

Rockingham

MINUTES

Planning and Asset Services Committee

Held on Monday 14 October 2024 at 5:00pm City of Rockingham Council Chamber



where the coast comes to life

	City of Rockingham					
Pla	Planning and Asset Services Committee Minutes					
	Monday 14 October 2024					
	CONTENTS					
1.	Declaration of Opening	3				
2.	Record of Attendance/Apologies/Approved Leave of Absence	3				
3.	Responses to Previous Public Questions Taken on Notice	4				
4.	Public Question Time	5				
5.	Confirmation of Minutes of the Previous Meeting	9				
6.	Matters Arising from the Previous Minutes	9				
7.	Announcement by the Presiding Person without Discussion	9				
8.	Declaration of Member's and Officer's Interest	9				
9.	Petitions/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions	9				
10.	Matters for which the Meeting may be Closed	9				
11.	Bulletin Items	9				
	Planning and Development Services Information Bulletin - October 2024	9				
	Asset Services Information Bulletin - October 2024	9				
12.	Agenda Items - Planning and Asset Services Committee					
	Planning and Development Services	14				
	PD-028/24 Cape Peron Transport Needs Study - Garden Island Highway - Advocacy	14				
	Asset Services	29				
	AS-031/24 Western Australian Local Government Association, WALGA Quote WQ24/25-21 - Supply and Installation of New Playground Equipment AS-032/24 Tender T24/25-01 - Framework Agreement for Maintenance and Repairs	29				
	to Pole Lighting Infrastructure	41				
13.	Reports of Council Members	44				
14.	Addendum Agenda	44				
15.	Motions of which Previous Notice has been given	44				
16.	Notices of Motion for Consideration at the Following Meeting	44				
17.	Urgent Business Approved by the Person Presiding or by Decision of the Committee	44				
18.	Matters Behind Closed Doors	44				
19.	Date and Time of Next Meeting	44				
20.	Closure	44				

LXBuchan

Presiding Member

		City of Rockingh	nam 🔬
PI	annin	g and Asset Services C	committee Minutes
	Mond	ay 14 October 2024 - C	Council Chamber
1.	Decla	ration of Opening	
		nairperson declared the Planning an and welcomed all present.	nd Asset Services Committee meeting open at
		wledgement of Country	
	The Ch and Cu continu	nairperson noted that the City of Ro ustodians of this land, the Binjare ing connection to the land, waters	ckingham acknowledges the Traditional Owners b and Whadjuk Nyoongar peoples and their and community. We pay our respects to all ir cultures; and to Elders past and present.
	Record	ling and Live Streaming of proceed	lings
	with the		ting is being live streamed on the City's website, periods of adjournment or as determined by the
	image a		of the public consent to the possibility that their ne public. Recordings are also made available on
	person	is to use any electronic, visual or au	anding Orders Local Law 2001 provides that "no udio recording device or instrument to record the <i>i</i> thout the written permission of the Council".
	If anyor	ne breaches this Standing Order they	will be asked to leave the Council Chamber.
2.	Recor	d of Attendance/Apologies/A	Approved Leave of Absence
	2.1	Council Members	
		Cr Lorna Buchan, Deputy Mayor	Chairperson
		Mayor Deb Hamblin	(until 5:48pm)
		Cr Peter Hudson	
		Cr Dawn Jecks	
		Cr Mark Jones	
		Cr Brett Wormall	
	2.2	Executive	
		Mr Michael Parker	Chief Executive Officer
		Mr Peter Ricci	Director Planning and Development Services
		Mr Manoj Barua	A/Director Asset Services
		Mr Brett Ashby	Manager Strategic Planning and Environment
		Mr James Henson	Manager Land and Development Infrastructure
		Ms Erica King	Manager Health and Building Services
		Mr David Caporn	Manager Compliance and Emergency Liaison
		Mr Mike Ross Mr Tristan Fernandes	Manager Statutory Planning
		Mr Tristan Fernandes Mr Ian Daniels	Manager Major Planning Projects
			Manager Infrastructure Project Delivery
1		Mr Om Gupta	A/Manager Technical Services

LXBuchan

Presiding Member

		Mr Tony Bailey	Manager Operations and Fleet Services
		Mr Nathan Leslie	Coordinator Natural Area Maintenance
		Mr Jim Olynyk, JP	Manager Governance and Councillor Support
		Ms Melinda Wardle	EA to Director Planning and Development Services
		Ms Alana Allen	EA to Director Asset Services
	2.3	Members of the Gallery:	12
	2.4	Apologies:	
		Mr Peter Doherty	Director Legal Services and General Counsel
	2.5	Approved Leave of Absence:	Nil
3.	Respo	nses to Previous Public Que	stions Taken on Notice
		2024, Mr Mumme asked the follow Director Planning and Developme 19 September 2024 as follows:	ces Committee meeting held on 16 September wing questions that were taken on notice and the ent Services provided a response in a letter dated
		<u>Question</u>	
		• •	ffirm nuclear free zone status for Rockingham.
		Garden Island and nucle	ed by the presence of a nuclear waste dump on ar reactors in the Sound. I do not believe the the waste is the same as that from nuclear
		consistent with the City's	rs' opposition is that, they say, the motion is not current strategic direction and later they sun up pnomic advantages the City would get from Sound.
		councillors of you vote advantages the City woul	ble to conclude that the City's officers (and e against this motion) place the supposed Id get from basing submarines here in terms of opments BEFORE the safety of the residents of
		<u>Response</u>	
		Free Council Policy' and seeks	e to the Notice of Motion to prepare a 'Nuclear to provide relevant information to assist the The Officer Report did not purport to elevate any
		<u>Question</u>	
		here it's claimed that the on? Has the City done a	rsuing the issues of housing, facilities, roads. But re are benefits for the City. What is that based cost benefit analysis of the presence of nuclear so what does it show? If not why not?
		<u>Response</u>	
		Please refer to the response to Q	
		-	ndertaken nor considered necessary.
		radioactive facilities, and why would Council belie ARPANSA's regs and; pro they are, how can you say	responsible for regulations to ensure safety of that the licence for the case dump is classified, eve that there is "no reason to suggest that otocols are inadequate?" If you don't know what y they are adequate? What are they? Given that uggest there are NO reasons to believe they are

LXBuchan

Presiding Member

		<u>Response</u>
		The City does not have expertise in radiation, nuclear safety or related matters and defers to the government agencies that assume this responsibility.
		Question
		4. To ensure Safety in the event of an accident, who is responsible? Apparently not ARPANSA because when I asked about iodine tablets months ago, I was told the Dept of Health would manage that issue and now in this agenda we are told it is the WA Police.
		What measures would police be able to take, beyond isolating the affected area, in the case of a radioactive leak into the waters or air of Rockingham, and how would these measures ensure the safety of the residents?
		<u>Response</u>
		The 'State Hazard Plan - HAZMAT Annex A Radiation Escape from a Nuclear Powered Warship (NPW)' provides an overview of arrangements for the management of NPW emergencies in Western Australia, including hazards associated with inhalation of airborne fission products and ingestion of fission products from contaminated food or water.
		Under this Plan, the Commissioner of Police has overall control in responding to emergencies. The Department of Health's State Radiation Officer is responsible for providing advice to the Commissioner of Police on incident control regarding radiological risks and protective countermeasures, and the necessary steps to counteract or minimise the effects of radiation on emergency services personnel and members of the public. The State Radiation Officer will also provide advice on the administration of iodine tablets where appropriate, as well as radiation monitoring and other related public health advice.
4.	Public (Question Time
	5:03pm	The Chairperson opened Public Question Time and invited members of the Public Gallery to ask questions. The Chairperson noted that this was the only opportunity in the meeting for the public to ask questions.
	4.1	Mr James Mumme, Shoalwater - AUKUS, Nuclear Safety and Cape Peron Transport Needs
		The Chairperson invited Mr Mumme to present his questions to the Planning and Asset Services Committee.
		Mr Mumme asked the following questions:
		AUKUS Costings
		1.
		Here we have the biggest single project ever in terms of expenditure (\$8B over 10years) [and of impacts on roads, housing, rents, mortgages, amenities and services including medical services which are already stressed -3 weeks to see my regular GP, one year for a child to see a speech pathologist], and Council does not see it as necessary to cost the benefits and impacts to the City's budget, Why not and will you please conduct a thorough cost benefit analysis and use it to pressure governments to properly cover these impacts ?
		Response
		The Chairperson advised the prospect of a cost benefit analysis on the City's Budget as a result of AUKUS has not been contemplated and is considered, on
		face value, to be almost impossible to scope.

