and close on 22 August 2025.

Both the Western Australian Local Government Association (**WALGA**) and the Local Government Professionals WA (**LG Pro**) have provided their initial responses to the proposed reforms.

Details

The communications agreement is intended to function as a fundamental governance instrument within each local government to set out minimum expectations for formal communications between council members and employees of the local government.

New sections 5.92A – 5.92C inserted by the 2023 Amendment Act provide that:

- each local government must have a communications agreement which deals with the matters required by the Local Government Act 1995 and regulations
- a local government may adopt a communications agreement by the council and the CEO both agreeing to its terms
- if a local government does not adopt or is unable to adopt a communications agreement, the default communications agreement set out in a ministerial order applies.

The draft Local Government Regulations Amendment Regulations 2025 (**draft regulations**) seek to address minimum requirements for and enforcement of communications agreements.

The draft regulations propose a communications agreement must address:

- how council members and committee members can make requests for information and administrative assistance
- the time within which a response to a request for information or administrative assistance must be given
- the way in which information must be provided in response to a request for information or administrative assistance
- · a dispute resolution process
- which local government employees, council members and committee members may communicate or have dealings with relating to requests for information and administrative matters.

The draft regulations clarify that the communications agreement does not apply to:

- · deliberations at a council or committee meeting
- the process that needs to be undertaken for the recruitment, performance review or employment termination of the CEO.

Other pertinent points of the draft regulations include:

- Council members and committee members will ensure that they only request information that is relevant to their functions under the Act or any other written law.
- Amendments are required to ensure the employee code of conduct requires a local government employee to comply with the communications agreement
- The commissioner may request information or assistance from any local government employee
- The default communications agreement ministerial order is to be made prior to 19 October 2025
- As a Class 1 local government, the City's CEO will need to nominate at least four (4) employees for the purposes to the communications agreement
- Correspondence sent by the Mayor on behalf of the local government must be provided to all council members by the CEO
- As part of the communications agreement, the CEO must ensure that receipt of a request for information is acknowledged in writing within 2 working days after the day on which the request is made. Within 10 working days after the day on which a request for information is made, the requesting member is given —
 - (a) a final response to the request; or
 - (b) notice that a final response cannot be given within that period and an estimate as to when a final response will be given.

- · The final response to a request for information must
 - (a) be in writing; and
 - (b) include any advice or other information provided in response to the request for information. A copy of the final response to a request for information given to the requesting member must be provided to
 - i. all council members; and
 - ii. if the final response is relevant to the work of a committee any members of the committee who are not council members.

Communications agreements currently exist between each Minister of the WA Government and the agencies that support them in accordance with section 74 of the *Public Sector Management Act* 1994. These agreements set out who Ministers and their staff may contact within an agency, what they may request, how they can expect their request to be dealt with and when they can expect a response. It is proposed that similar arrangements will exist between the Council of a local government and the CEO. However it is noted that arrangements proposed for local governments are more prescriptive and onerous compared with arrangements in place for State government agencies. Communication agreements at a State Government level are more aligned with the City's current arrangements for communication.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety and the Western Australian Local Government Association.

c. Strategic

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective(s) contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2023-2033:

Aspiration: 5. Leadership Aspiration - Transparent and accountable leadership and governance

Outcome/Objective: Quality Leadership - Ensure accountable and transparent

governance

d. Policy

Nil

e. Financial

Nil

f. Legal and Statutory

Relevant legislative references include:

Local Government Act 1995

Section 5.92 of the Act provides that:

- '(1) A person who is a council member or a committee member can have access to any information held by the local government that is relevant to the performance by the person of any of the person's functions under this Act or under any other written law.
- (2) Without limiting subsection (1), a council member can have access to
 - (a) all written contracts entered into by the local government; and
 - (b) all documents relating to written contracts proposed to be entered into by the local government.

- (4) This section does not give a council member or committee member the right to have access to the following information
 - (a) a contract with an employee, other than the CEO or a senior employee, entered into by the local government;
 - (b) documents relating to a contract with an employee, other than the CEO or a senior employee, proposed to be entered into by the local government;
 - (c) any employment record of an employee;
 - (d) personal information about an individual that is not relevant to a matter on which the council or committee is to make a decision;
 - (e) information the local government is prohibited or restricted from disclosing to the council member or committee member under a secrecy provision;
 - (f) information that is not relevant to the functions of the council member or committee member under this Act or another written law;
 - (g) information of a kind prescribed by the regulations.
- (5) In subsection (4) personal information means information about an individual whose identity is apparent or can reasonably be ascertained from the information; secrecy provision means a provision of a written law that prohibits or regulates the handling of information.'

Local Government Amendment Act 2023

Section 5.92B of the Act provides that:

'(1) The Minister must, by order, set out a form of communications agreement (the default communications agreement).

Note for this subsection:

The default communications agreement can be amended or replaced from time to time by a variation to the order in which the default communications agreement is set out or by the making of an order that supersedes that order — see section 9.65(2).

- (2) For the purposes of section 5.92A, the default communications agreement is taken to be a local government's communications agreement at any time when the local government does not have a communications agreement of its own under section 5.92C.
- (3) The version of the default communications agreement that is taken to be a local government's communications agreement at any time under subsection (2) is the version that is current at that time.
- (4) An order under subsection (1) may set out different forms of communications agreement for different local governments or different classes of local government.
- (5) For the purposes of subsection (4), an order under subsection (1) may (without limitation) adopt or otherwise apply classifications of local governments or districts used in a determination made under the Salaries and Allowances Act 1975 section 7A or 7B.'

Section 5.92C of the Act provides that:

- '(1) A local government may prepare and adopt* a communications agreement of its own.
 - * Absolute majority required.
- (2) A local government cannot adopt a communications agreement of its own at any time during a caretaker period.

- (3) If a local government adopts a communications agreement of its own, for the purposes of section 5.92A
 - (a) the communications agreement has effect as the local government's communications agreement from when it is adopted until the earlier of the following
 - (i) the next time a caretaker period ends;
 - (ii) the end of the employment of the CEO who agreed to the adoption of the communications agreement under subsection (4); and
 - (b) the local government may amend* the communications agreement at any time when it is in effect under paragraph (a), except during a caretaker period. * Absolute majority required.
- (4) A local government cannot adopt or amend a communications agreement of its own without the agreement of the CEO.
- (5) If a local government has a communications agreement of its own, the CEO must publish an up-to-date version of the communications agreement on the local government's official website.'

Note: The *Local Government Amendment Act 2023* provisions mentioned above are yet to be enacted and are pending finalisation of the draft regulations.

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks.

Customer Service / Program/Project management / Natural Environment : High and Extreme Risks Financial / Health and Safety : Medium, High and Extreme Risks

Nil

Comments

The City has been an active and cooperative participant in all legislative and reform reviews.

A Councillor Engagement Session on this reform was held on 8 July 2025 to assist in the preparation of the proposed responses.

The City has prepared a draft response to the proposed reforms which is available at Attachment 1. The draft response considers the comments that have been provided by both WALGA, LG Pro and feedback provided by City of Rockingham Council Members.

The City already has protocols in place which guide the communications between the Council and administration. These include clearly highlighting the staff to whom Council Members can have access to and correspondence mechanisms to deal with Council Member requests, questions and advocacy. As a result having a standard communication agreement or a more tailored agreement specific to the City is not such an issue. Notwithstanding this the City makes the following observation about the reform:

- Draft provisions are overly prescriptive and increases red tape unnecessarily. A cost benefit
 assessment should be undertaken before implementation to ensure an appropriate use of
 rate payer resources.
- Section 74 of the Public Sector Management Act 1974 states that a Minister shall "make arrangements in writing in relation to each department or organisation for which the Minister is responsible setting out the manner in which, and the circumstances in which, dealings are to be had, and communications are to be made, between ministerial officers assisting the Minister and the employees in that department or organisation." It does not, nor do regulations stipulate how this is achieved or what it is to contain.
- · Previous reform submissions from the City have supported alignment with Public Sector Commission Standards and what is proposed goes beyond what is in place at a State level.

- It is not clear why correspondence of the Mayor is to be provided to all Council Members as
 the Agreement is between the Council and the CEO. The City seeks clarity regarding the
 purpose of requiring this function, particularly as specific items can already be requested.
- A Commissioner should direct all requests to the CEO or an employee nominated by the CEO and not any employee determined by the Commissioner.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council **ADOPTS** Attachment 1 as its response to the Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety invitation to comment on the Communications Agreement Consultation Paper.

Committee Recommendation

That Council **ADOPTS** Attachment 1 as its response to the Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety invitation to comment on the Communications Agreement Consultation Paper.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

Council Resolution

Moved Cr Buchanan, seconded Cr Schmidt:

That Council **ADOPTS** Attachment 1 as its response to the Department of Local Government, Industry Regulation and Safety invitation to comment on the Communications Agreement Consultation Paper.

Carried - 10/0

The Council's Reason for Varying the Committee's Recommendation

Not Applicable

14. Receipt of Information Bulletin Moved Cr Wormall, seconded Cr Jecks: That Council RECEIVES the July 2025 Information Bulletin as follows: Planning and Development Services Asset Services Corporate and General Management Services Community Development Carried – 10/0

15. Report of Mayor

City of Rockingham Mayor's Report



Report number / title: MR-006/25 Meetings and Functions Attended by the

Mayor and Deputy Mayor

File number: GOV/85

Proponent/s:

Author: Mayor Deb Hamblin

Other Contributor/s: Cr Lorna Buchan, Deputy Mayor

Date of Council meeting: 22 July 2025

Previously before Council:

Disclosure of Interest:

Nature of Council's role: Executive

Purpose of Report

To advise on the meetings and functions attended by the Mayor and Deputy Mayor during the period 20 June 2025 to 17 July 2025.