LXBu

Presiding Member

Nuclear Safety
2. I submit that no one is really happy about AUKUS and we deserve you to advocate on our behalf. Will the City take adequate measures to ensure that you and the community know:
A. What exactly will be done to protect us from emissions into the air in the water and what would be consequences for residents be of the following - a missile from a satellite hits a submarine moored at Garden Island?
The Chairperson advised that, in accordance with the Council's resolution from September, the City has requested information from the relevant government representatives on the transportation of waste and the emergency response in the event of an incident.
When the information is received it will be made available.
Should you wish to raise the scenarios mentioned in your question with government for a response, you are welcome to do so.
And to note that the City collects water samples at recreational beaches under partnership with the State Department of Health, and takes appropriate action in the event of elevated results. The City has no expertise in marine water quality and assists the State in administering its Water Quality Guidelines. PD-028/24
 I see with amazement that the Report recommends "fund design feasibility, Design it, and Construct" and the City has already begun "detailed design work" and budgeted \$500,000. Was converting Memorial Dr to two lanes westbound and Pt Peron Rd to two lanes eastbound ever considered?
The Chairperson advised that the City has been working on this project for some time, but the City has budgeted \$500,000 to design a 4-way intersection at Hymus Street, Parkin Street, Point Peron Road and Safety Bay Road and realign a portion of Point Peron Road within the existing 'C' Class Reserve, and part of our advocacy tonight will be to make a decision about asking the Federal government to fund that.
With respect to the option of two lanes for Memorial Drive, this option was considered and ruled out early because the expanded road profile would impact the existing 'A' Class Reserve.
4. Surely it makes better sense to try DoD's alternatives and improve the intersections and the entry to Stirling BEFORE spending more money on feasibility and design?
The Chairperson advised that the Study modelled a range of scenarios and traffic improvements, considering options both with and without the Garden Island Highway. The recommendations contained within the Study are based on those results.
5. Evidence based approach? Was the following data collected - civilian cars with only one person going to Stirling ortto the Water Corporation orto the Yacht club or to the Fishing Club or to Point Peron, cars with only a single navy worker, trucks going to Water Corporation or toStirling at rush hours? Was that level of data collected?
The Chairperson advised that the Study contains traffic count data for all classes of vehicles using roads within Cape Peron and they are in the appendices to the Transport Study.
6. I look forward to seeing that, will Council publish it?
The Chairperson advised that it is published as part of this Agenda.
7. Will Council not ask the Federal government to pay for the whole of the road network, not just financially contribute to it?
The Chairperson advised that the Council would seek full contribution.

LXBu

Presiding Member

4.2	Ms Sue Whitehurst, Shoalwater - PD-028/24 - Cape Peron Transport Needs Study - Garden Island Highway - Advocacy
	The Chairperson invited Ms Whitehurst to present her questions to the Planning and Asset Services Committee.
	Ms Whitehurst asked the following question:
	1. I only heard bits and pieces about the Garden Island Highway very recently. I do own property very close to there and I would really like a detailed map of the proposed pathway, its exact pathway would be really nice just so that I can make more informed decisions and take it from there?
	The Chairperson asked have you seen the report tonight in the Agenda papers? On page 11-12 of the Agenda there is a map showing where the Garden Island Highway may go, but I will pass to Mr Ricci who will give you a more detailed response.
	Mr Peter Ricci, Director Planning and Development Services advised that there are plans in the Officer Report showing the alignment, but Mr Fernandes would be pleased to explain the matter following the meeting.
4.3	Ms Sharon Woods, Rockingham - PD-028/24 - Cape Peron Transport Needs Study - Garden Island Highway - Advocacy
	The Chairperson invited Ms Woods to present her questions to the Planning and Asset Services Committee.
	Ms Woods asked the following question:
	1. Once all of the infrastructure has been put in place, is the defence force going to have their own transport to take these employees to their jobs, because the traffic is bad enough now on Parkin Street and Safety Bay Road and it's only going to get worse. Will the trucks be coming down Parkin Street, as they did before, to go to Point Peron with all the rocks and everything that they need, because it damages those roundabouts continuously.
	Mr Michael Parker, Chief Executive Officer advised that the City has been in discussion with the Department of Defence for some time now about alternatives to try and alleviate some of the traffic congestion. One matter being looked at is bussing in personnel from a central location.
	Also, the City understands that, from a construction point of view, the option of barging in some of the construction materials, rather than using the road network is being investigated.
4.4	Ms Dianne Coombe, Rockingham - PD-028/24 - Cape Peron Transport Needs Study - Garden Island Highway - Advocacy
	The Chairperson invited Ms Coombe to present her questions to the Planning and Asset Services Committee.
	Ms Coombe asked the following questions:
	1. I have a house which is probably about 100m from the Garden Island Highway in 'The Anchorage' the section between Belgravia and where it hits the reserve. Is there something that's going to be released and has there been tests done to find out the noise pollution and how that's going to affect the houses that are quite close to the trucks when they're rumbling along, do they have any estimates of that type of thing, because it's probably going to be quite noisy for all concerned. Are they thinking of building buffers, walls, something, and the viaduct there, I'm wondering if they're planning on covering the viaduct and going over the top of it. I don't really see much information on that yet.

LXBu

Presiding Member

 1	
	Mr Peter Ricci, Director Planning and Development Services advised that the recommendations in the Study are to confirm the feasibility of building the road within its reserved alignment. At this stage there has been no approval issued to build the road within the existing road reserve.
	Further, once the detail emerges, providing that it is feasible, the proposed road profile will be established.
	With respect to noise, there is an existing State Planning Policy which requires road and rail noise to be within certain levels and there will need to be measures put in place to attenuate noise in the event that those levels are exceeded. The detail will come, but there is planning policy around road noise and how it needs to be controlled.
	2. So down the end of Epsilon Drive, they were planning on covering that viaduct and just building the road through there, is that right?
	Mr Peter Ricci, Director Planning and Development Services advised that the detail hasn't been confirmed, and once the environmental process plays out as recommended, that detail will start to emerge.
4.5	Ms Lisa Bailey, Rockingham - PD-028/24 - Cape Peron Transport Needs Study - Garden Island Highway - Advocacy
	The Chairperson invited Ms Bailey to present her questions to the Planning and Asset Services Committee.
	Ms Bailey asked the following question:
	1. I also live on Epsilon Drive and it came as a shock to me as I only learned about it last night as well, does anybody in here live anywhere around that area?
	The Chairperson advised that there will be members of staff from Council that live in the surrounding area.
	2. When will you actually know where exactly it's going to go?
	Mr Peter Ricci, Director Planning and Development Services advised that the reservation for the Garden Island Highway has been in the Metropolitan Region Scheme since the 1970s, so the land has been reserved for that purpose for a number of decades.
	Also, the Officer Recommendation is to confirm that the road can be constructed because of the environmental assets that are within proximity of the alignment. In terms of when a decision will be made, first of all funding is required for the Study, which is what the City is advocating for, and the Study needs to be conducted to confirm if it can be built. Something definitive cannot be provided other than to say that the road has been reserved for this purpose for many, many years.
4.6	Mr Bob Suiter, Shoalwater - PD-028/24 - Cape Peron Transport Needs Study - Garden Island Highway - Advocacy
	The Chairperson invited Mr Suiter to present his questions to the Planning and Asset Services Committee.
	Mr Suiter asked the following question:
	1. I just want to go back to a couple of questions earlier when they were talking about the alignment of the Garden Island Highway and they have an easement that's been laid aside and we just want to get clarification on what 'feasibility' means in reference to the answer you provided.
	Mr Peter Ricci, Director Planning and Development Services advised that the feasibility is about there being a process conducted, against the requirements of the Environmental Protection Act, to confirm if it is environmentally feasible.
5:18pm	There being no further questions the Chairperson closed Public Question Time.
 •	,