Background

Nil

Details

Date	Meeting/Function
20 June 2025	On-line briefing with Australian Submarine Agency
24 June 2025	Council Meeting
25 June 2025	Women in Defence Networking Evening
27 June 2025	Meeting with members of the Catalpa Committee
	Meeting with members of the Rockingham RSL
	Kolbe Catholic College, Strive Community Expo
28 June 2025	HMAS Arafura Commissioning Ceremony
30 June 2025 Meeting with Magenta Marshall MLA regarding local advocacy	
	Meeting with the Shire of Serpentine Jarrahdale
1 July 2025	Little Penguin Advisory Group
	Meeting with a local resident
	US Consulate Perth, Independence Day Celebration
2 July 2025	Attended Singleton Play Group
	National Seniors Australia 30th Anniversary of the Rockingham and Districts
	Branch
	Online ARPANSA Information Session

Date	Meeting/Function
3 July 2025	Ministers and Chaplains Gathering
	Familiarisation tour of TAFE Munster Campus with Minister Sanderson in the context of clean energy
4 July 2025	Meeting with Hon Madeleine King regarding local advocacy
	Rockingham High School Farewell to the Principal
	102 nd Birthday at local residential care home
	10th Anniversary of Brendan's Homeless Respite
7 July 2025	Australian Citizenship Ceremony
8 July 2025	NAIDOC Week Ceremony
	Interview with 6PR
	Councillor Engagement Session
10 July 2025	Deputy Mayor opened The Art of Zen Shan Ren
12 July 2025	Deputy Mayor attended the 30 th Anniversary of the Rockingham City Pipe Band
16 July 2025	Deputy Mayor attended the Youth Sports Leadership Program

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Nii

c. Strategic

Nil

d. Policy

Nil

e. Financial

Nil

f. Legal and Statutory

Nil

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks.

Customer Service / Program/Project management / Natural Environment : High and Extreme Risks Financial / Health and Safety : Medium, High and Extreme Risks

Nil

Comments

Nil

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council *RECEIVES* the Mayor's Report for the period 20 June 2025 to 17 July 2025.

PAGE 44

Council Resolution

Moved Cr Crichton, seconded Cr Hume:

That Council *RECEIVES* the Mayor's Report for the period 20 June 2025 to 17 July 2025.

Carried - 10/0

The Council's Reason for Varying the Committee's Recommendation

Not Applicable

16.	Reports	of Council Members		
	Nil	Nil		
17.	Reports	of Officers		
	Nil			
18.	Addendu	Addendum Agenda		
	Nil			
19.	Motions	of which Previous Notice has been given		
	Nil			
20.	Notices	of Motion for Consideration at the Following Meeting		
	•	The Mayor identified one Notice of Motion for consideration at the July 2025 Council meeting.		
		Notice of Motion from Cr Dawn Jecks - Commemorating 80 years since Hiroshima & Nagasaki		
		"That Council:		
		a) ACKNOWLEDGES the 80th anniversaries of the US atomic bombings of the cities of Hiroshima and Nagasaki on August 6th and 9th, 1945. We honour the 210,000+ victims of these attacks, and the Hibakusha and Hibakunesei who continue to live with the legacy of nuclear weapons.		
		b) NOTES that our city/town is deeply concerned about the grave threat that nuclear weapons pose to communities throughout the world. We firmly believe that our residents have the right to live in a world free from this threat.		
		c) NOTES that any use of nuclear weapons, whether deliberate or accidental, would have catastrophic, far-reaching and long-lasting consequences for people and the environment.		
		d) ENDORSES the International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons Cities Appeal, a global call from cities and towns in support of the UN Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons and calls on our national government to sign and ratify it without delay.		
		e) DIRECTS the CEO to advise the Cities Appeal, of Councils decision, via email to australia@icanw.org."		
21.	Question	ns by Members of which Due Notice has been given		
	Nil			
22.	Urgent Business Approved by the Person Presiding or by Decision of the Council			
	Nil			
23.	Matters I	Behind Closed Doors		
	Nil			

24.	Date and Time of Next Meeting
	The next Ordinary Council meeting for the City of Rockingham will be held on Tuesday 26 August 2025 commencing at 6:00pm in the Council Chambers, Civic Boulevard, Rockingham.
25.	Closure
	There being no further business, the Mayor thanked those persons present for attending the Council Meeting, and declared the meeting closed at 6:36pm .