LXBu han

Presiding Member

5.	Confirma	ation of Minutes of the Previous Meeting
	Moved Cr	Jones, seconded Cr Wormall:
		nittee CONFIRMS the Minutes of the Planning and Asset Services Committee Id on 16 September 2024, as a true and accurate record.
		Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0
6.	Matters /	Arising from the Previous Minutes
	Nil	
7.	Annound	cement by the Presiding Person without Discussion
	-	The Chairperson announced to all present that decisions made at Committees of Council are recommendations only and may be adopted in full, amended or deferred when presented for consideration at the next Council meeting.
8.	Declarat	ions of Members and Officers Interests
	-	The Chairperson asked if there were any interests to declare. There were none.
9.	Petitions	/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions
	Nil	
10.	Matters f	or which the Meeting may be Closed
	Nil	
11.	Bulletin	Items
	Planning a	nd Development Services Information Bulletin - October 2024
1		
ļ	Health Ser	vices
	1. Heal	vices th Services Team Overview
	1. Heal	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1 3.2	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1 3.2 3.3	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections Outdoor Event Approvals
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1 3.2 3.3	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections Outdoor Event Approvals Permit Approvals
	 Heal Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 Inform 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections Outdoor Event Approvals Permit Approvals Complaint - Information
	 Heal Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 Infor 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections Outdoor Event Approvals Permit Approvals Complaint - Information Noise Complaints - Detailed Information
	 Heal Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 Inform 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections Outdoor Event Approvals Permit Approvals Complaint - Information Noise Complaints - Detailed Information Health Approvals
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections Outdoor Event Approvals Permit Approvals Complaint - Information Noise Complaints - Detailed Information Health Approvals Septic Tank Applications
	1. Heal 2. Proje 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 3. Infor 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.11 3.12	th Services Team Overview ect Status Reports FoodSafe Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring Mosquito Control Program Environmental Waters Sampling Food Sampling mation Items Food Recalls Food Recalls Food Premises Inspections Public Building Inspections Outdoor Event Approvals Permit Approvals Complaint - Information Noise Complaints - Detailed Information Health Approvals Septic Tank Applications Demolitions

LXBuchan

Presiding Member

Bui		Bookings for Halls and Reserves ervices
1.	-	ling Services Team Overview
1. 2.		ect Status Reports
2. 3.	-	mation Items
0.	3.1	Monthly Building Permit Approvals - (All Building Types)
	3.2	
	3.3	Monthly Caravan Park Site Approvals
Con		e and Emergency Liaison
1.	-	pliance and Emergency Liaison Team Overview
2.		ect Status Reports
3.	-	mation Items
•	3.1	Ranger Services Action Reports
	3.2	•
	3.3	
	3.4	č
	3.5	
	3.6	
Stra		Planning and Environment
1.	-	egic Planning and Environment Team Overview
2.		ect Status Reports
	2.1	•
	2.2	
	2.3	Lake Richmond Management Plan Implementation - Thrombolite Study
	2.4	Karnup District Structure Plan
	2.5	Foreshore Management Plan
	2.6	Wetland Management Plan
	2.7	-
	2.8	Sustainable Transport Strategy
3.	Infor	mation Items
	3.1	Structure Plan Assessment Status
l	3.2	Tree Removals - Approvals Issued Under Delegated Authority
	3.3	Environmental Education Program
	3.4	ReWild Program
	3.5	2023 Sustainability Highlights
Lan	d and I	Development Infrastructure
1.	Land	and Development Infrastructure Team Overview
2.	Proje	ect Status Reports
3.	Infor	mation Items
	3.1	Referrals
	3.2	Delegated Land and Development Infrastructure Assets Approvals
	3.3	Handover of Subdivisional Roads
	3.4	Delegated Subdivision Engineering and Public Open Space Prace Completions
	3.5	Delegated Authority to Approve the Release of Bonds for Private Subdivis Works
Stat	-	Planning
1.		utory Planning Team Overview
2.	Proje	ect Status Reports
	2.1	General Review of City's Municipal Heritage Inventory (Local Heritage Sur and Heritage List
	2.2	East Rockingham Heritage Area (Local Planning Policy)

Presiding Member

	2.3	Design Review Panel
3.	Inform	nation Items
	3.1	Subdivision/Development Approval and Refusals by the WAPC
	3.2	Notifications and Gazettals
	3.3	Subdivision Clearances
	3.4	Subdivision Survey Approvals
	3.5	Subdivision Lot Production
	3.6	Delegated Development Approvals
	3.7	Delegated Development Refusals
	3.8	Delegated Building Envelope Variations
	3.9	Subdivision/Amalgamation Recommended for Approval
	3.10	Strata Plans
	3.11	Subdivision/Amalgamation Refused
	3.12	Development Application and Lot Production Statistics
	3.13	Modernising the Metropolitan Region Scheme
Pla	nning a	nd Development Directorate
1.	Plann	ing and Development Directorate Team Overview
2.	Proje	ct Status Reports
	2.1	Rockingham Strategic Centre Local Planning Framework Review
	2.2	Cape Peron (including Mangles Bay) Planning Investigation Area Recommendation No.5 (Transport Needs Study)
3.	Inform	nation Items
	Advi	sory Committee Minutes

Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Jecks, seconded Mayor Hamblin:

That Council Members acknowledge having read the Planning Services Information Bulletin - October 2024 and the content be accepted.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

Ass	et Serv	ices Information Bulletin - October 2024
Ass	et Serv	ices Directorate
1.	Asset	t Services Directorate Team Overview
2.	Proje	ct Status Reports
3.	Inform	nation Items
	3.1	Bushfire Risk
	3.2	Strategic Asset Management
	3.3	Delegated Authority for the payment of crossover subsidies
	3.4	Verge Treatment Applications
	3.5	Verge Issues
	3.6	DWER Applications
	3.7	Safety Bay/Shoalwater Pump Track
Оре	erations	and Fleet Services
1.	Opera	ations and Fleet Services Team Overview
2.	Proje	ct Status Reports
3.	Inform	nation Items
	3.1	Building Maintenance - Operations
	3.2	Building Maintenance - Buildings
	3.3	Building Maintenance - Reserves
	3.4	Building Maintenance - Upcoming Works

Presiding Member

	3.5	Graffiti Program 2023/2024
		ire Project Delivery
1.		tructure Project Delivery Team Overview
2.	-	ct Status Reports
3.		mation Items
	3.1	Antilla Place Reserve - Lighting Renewal
	3.2	5 1
		Depot Administration Building Extension
		Governor Road - Replace Toilet Facility
		Hillman Hall – Refurbishment of Toilet Facilities
		Mandurah Road Upgrade
	3.7	Mundijong Road Realignment & Upgrades
	3.8	New Footpath Construction
		Playground Replacements
		Rae Road Shared Path
		Read Street/Malibu Road - Roundabout modification
		Reserve Shelter replacements
		Rivergums Reserve - Lighting Upgrade
		Rockingham Arts Centre - Outdoor Stage
		Rockingham Arts Centre - Replace Paving
		Rotary Park (Esplanade) - Replace Toilet Facility
		Rubber Softfall Replacement - Glenburgh Reserve, Golden Bay
		Safety Bay Tennis Club - Fence Replacement
		Sepia Court North - Baralda - Pathway Lighting
		Settlers Pavilion Refurbishment
		Shoalwater Foreshore Beach Access - Replace Staircase
		Shoalwater Foreshore (Gloucester Ave) - Replace Toilet Facility
		Shoalwater Reserve Changerooms Upgrade
		Waikiki Beach Access Ramp Refurbishment Works
		Water Facility Replacements
		Willmott Drive - Various Intersection Upgrade
-	s Servi	
1.		Services Team Overview
2.	-	ct Status Reports
3.		nation Items
	3.1	CRM Statistics
	3.2	Delegated Public Open Space Handovers
	3.3	Contract Management - Requests for Quote and Tender
	3.4	Parks Maintenance
	3.5	Streetscape Maintenance
	3.6	Natural Area Maintenance
	3.7	5
	3.8	Tree Maintenance
Tech	nnical S	Services
1.		nical Services Team Overview
2.	Proje	ct Status Reports
	2.1	Operating Projects - Coastal Management Study
	2.2	Operating Projects - Heavy Vehicle network plan
3.	Inform	nation Items
	3.1	Delegated Authority for Temporary Thoroughfare Closure
	3.2	Delegated Authority for approval of Directional Signage

LXBu

Presiding Member

 -	
3.3	Approval of Heavy Haulage
3.4	Authorised Traffic Management Plans for Works on City Controlled Roads
3.5	Civil Works Program 2024/2025
3.6	Traffic Investigations
3.7	Civil Maintenance Program 2024/2025
3.8	Civil Maintenance Program 2024/2025
3.9	Road Rehabilitation & Renewal Programs 2024/2025
3.10	Drainage Renewal Program Municipal Works 2024/2025
3.11	Footpath Renewal Program Municipal Works 2024/2025
3.12	Carpark Renewal Program Municipal Works 2024/2025
3.13	Coastal Capital Projects and Coastal Management
3.14	Coastal Infrastructure Management
Advisory C	ommittee Minutes

Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Jecks, seconded Mayor Hamblin:

That Council Members acknowledge having read the Asset Services Information Bulletin - October 2024 and the content be accepted.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

LXBuchan

Presiding Member

12. Agenda Items

Planning and Development Services

Planning and Development Services Directorate Planning Services					
Report number / title:	PD-028/24 Cape Peron Transport Needs Study - Garden Island Highway - Advocacy				
File number:	LUP/2143				
Applicant:	City of Rockingham				
Owner:	Crown				
Author:	Mr Tristan Fernandes, Manager Major Planning Projects				
Other Contributors:	Mr Peter Ricci, Director Planning and Development Services				
Date of Committee meeting:	14 October 2024				
Previously before Council:					
Disclosure of Interest:					
Nature of Council's role:	Advocacy				
Attachments:	 Cape Peron Transport Needs Study Recommended Agency Responsibilities 				
Maps / Diagrams:	 Unconstructed Garden Island Highway Reservation Cape Peron Class 'A' Cape Peron Transport Needs Study Area Recommended Movement Network Improvements 				
	 Point Peron Road Cross Sections Garden Island Highway Profile 				
Site:					
Lot Area:					
LA Zoning:					
MRS Zoning:					

Purpose of Report

To consider a revised advocacy position to address transport matters associated with the Garden Island Highway as a result of the recommendations contained within the Cape Peron Transport Needs Study (**Study**).

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

Presiding Member

Background

Advocacy

In March 2024 (GM-006/24), the Council reaffirmed its advocacy position to the State and Federal Government to progress a feasibility study for the construction of the Garden Island Highway, which states:

"Government support is sought to undertake a feasibility study to confirm that the Garden Island Highway can be built within the existing reservation that is set aside in the Metropolitan Region Scheme for this purpose.

Advocacy action includes seeking a commitment from Department of Defence, Main Roads WA and/or Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage to undertake necessary feasibility studies to determine viability of project (carried forward from 2020 plan)."

AUKUS

In September 2021, Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States of America announced 'AUKUS', a new trilateral security partnership with the aim to enhance the stability of the Indo-Pacific region.

To facilitate the objectives of this partnership, the Commonwealth Government is investing up to \$8 billion to expand HMAS Stirling (also referred to as Fleet Base West) over the next decade to accommodate the acquisition and operation of conventionally-armed, nuclear-powered submarines.

HMAS Stirling, the Royal Australian Navy's largest naval base, is the City's most significant local employer.

The first phase of AUKUS is focussed on a rotational presence at HMAS Stirling for one UK and up to four US, nuclear-powered submarines from 2027. This is known as the Submarine Rotational Force - West (**SRF-West**). The SRF-West will require the housing of up to 2,000 maintenance and support personnel. The United States will relocate personnel and their families to Western Australia from 2027.

Garden Island Highway

Between 1971 and 1973, the Commonwealth Government constructed the 4.3km Garden Island causeway to provide access to HMAS Stirling naval base. The base was completed and commissioned in 1978.

In 1975, the Metropolitan Region Scheme (**MRS**) was amended to create the Garden Island Highway regional road reservation. This was to provide a regional road connection to HMAS Stirling and a container port proposed at the time to front Mangles Bay immediately west of the causeway.

In 1984, the State Government decided to not proceed with the container port, and together with a lack of desire to build a dedicated regional road to HMAS Stirling, the full extent of the Garden Island Highway has not been constructed.

Vehicle access to the base has been facilitated on local roads, with Parkin Street providing the most efficient link from the HMAS Stirling to the regional road network via Patterson Road.

The unconstructed MRS regional road reservation, varies in width between 25m abutting Lake Richmond up to 40m. The is shown below in Figure 1

Presiding Member



1. Unconstructed Garden Island Highway Reservation

Cape Peron Implementation Committee

Following the State Government's decision not to proceed with the Mangles Bay Marina proposal in September 2017, the City approached the State Government to establish a transparent and collaborative consultation process to determine the best and most suitable long-term use of Cape Peron.

A Working Group later formed by the State Government (via Minister for Planning), comprises representatives from the City of Rockingham (**City**) and the Departments of Planning, Lands and Heritage (**DPLH**), Transport (**DoT**) and Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (**DBCA**) to consider:

- Land tenure;
- Tourism opportunities;
- Tenure/lease management issues;
- Recreation and community needs and impacts;
- Environmental protection;
- Aboriginal and historic heritage; and
- Coastal setbacks.

The Working Group's deliberations were also informed by community consultation and responses focused on:

- Protecting and enhancing environmental values, including designation of a Class A reserve;
- Better public access with improved amenities and facilities;
- Recognition of Aboriginal and historic heritage; and
- Providing greater certainty about land tenure and land use.

The Working Group delivered a broad land use strategy for Cape Peron, centred on conservation and tourism based activities, and made nine recommendations that were accepted by the State Government in August 2021. Two recommendations address transport considerations within Cape Peron, stating:

Presiding Member

Recommendation 4 - Garden Island Highway

The alignment and design of Garden Island Highway (identified as an Other Regional Roads reservation in the MRS) to be appropriately considered, in consultation with the Department of Defence, and cognisant of the environmental values of Cape Peron and Lake Richmond.

Recommendation 5 - Transport Needs

The City of Rockingham, in consultation with the DPLH and the Department of Defence (**DoD**), prepare a Transport Needs Study that includes, but is not limited to:

- The local road network within Cape Peron and external connections;
- Improved pedestrian and cycle links;
- The need for additional parking at key destinations; and
- Potential impacts on the alignment of Point Peron Road.

The City has long maintained a position that the Government, specifically the State Government, should undertake the necessary environmental assessment to confirm whether the alignment can contain the road, as per Recommendation 4.

With respect to Recommendation 5, the City has funded the preparation of Study and additional considerations attributed to AUKUS, in line with the Cape Peron Implementation Committee's term of reference.

Class 'A' Reserve

In August 2023, a significant portion of Cape Peron was converted from a Class 'C' to Class 'A' reserve, providing the greatest degree of protection. This process did not convert the following to Class 'A' to recognise existing uses and allow flexibility to implement ongoing planning processes (such as the Study):

- Memorial Drive;
- Lease Road;
- The Other Regional Road reserve for the Garden Island Highway;
- An area extending approximately 50 metres south of Point Peron Road to allow for further investigation for a revised alignment of Point Peron Road and to consider an revised location for the fishing and yacht clubs;
- The existing recreation camp sites;
- The RSL club room; and
- An existing cleared area north of the wastewater treatment plant (under investigation as an alternative location for the fishing and yacht clubs).

The areas are shown in Figure 2.

The Study has considered the constraints associated with any proposed development within the Class 'A' reserve.

Presiding Member

PAGE 18



2. Cape Peron Class 'A'

Details

Scope

In response to the detail in Recommendation 5 above, the City prepared a project scope for the Study which also took into account the following:

- 1. The broader transport implications of AUKUS to internal and external roads, based on Department of Defence traffic projections at 2030 and 2040;
- 2. Scenarios to determine the traffic impact with and without the Garden Island Highway being built as a component of the regional road network; and
- 3. Recommendations to address:
 - The requirement of the Garden Island Highway to address transport needs and if required, the recommended timeframe for its construction; and
 - Matters that are recommended to be addressed as part of a future scope to determine the feasibility detailed design stage, should the report determine the need for the highway.

Presiding Member

The boundary of the Study area is shown in Figure 3.



3. Cape Peron Transport Needs Study Area

Project Timeline and Methodology

The preparation of the Study progressed as follows:

November 2022 The City commissioned Stantec (former Cardno) Pty Ltd, to assist the City in leading the project.

Within the initial phase of the project, Stantec examined all relevant transport data available to build a base traffic model. This involved:

- A literature review to understand the planning inputs, utility locations, and constraints impacting the locality;
- A review crash data in proximity to Cape Peron; and
- A review of all the local transport inputs, such as traffic counts to inform the model.

Following the commencement of the project, the City conducted additional traffic (video) counts to supplement existing information.

A base traffic model ('Aimsun') was then prepared to ensure future projections were based on an accurate understanding of the existing situation, and the project team sought Main Roads WA advice to review the transport model.

A traffic model ('SIDRA') was also prepared as a component of the Study to examine intersection treatments for AM and PM peak hour periods.

This was completed to ensure the Study met its intended objectives.

May 2023 First draft of the Study was completed.

Presiding Member

	In consultation with the Committee on the draft, further advice from Department of Defence on AUKUS traffic projections was sought. This was needed to determine:
	- The ultimate timing and standard of Garden Island Highway; and
	- The timeframes for improvements to surrounding local intersections.
	DoD confirmed that it would provide advice to assist the project, however, it required several months to obtain the information suitable to inform the Study based on updated defence projections.
September 2023	On 18 September 2023, the Project Team met with senior representatives of DoD and DPLH to discuss key findings coming out of the draft version of the Study. At this time the City was still awaiting further information from DoD before it could progress the Study through to completion.
November 2023	On 27 November 2023, DoD provided a formal response on the draft Study which included further information with respect to HMAS Stirling population forecast (accounting for AUKUS).
	The forecasts indicated a significantly higher workforce and population to be located at HMAS Stirling as a result of recent Commonwealth Government defence announcements which required further changes to the Study.
December 2023	The City instructed Stantec to generate two new 'traffic demand' scenarios for the years 2030 and 2040, based on the advice received from DoD.
February 2024	The City received the draft amended Traffic Report with revised findings accounting for AUKUS traffic predictions.
	The revised Study was provided to DoD, the Cape Peron Working Group and internal staff for review.
March 2024	On 19 March 2024, the City met with Senior DOD representatives and DPLH staff to discuss the draft findings and feedback. The Committee, DPLH and DoD all indicated it needed additional time to provide advice.
April 2024	DPLH submitted technical officer level comments on the Study. The advice identified further changes to the Study addressed by Stantec. The City also received advice from DBCA and DoD.
	The City liaised with DoD and the Department of Job, Science, Tourism and Innovation (JSTI) regarding the Study to ensure the findings can inform future work.
May - June 2024	The City reviewed the underlying assumptions against City traffic data and requested additional Main Roads WA (MRWA) modelling as an input.
	The City then worked with MRWA to introduce the AUKUS traffic assumptions into its ROM24 regional transport model. The outputs from the MRWA regional also used to refine the micro-simulation model ('Aimsun') for the 2030 and 2040 periods.
	This will enable a more effective consideration of regional transport matters that account for AUKUS.
	The Study was finalised on 28 June 2024.

Notable Parameters and Limitations of the Study

There are a number of key considerations and limitations with the Study that should be noted:

- The Study considers the AUKUS Traffic projections provided by DoD. The projections provided outline a significant increase in transport demand on the local movement network.
- The Study defines the traffic impacts to the existing movement network considering a range of scenarios and interventions, including the impact to the movement network if no changes were implemented.
- It outlines recommendations that will require detailed consideration as part of future work.

Presiding Member

- The Study is not intended to provide a preferred design for the Garden Island Highway, as any future design will need to be informed by technical considerations including matters relating to environmental and engineering requirements.
- The Study only considered options that could be progressed within the established road reservations available. It does not contemplate or recommend land acquisition to address the transport issues identified.
- The timeframes for projected traffic impacts at 2030 and 2040 represent a 'snapshot' in time. These timeframes were chosen to reflect to DoD assumptions provided to inform the Study. Transport issues may be apparent before these timeframes are reached.
- The Study does not account for construction traffic required to access HMAS Stirling to complete significant infrastructure as this information was not available from DoD at the time of preparation. Construction traffic is likely to create a greater temporary impact to the local movement network than specified by the Study.

Study Outcomes

1. <u>Car Parking in Cape Peron</u>

Parking in Cape Peron was observed to operate at an average 80% occupancy indicating a high demand. The existing car parks often reach full capacity during peak times, which has led to vehicles parking informally on the verge.

Due to environmental and physical constraints, only limited opportunities were identified to increase the parking supply in the area. Stantec identified a total of 16 potential additional on-street parallel parking bays that could be accommodated.

The City understands that DBCA are considering the provision of additional parking capacity for Cape Peron within its Recreation Master Plan.

2. <u>Pedestrian Paths</u>

The Study recommended upgrades to City controlled pedestrian footpaths on Boundary Road, Safety Bay Road and a new shared path be built on the northern side of Point Peron Road.

Other upgrades for the pedestrian were identified within Cape Peron which will be considered through the finalisation of the DBCA's Recreation Master Plan.

3. <u>Vehicle Traffic</u>

(i) <u>Current Situation</u>

Currently the road network on the approach to Cape Peron at Parkin Street and Safety Bay Road is operating at a **level of service rating 'E'** and is near capacity in morning peak. The queuing impacts access and egress to residential properties and the function of the local road network.

This impacts residential amenity and is contributing to damage of local road infrastructure.

The existing local road network cannot be widened to accommodate additional traffic flow through the introduction of new vehicle lanes.

(ii) <u>Baseline Scenario - No Intervention</u>

By 2030, traffic modelling accounting for AUKUS projects a significant increase in traffic, impacting the function of the broader surrounding movement network. The Safety Bay Road/Parkin Street and Memorial Drive/Point Peron Road intersections are projected to have an unacceptable level of service in AM and PM peaks.

The Study indicates a queue length from the intersection of Safety Bay Road and Parkin Street of 2.3km moving towards HMAS Stirling.

By 2040, all intersections into Cape Peron are projected to operate above the network capacity with an unacceptable level of service (LOS F) in AM and PM peaks. The projections show significant congestion across the network. The Study indicates a queue length from the intersection of Safety Bay Road and Parkin Street of 3.5km moving towards HMAS Stirling.

Presiding Member

With respect to these projected queue lengths, road users will seek to find alternative routes to avoid congestion impacting adjacent streets and causing congestion and grid lock within the movement network connecting to HMAS Stirling.

(iii) Recommended Road Improvements

The Study recommends a range of improvements to the network to address the significant increase in traffic generated at HMAS Stirling. The Study makes the following recommendations address the improvements needed to address the traffic projected:

Timeframe	Recommended Actions	Responsibly
	 Fund design feasibility and supporting studies to construct Garden Island Highway 	State and Federal Governments
	 Design Garden Island Highway and complete Environmental Studies and Approvals based on preferred design 	3 rd Party appointed and managed by State Government
	 Fund construction of Garden Island Highway, following approvals process 	State and Federal Government
2024 - 2030	 Construct Garden Island Highway (GIH) as a single carriageway between Point Peron Road and Belgravia Terrace. 	City of Rockingham
1014 1000	 Realign the eastern section of Point Peron Road and construct 4-way roundabout at intersection of Hymus Street Parkin Street Point Peron Road Safety Bay Road. 	City of Rockingham
	 Construct a 4-way signalised intersection at the entry to HMAS Stirling Garden Island Highway Point Peron Road. 	Department of Defence with MRWA (<i>if commenced prior to construction of</i> <i>Garden Island Highway</i>). Or as a component of broader construction of Garden Island Highway (City of Rockingham, Department of Defence and MRWA).
	Construct 3rd entry lane and checkpoint at HMAS Stirling.	Department of Defence
	 Duplicate Garden Island Highway between Point Peron Road and Safety Bay Road. 	City of Rockingham funded by Department of Defence/State Government.
2030 - 2040	 Duplicate Causeway Bridge, including tie-in with intersection at Garden Island Highway Point Peron Road HMAS Stirling entry 	Department of Defence
	Construct 4 th entry lane and checkpoint at HMAS Stirling entry.	Department of Defence

Figure 4 conceptually illustrates the recommended changes to the movement network proposed to be investigated within subsequent studies.

Presiding Member





Presiding Member

The Study also considers indicative road cross-sections for key roads to inform future work. The cross-sections consider the road reservation available, however, the detailed design considerations may vary as a result of future investigations.



Figure 86 Point Peron Road - Proposed Cross Section (16m)

5. Point Peron Road Cross-Sections

For the Garden Island Highway, the Study considered an indicative profile that could be accommodated within the established road reservation of 25m.

Presiding Member



6. Garden Island Highway Profile

Recommended Agency Responsibilities

The Study also makes recommendations to the Cape Peron Implementation Committee on actions by the City, State agencies and Department of Defence. This is outlined in **Attachment 2**.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

The Study was prepared as a technical exercise in accordance with the Terms of Reference for the Cape Peron Implementation Committee. No public consultation was completed as a component of the study.

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

The City engaged with the Cape Peron Implementation Committee Members consisting of:

- Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions;
- Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage; and
- Department of Transport.

The City also engaged with Main Roads WA and the Commonwealth Department of Defence.

Feedback from these agencies was incorporated into the outcomes of the Study.

c. Strategic

Community Plan

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspirations and Strategic Objectives contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2023-2033:

Presiding Member

Aspiration:	2. Natural Environment - A place of natural beauty where the environment is respected				
Outcome/Objective:	Sustainable natural green spaces - Preserve and enhance biodiversity				
Aspiration:	3. Built Environment - A built environment carefully planned for today and tomorrow				
Outcome/Objective:	Built infrastructure meets current and future community needs - Plan build and maintain current and future assets				
Aspiration:	4. Economic - A vibrant economy creating opportunities				
Outcome/Objective:	Growing the business economy - Attract and promote new businesses and investment opportunities				
Aspiration:	5. Leadership Aspiration - Transparent and accountable leadership and governance				
Outcome/Objectives:	Quality Leadership - Promote the City as a place for the future				
	Quality Leadership - Active advocacy for the community				
	Quality Leadership - Promote the City as a place for the future				
	Sustainable Local Government - Optimise City resources				

d. Policy

Nil

e. Financial

The Corporate Business Plan provides a budget allocation for the upgrade for the intersection of Parkin/Safety Bay Road/Point Peron Road and Hymus Street. \$200,000 is allocated financial year 2025/2026 and \$300,000 for 2026/2027.

Based on the findings of the Study, the City will seek to amend the budget to enable the completion of design work for the intersection and alignment of Point Peron Road.

The City will separately seek external funding for the construction of the revised intersection and upgrade of Point Peron Road.

f. Legal and Statutory

Nil

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks.

Customer Service / Project management / Environment: High and Extreme Risks Finance / Personal Health and Safety: Medium, High and Extreme Risks

Nil

Comments

The Cape Peron Transport Needs Study provides an evidence based approach that reaffirms the Council's long held advocacy position and necessity for the Government to undertake a feasibility study to confirm that the Garden Island Highway can be built within the existing reservation. Based on projections provided by the Department of Defence, the existing transport issues on local roads will be significantly exacerbated with the introduction of the AUKUS Submarine Rotation Force – West and expansion of HMAS Stirling notwithstanding increased traffic from natural growth and improvements to Cape Peron

Without intervention, the road network will not accommodate the anticipated traffic by 2030. Of further concern, the projections do not include the consideration of transport movements of the labour force required to complete considerable works program at HMAS Stirling.

The City has been informed that the Department of Defence is actively pursuing alternative transport arrangements for construction and workforce traffic. This includes the use of barges to transport plant and materials to Garden Island and buses to transport workers to HMAS Stirling.

Presiding Member

Local roads, such as Parkin Street and Safety Bay Road, do not have the available reservation width (20m) for expansion to accommodate increased traffic. The construction of the Garden Island Highway is seemingly the only option to meaningfully address the longer term transport requirements.

While short-term improvements to key intersections, namely Safety Bay Road/Hymus Street, Point Peron Road and Parkin Street, will improve short term traffic conditions, it does not address the broader issue that Parkin Street, and to a lesser extent Safety Bay Road, is required to perform a regional road function whilst accommodating medium and heavy vehicles accessing HMAS Stirling.

The City notes that the alignment of the Garden Island Highway abutting Lake Richmond will require appropriate environmental investigations to determine how the road can be built whilst protecting and maintaining the environmental values. Careful consideration will also be required to ensure that any new road will limit impacts to adjacent residential properties.

As a matter of national security and State significance, the provision of efficient access to HMAS Stirling that is fit for purpose should not be the remit of the City and it is critical that the Government address this issue as a matter of urgency. The work to confirm the feasibility of the road is not a local issue for which the City should be responsible; the primary beneficiary of the Garden Island Highway is the Department of Defence and the road reservation is contained within the State Government administered Metropolitan Region Scheme.

Based on the findings of the Study, the City has commenced design work for the improvements to Point Peron Road and a new four way intersection at Safety Bay Road/Hymus Street, Point Peron Road and Parkin Street, to improve traffic flow. The City has commenced detailed design for the intersection and upgrade of Point Peron Road. Given that the need to upgrade the intersection, and the road itself, is aligned to the activities on HMAS Stirling, it is reasonable that the Federal Government contribute financially to project delivery; an additional advocacy position is also recommended.

The City's Study now provides a meaningful basis for advocacy and it is recommended that the existing advocacy position be amended accordingly.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council:

- 1. **NOTES** the findings and recommendations of the Cape Peron Transport Needs Study;
- 2. **AMENDS** its advocacy position with respect to the Garden Island Highway as follows:

"Government support is sought to undertake a feasibility study, considering the recommendations contained within the Cape Peron Transport Needs Study, to confirm that the Garden Island Highway can be built within the existing reservation, as set aside in the Metropolitan Region Scheme for this purpose.

Advocacy action includes seeking a commitment from Department of Defence, Main Roads WA, Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and/or the appropriate State Government agency to undertake necessary feasibility studies to determine viability of the project."; and

3. **ADVOCATES** that the Federal Government financially contribute to the upgrade of the intersection of Safety Bay Road/Hymus Street, Point Peron Road and Parkin Street and the westward extension of Point Peron Road to the entry of HMAS Stirling.

Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Hudson, seconded Cr Wormall:

- 1. **NOTES** the findings and recommendations of the Cape Peron Transport Needs Study;
- 2. **AMENDS** its advocacy position with respect to the Garden Island Highway as follows:

Presiding Member

"Government support is sought to undertake a feasibility study, considering the recommendations contained within the Cape Peron Transport Needs Study, to confirm that the Garden Island Highway can be built within the existing reservation, as set aside in the Metropolitan Region Scheme for this purpose.

Advocacy action includes seeking a commitment from Department of Defence, Main Roads WA, Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and/or the appropriate State Government agency to undertake necessary feasibility studies to determine viability of the project."; and

3. **ADVOCATES** that the Federal Government financially contribute to the upgrade of the intersection of Safety Bay Road/Hymus Street, Point Peron Road and Parkin Street and the westward extension of Point Peron Road to the entry of HMAS Stirling.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 5/1

Council Members voting for the motion:

Deputy Mayor Buchan Mayor Hamblin Cr Brett Wormall Cr Peter Hudson Cr Mark Jones **Council Members voting against the motion:** Cr Dawn Jecks

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

XBu

Presiding Member

Asset Services

Asset Services Infrastructure Project Delivery				
Report number / title:	AS-031/24	Western Australian Local Government Association, WALGA Quote WQ24/25-21 - Supply and Installation of New Playground Equipment		
File number:	WQ24/25-21			
Proponent/s				
Author:	Mr Ian Daniels, Manager Infrastructure Project Delivery			
Other Contributors:	Ms Lisa-Jane Manning, Coordinator Infrastructure Project Delivery Mr Craig Beard, Project Officer Parks/Landscaping			
Date of Committee Meeting:	14 October 2024	1		
Previously before Council:				
Disclosure of Interest:				
Nature of Council's Role:	Executive			
Attachments:				
Maps/Diagrams:				
Site:				
Lot Area:				

Purpose of Report

To provide Council with details of the Quote submissions received for Western Australian Local Government Association (**WALGA**) Quote WQ24/25-21 - Supply and Installation of New Playground Equipment Quote, document the results of the Quote assessment and make recommendations regarding award of the contract.

Background

WALGA Quote WQ24/25-21 was advertised via the WALGA E-Quote System on Monday, 5 August 2024. The Quote closed at 2.00pm, Wednesday, 28 August 2024 and was publicly opened immediately after the closing time.

The WALGA E-Quote System was used as the Preferred Supplier Program included the leading Play Ground Equipment suppliers, who have been prequalified and are experienced in providing quality play equipment used by Local Governments.

Details

The scope of the Contract is for the supply and installation of new General Playground Equipment and Nature Based Playground Equipment at the locations specified. These works are part of the City of Rockingham's (**City**) annual playground equipment replacement program.

XBu

Presiding Member

The type of works to be undertaken under the Contract shall include:

- Removal of existing Playground Equipment;
- Installation of new General Playground Equipment, in selected locations;
- Installation of new Nature Based Playground Equipment, in selected locations;
- Installation of new Rubber Soft Fall, in selected locations; and
- Installation of new Shade Sails, in selected locations.

A panel as approved by the Director Asset Services in compliance with Executive Standard -Purchasing of Goods and Services, undertook Quote evaluations.

The Quote allowed for 13 Separable Portions with five (5) Quote submissions received. Each Supplier did not provide submissions for all Separable Portions, instead presenting submissions for the portions that best suited their business's capacity and capability; hence, the panel assessed each separable portion.

In the Request for Quote documentation, the City provided the available budget for each site. This approach provides tailored solutions as Suppliers can adjust their proposals to fit within the allocated budget, ensuring that the City receives the best value for money. This reflects within the Pricing Considerations below with the majority of submissions achieving maximum score allocation. This is a proven process used for many years and allows for appropriately costed and designed playgrounds that fit the existing sites.

Evaluation of the Quote, in accordance with the advertised Quote assessment criteria, produced the following weighted scores:

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	55.9	15.0	93.5
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6

Separable Portion 1 - Anvils Circle Reserve, Secret Harbour

The Quote assessment requires that Local Content is considered with the following outcome:

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	93.5	1.0	94.5	1
Forpark - Option 1	91.5	1.0	92.5	2
Forpark - Option 2	91.5	1.0	92.5	2

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

LXBucha

Presiding Member

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	54.1	15.0	92.8
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	53.9	15.0	91.5
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	29.6	15.0	66.0
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	29.6	15.0	66.0

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 1	92.8	1.0	93.8	1
Forpark - Option 2	91.5	1.0	92.5	2
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	91.5	1.0	91.5	3

Separable Portion 3 - Athens Entrance

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	54.1	15.0	92.8
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	53.9	15.0	91.5
Active Discovery - Option 1	24.5	35.5	15.0	75.0
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	35.8	15.0	72.2
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	35.8	15.0	72.2

LXBuch

The Quote assessmen	t requires that Loca	I Content is considered	with the following outcome:

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 2	92.8	1.0	93.8	1
Forpark - Option 1	91.5	1.0	92.5	2
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	91.5	1.0	92.5	2

Separable Portion 4 - Bismarck Reserve

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 P7ts
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	52.2	15.0	90.9
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	51.9	15.0	90.6
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	53.0	15.0	90.6
Active Discovery - Option 2	24.5	34.8	15.0	74.3
Active Discovery - Option 1	24.5	34.2	15.0	73.7
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	35.2	15.0	71.6

The Quote assessment requires that Local Content is considered with the following outcome:

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 1	90.9	1.0	91.9	1
Forpark - Option 2	90.6	1.0	90.6	2
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	90.6	1.0	90.6	2

LXBucha ~

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

Separable	Portion	5 -	Fountain	Park	Reserve
-----------	---------	-----	----------	------	---------

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	54.1	14.9	92.7
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	52.8	14.9	91.4
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	53.9	14.9	91.4
Active Discovery - Option 1	24.5	39.9	14.9	79.3
Active Discovery - Option 2	24.5	39.9	14.9	79.3
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	32.7	14.9	69.0
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	32.7	14.9	69.0
Donegan Enterprises - Option 1	15.2	32.7	15.0	62.9

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 2	92.7	1.0	93.7	1
Forpark - Option 1	91.4	1.0	92.4	2
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	91.4	1.0	92.4	2

Separable Portion 6 - Hayeswater Reserve

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	54.1	15.0	92.8
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	53.9	15.0	91.5

LXBucha ~

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 1	92.8	1.0	93.8	1
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	91.5	1.0	92.5	2
Forpark - Option 2	91.5	1.0	92.5	2

Separable Portion 7 - June Road Reserve

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	53.4	14.9	90.9
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	51.8	14.9	90.4
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	51.8	14.9	90.4
Donegan Enterprises - Option 1	15.2	30.3	15.0	60.5

The Quote assessment requires that Local Content is considered with the following outcome:

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	90.9	1.0	91.9	1
Forpark - Option 1	90.4	1.0	91.4	2
Forpark - Option 2	90.4	1.0	91.4	2

Separable Portion 8 - Lagoon Reserve

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	53.6	15.0	92.3
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	54.3	15.0	91.9
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
Active Discovery - Option 1	24.5	35.1	15.0	74.6
Active Discovery - Option 2	24.5	35.1	15.0	74.6

LXBu ho

Presiding Member

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 1	92.3	1.0	93.3	1
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	91.9	1.0	92.9	2
Forpark - Option 2	91.5	1.0	92.5	3

Separable Portion 9 - Madeira Reserve

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	54.1	14.9	92.7
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	54.7	14.9	92.2
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	52.8	14.9	91.4
Active Discovery - Option 1	24.5	35.5	14.9	74.9
Active Discovery - Option 2	24.5	35.5	14.9	74.9
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	36.2	14.9	72.5
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	36.2	14.9	72.5
Donegan Enterprises - Option 1	15.2	32.7	15.0	62.9

The Quote assessment requires that Local Content is considered with the following outcome:

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 1	92.7	1.0	93.7	1
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	92.2	1.0	93.2	2
Forpark - Option 2	91.4	92.5	91.4	3

LXBuchan

Presiding Member

Separable Portion 10 - Phoebe Hymus Reserve

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	53.2	14.6	91.5
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	52.8	14.6	91.1
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6

Separable Portion 11 - Port Kennedy Community Centre

Nil submissions received.

Separable Portion 12 - Steel Tree Reserve

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	55.3	15.0	94.0
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	54.5	15.0	93.2
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	55.5	15.0	93.1
Active Discovery - Option 1	24.5	36.8	15.0	76.3
Active Discovery - Option 2	24.5	36.8	15.0	76.3
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	38.2	15.0	74.6
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	37.8	15.0	74.2

The Quote assessment requires that Local Content is considered with the following outcome:

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Forpark - Option 2	94.0	1.0	95.0	1
Forpark - Option 1	93.2	1.0	94.2	2
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	93.1	1.0	94.1	3

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

LXBu

Presiding Member

Supplier	Level of Service	Understanding Quote Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score
	25 Pts	60 Pts	15 Pts	100 Pts
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	22.6	54.7	15.0	92.3
Forpark - Option 1	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
Forpark - Option 2	23.7	52.8	15.0	91.5
Active Discovery - Option 1	24.5	35.1	15.0	74.6
Active Discovery - Option 2	24.5	35.1	15.0	74.6
A Space Australia - Option 2	21.4	36.6	15.0	73.0
A Space Australia - Option 1	21.4	36.2	15.0	72.6

Separable Portion 13 - Waterfront Parkway Reserve

The Quote assessment requires that Local Content is considered with the following outcome:

Supplier	Original Score	Local Content	Total Score	Rank
	100 Pts	5 Pts	105 Pts	
Miracle Recreation Equipment - Option 1	92.3	1.0	93.3	1
Forpark - Option 1	91.5	1.0	92.5	2
Forpark - Option 2	91.5	1.0	92.5	2

This is a lump sum contract which does not allow for a price variation due to rise and fall or a variation in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for Perth Western Australia.

Implications to Consider

- a. Consultation with the Community
 - Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Nil

c. Strategic

Community Plan

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspirations and Strategic Objectives contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2023-2033:

1. Social - A family-friendly, safe and connected community Aspiration: Community health and wellbeing - Strengthen community health Outcome/Objective: and wellbeing Aspiration: 3. Built Environment - A built environment carefully planned for today and tomorrow *Outcome/Objective:* Built infrastructure meets current and future community needs -Plan build and maintain current and future assets

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

PAGE 37

Presiding Member

d. Policy

In accordance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, for purchases above \$250,000, a public Quote process is to be conducted in accordance with the provision of section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995;* and *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11A(1).

In accordance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, Local Content was assessed as part of the Quote assessment.

e. Financial

The recommended submissions totalling \$569,900 is in accordance with the approved Budget and Business Plan.

f. Legal and Statutory

In accordance with section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act* 1995 *Local Government* (*Functions and General*) *Regulations* 1996, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11(1).

'Quotes are to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division before a local government enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250,000 unless sub regulation (2) states otherwise'.

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks.

Customer Service / Project management / Environment: High and Extreme Risks Finance / Personal Health and Safety: Medium, High and Extreme Risks

Nil

Comments

The replacement of play equipment within the City's parks and reserves is considered to be of high importance due to the frequency of use, potential impact on safety and required continuation of service delivery through essential infrastructure. Suppliers were not required to provide submissions for all sites and each site is to be treated as a separable portion. Following consideration of the quotes received, the panel considered that allocating separable play equipment portions and the removal of the existing play elements prior to the new installation, to two (2) suppliers offered best value to the City.

As Suppliers declined to provide any options for the Separable Portion 11 - Port Kennedy Community Centre, the City will review the scope and allocation for this site and seek quotations, separate to this process.

The submission for the play equipment received from Forpark for Aquatic Centre option 1, Athens Entrance option 2, Bismarck Reserve option 1, Fountain Park Reserve option 2, Hayeswater Reserve option 1, Lagoon Reserve option 1, Madeira Reserve option 1, Phoebe Hymus Reserve option 2 and Steel Tree Reserve option 2 inclusive of removal of the existing equipment at the respective sites were considered best value.

The submission for the play equipment received from Miracle for Anvils Circle Reserve option 1, June Road Reserve option 1 and Waterfront Parkway Reserve option 1 inclusive of removal of the existing equipment at the respective site were considered best value.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council ACCEPTS:

1. The Quote submitted from 4Park Pty Ltd T/A Forpark, 36 Adams Drive, Welshpool WA 6106 for Quote WQ24/25-21 - Supply and Installation of New Playground Equipment in accordance with the Quote documentation for the lump sum value of \$468,000 (ex GST) for the following Separable Portions:

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

XBu

PAGE 38

Presiding Member

Separable Portion	Site	Option	Amount
2	Aquatic Centre	1	\$ 56,440.00
3	Athens Entrance	2	\$ 37,080.00
4	Bismarck Reserve	1	\$ 33,800.00
5	Fountain Park Reserve	2	\$ 24,020.00
6	Hayeswater Reserve	1	\$ 63,400.00
8	Lagoon Reserve	1	\$ 39,640.00
9	Madeira Reserve	1	\$ 23,240.00
10	Phoebe Hymus Reserve	2	\$116,020.00
12	Steel Tree Reserve	2	\$ 74,360.00
Total			\$ 468,000.00

2. The Quote submitted from Superior Nominees Pty Ltd trading as Miracle Recreation Equipment, 54 Dellamarta Road, Wangara WA 6065 for Quote WQ24/25-21 - Supply and Installation of New Playground Equipment in accordance with the Quote documentation for the lump sum value of \$101,900 (ex GST) for the following Separable Portions:

Separable Portion	Site Optio		Amount
1	Anvils Circle Reserve	1	\$ 39,500.00
7	June Road Reserve	1	\$ 28,900.00
13	Waterfront Parkway Reserve	1	\$ 33,500.00
Total			\$ 101,900.00

Committee Recommendation

Moved Mayor Hamblin, seconded Cr Jones:

That Council ACCEPTS:

1. The Quote submitted from 4Park Pty Ltd T/A Forpark, 36 Adams Drive, Welshpool WA 6106 for Quote WQ24/25-21 - Supply and Installation of New Playground Equipment in accordance with the Quote documentation for the lump sum value of \$468,000 (ex GST) for the following Separable Portions:

Separable Portion	Site	Option	Amount
2	Aquatic Centre	1	\$ 56,440.00
3	Athens Entrance	2	\$ 37,080.00
4	Bismarck Reserve	1	\$ 33,800.00
5	Fountain Park Reserve	2	\$ 24,020.00
6	Hayeswater Reserve	1	\$ 63,400.00
8	Lagoon Reserve	1	\$ 39,640.00
9	Madeira Reserve	1	\$ 23,240.00
10	Phoebe Hymus Reserve	2	\$116,020.00
12	Steel Tree Reserve	2	\$ 74,360.00
Total			\$ 468,000.00

2. The Quote submitted from Superior Nominees Pty Ltd trading as Miracle Recreation Equipment, 54 Dellamarta Road, Wangara WA 6065 for Quote WQ24/25-21 - Supply and Installation of New Playground Equipment in accordance with the Quote documentation for the lump sum value of \$101,900 (ex GST) for the following Separable Portions:

XBru

Presiding Member

Separable Portion	Site	Option	Amount
1	Anvils Circle Reserve	1	\$ 39,500.00
7	June Road Reserve	1	\$ 28,900.00
13	Waterfront Parkway Reserve	1	\$ 33,500.00
Total			\$ 101,900.00

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

LXBuch

Presiding Member

Asset Services Operations and Fleet Services				
Report number/title:	AS-032/24	Tender T24/25-01 - Framework Agreement for Maintenance and Repairs to Pole Lighting Infrastructure		
File number:	T24/25-01			
Proponent/s				
Author:	Mr Tony Bailey, Manager Operations and Fleet Services			
Other Contributors:	Miss Astrid Grov, Contracts Officer			
Date of Committee Meeting:	14 October 2024			
Previously before Council:	25 May 2021 (EP-012/21)			
Disclosure of Interest:				
Nature of Council's Role:	Executive			
Attachments:				
Maps/Diagrams:				
Site:	Various			
Lot Area:				

Purpose of Report

To provide Council with details of the Tender submissions received for Tender T24/25-01 - Framework Agreement for Maintenance and Repairs to Pole Lighting Infrastructure, document the results of the Tender assessment and make recommendations regarding award of the contract.

Background

Tender T24/25-01 - Framework Agreement for Maintenance and Repairs to Pole Lighting Infrastructure was advertised in the West Australian on Saturday, 3 August 2024. The Tender closed at 2.00pm, Wednesday, 21 August 2024 and was publicly opened immediately after the closing time.

Details

The type of works to be undertaken under the contract includes, but is not limited to, the installation, repair and maintenance of the following City of Rockingham (**City**) electrical assets:

- street lighting;
- bollard lighting; and
- sports floodlighting

The period of the contract shall be from the date of award for 36 months.

A panel as approved by the Director Asset Services in compliance with Executive Standard -Purchasing of Goods and Services, undertook Tender evaluations.

XBu

Presiding Member

Evaluation of the Tender, in accordance with the advertised Tender assessment criteria, produced the following weighted scores:

Tenderer	Level of Service	Understanding Tender Requirements	Price Considerations	Total Score	Rank
	30 Pts	30 Pts	40 Pts	100 Pts	
Greenlite Electrical Contractors Pty Ltd	26.5	24.9	40.0	91.4	1
M Power U Electrical Pty Ltd	23.6	22.7	32.8	79.1	2
Surun Services Pty Ltd	20.5	24.5	31.4	76.4	3
Boyan Electrical Pty Ltd	17.8	17.5	27.6	62.9	4
IPC Maintenance Pty Ltd	6.5	13.4	30.6	50.5	5

The Contractor will be entitled to a price variation of the Contract rate every 12 months from the date of award. Such a price variation will be calculated in accordance with the variation in the Consumer Price Index (**CPI**) for Perth, Western Australia for all groups for the 12 months preceding the last completed CPI quarter as at the date the price variation is due.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

Not Applicable

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Not Applicable

c. Strategic

Community Plan

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Strategic Community Plan 2023-2033:

Aspiration: 3. Built Environment - A built environment carefully planned for today and tomorrow

Outcome/Objective: Built infrastructure meets current and future community needs -Plan build and maintain current and future assets

d. Policy

In accordance with Executive Standard - Purchasing of Goods and Services, for purchases above \$250,000, a public Tender process is to be conducted in accordance with the provision of section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act 1995*; and *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11A(1).

e. Financial

Expenditure will be in accordance with the approved Budget and Business Plan. Annualised expenditure in the initial 12 months under the contract is estimated to be \$606,000 (ex GST).

f. Legal and Statutory

In accordance with section 3.57 of the *Local Government Act* 1995 and *Local Government* (*Functions and General*) Regulations 1996, Part 4, Division 2, regulation 11(1).

XBu

Presiding Member

'Tenders are to be publicly invited according to the requirements of this Division before a local government enters into a contract for another person to supply goods or services if the consideration under the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$250,000 unless sub regulation (2) states otherwise'.

g. Risk

All Council decisions are subject to risk assessment according to the City's Risk Framework.

Implications and comment will only be provided for the following assessed risks. *Customer Service / Project management / Environment : High and Extreme Risks Finance / Personal Health and Safety : Medium, High and Extreme Risks*

Nil

Comments

In addition to price, Tenderers were required to supply qualitative information addressing level of service, organisational structure, demonstrated relevant industry experience, available resources, qualified personnel, methodology for undertaking works and quality accreditation systems.

The standard of information in the Tender submissions demonstrated different levels of capability to undertake the contract requirements.

The submission received from Greenlite Electrical Contractors Pty Ltd presented a very thorough and clear understanding of the requirements of this contract. The submission also communicated a strong understanding of the City's standard for delivering a quality service.

Greenlite Electrical Contractors Pty Ltd scored the highest in Price Weighting and overall scoring, and is considered to provide best value to the City. Therefore, Greenlite Electrical Contractors Pty Ltd is recommended as the preferred Tenderer for Tender T24/25-01 - Framework Agreement for Maintenance and Repairs to Pole Lighting Infrastructure.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council **ACCEPTS** the Tender submitted from Greenlite Electrical Contractors Pty Ltd, 2/6 Profit Pass, Wangara WA 6027 for Tender T24/25-01 - Framework Agreement for Maintenance and Repairs to Pole Lighting Infrastructure in accordance with the Tender documentation being from the date of award for a period of 36 months.

Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Wormall, seconded Cr Hudson:

That Council **ACCEPTS** the Tender submitted from Greenlite Electrical Contractors Pty Ltd, 2/6 Profit Pass, Wangara WA 6027 for Tender T24/25-01 - Framework Agreement for Maintenance and Repairs to Pole Lighting Infrastructure in accordance with the Tender documentation being from the date of award for a period of 36 months.

Committee Voting (Carried) - 6/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation

Not Applicable

5:48pm - Mayor Hamblin departed the Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting.

Confirmed at a Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting held on Monday 18 November 2024

XBucho

Presiding Member

13.	Reports of Council Members
	Nil
14.	Addendum Agenda
	Nil
15.	Motions of which Previous Notice has been given
	Nil
16.	Notices of Motion for Consideration at the Following Meeting
	Nil
17.	Urgent Business Approved by the Person Presiding or by Decision of the Committee
	Nil
18.	Matters Behind Closed Doors
	Nil
19.	Date and Time of Next Meeting
	The next Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting will be held on Monday 18 November 2024 in the Council Chamber, Council Administration Building, Civic Boulevard, Rockingham. The meeting will commence at 5:00pm.
20.	Closure
	There being no further business, the Chairperson thanked those persons present for attending the Planning and Asset Services Committee meeting, and declared the meeting closed at 5:48pm .

LXBu ha ~ **Presiding Member**

PAGE 44