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# City of Rockingham
## Planning and Engineering Services Committee Meeting Minutes

**Monday 17 August 2015 - Council Boardroom**

### 1. Declaration of Opening

The Chairperson declared the Planning and Engineering Services Committee Meeting open at **4.00pm**, welcomed all present, and delivered the Acknowledgement of Country.

### 2. Record of Attendance/Apologies/Approved Leave of Absence

#### 2.1 Councillors

- Cr Richard Smith (Deputy Mayor)  
- Cr Chris Elliott  
- Cr Ron Pease JP  
- Cr Deb Hamblin  
- Cr Allan Hill OAM JP  
- Cr Leigh Liley  
- Cr Justin Smith (Observer until 4.22pm)

#### 2.2 Executive

- Mr Andrew Hammond (Observer until 4.22pm)
- Mr Bob Jeans  
- Mr John Woodhouse  
- Mr Chris Thompson  
- Mr Peter Ricci  
- Mr Brett Ashby  
- Mr Richard Rodgers  
- Mr Mike Ross  
- Mr Rod Fielding  
- Mr Ian Daniels  
- Mr Gary Rogers (Observer until 4.22pm)
- Ms Morgan Adams  
- Mr James Henson  
- Mr Stuart McCarthy  
- Mr Adam Johnston  
- Mr Allan Moles  
- Ms Melinda Wellburn

#### 2.3 Members of the Gallery:

| 1 |  |

**CONFIRMED AT A PLANNING AND ENGINEERING SERVICES MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 14 SEPTEMBER 2015**

__________________________
PRESIDING MEMBER
2.4 Apologies: Nil
2.5 Approved Leave of Absence: Nil

3. Responses to Previous Public Questions Taken on Notice
   Nil

4. Public Question Time

   4.01pm The Chairperson invited members of the Public Gallery to ask questions.

   4.1 Mr James Mumme, 36 Gloucester Avenue, Shoalwater - Palm Beach Caravan Park

       The Chairperson invited Mr Mumme to present his questions to the Planning and Engineering Services Committee. Mr Mumme tabled the following the questions:

       1. Process
          (i) On 28 July Council carried en bloc a vote to ADOPT (initiate) Amendment No. 157?
          (ii) Why did the Committee vary the Officer Recommendation, namely to require the LSP to be presented to Council for consideration of approval to proceed to advertise for public submissions rather than be advertised under delegated authority?
          (iii) Does this change mean that Council will also approved the LSP before public submissions are called for?

       2. Lake Richmond
          (i) SEWPAC has declared this development "not a controlled action". Given the ongoing parlous state of Lake Richmond's water quality and the fact that it is a highest priority wetland and the fact that MBM is controlled action with many onerous requirements, can you help me understand why this development is not a controlled action?
          (ii) Would Council consider appealing this?

       3. Stormwater
          (i) The Interim Recovery Plan for the thrombolites has stringent criteria for success regarding quality and level of water. The EAR states "dust and stormwater runoff will also be controlled during development to limit any in-direct impacts to nearby sensitive environments.". I notice that the word used is "limit" not avoid or prevent. Why will dust and stormwater runoff be allowed to enter the lake at all?
          (ii) Groundwater on the development site is known to be up to 50cm below the surface so mixing the storm water is likely. The proponent expected to manage water on site. It seems unclear whether 'on site' means no water is allowed to enter the lake or not. I believe that one condition of the Anchorage was that no storm water runoff from blocks is allowed to enter the lake. Why is there no such condition imposed for this development?

       4. Contamination
          (i) The EAR appears contradictory. At one point it mentions "desk top study" and at another a "site investigation". Does this mean both?
          (ii) Surely some groundwater sampling in between the caravan park and Lake Richmond and testing for contaminants would have been considered important?
(iii) Will removal of the leach drains and soil around the septic tanks be required?
(iv) I note that this matter is the responsibility of the developer. Who will monitor the developer?

5. Environmental Sensitivity
(i) Page 11 says “in an environmentally sensitive manner and good landscaping”. What exactly do these mean?
(ii) When will they be specified?
(iii) Why not mention that bird/frog friendly habitats should be created?

6. Traffic
(i) The Traffic Impact Assessment appears inadequate. It claims that the existing intersections and roads are capable of carrying an extra 4050 trips/day, but there is no mention of the expected impact of MBM in both development stage or operational stage nor of the expansion of the Stirling Base. The PER gives 4000 vehicles per day on Point Peron Road in 2018. Why is there no mention of the MBM?

This figure is based on an assumption of an average of one car/household and nine trips/day. If families of naval personal are going to live here, it's likely that they will have two cars and use them both each weekday.

It's estimated that one tenth of 40% of the traffic will head west on Lake Street towards Safety Bay Road. Given the naval personal are the most likely residents here, surely this figure is unrealistic. The TIA estimate is 4% of 4050 which gives 160 movements westwards.

7. Lack of Vision
(i) I'm glad that local native plants are required and that cats will be banned (or curfewed?), but here is a chance for a truly forward looking development. Why should not it be specified at this stage that houses be designed to be passive solar, to face towards the north instead of facing towards the streets or laneway, and to generation their own power, and for bird and frog friendly landscaping?
(ii) Instead of merely circulating some printed educational materials, why not require the developer or DHA to support a friends of Lake Richmond Group?

8. Weed Control
(i) I notice in the EAR that this is a planning matter rather than a matter for dealing with actual weeds. Weeds are a major problem for Lake Richmond. What is proposed to ensure that weeds do not grow on the cleared land before and during construction of infrastructure and housing?

9. Housing Heights
(i) In the text, it states that there will not be two storey housing along Lake Street but in the graphic shown as a model there are two storey houses along the Street. Why? It is suggested that some will be “higher” - how much higher? A limited should be specified.

The Chairperson advised that all questions would be taken on notice and a written response would be provided at a later date.

4.05pm There being no further questions the Chairperson closed Public Question Time.
5. **Confirmation of Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

   **Moved Cr Hill, seconded Cr Pease:**

   That Committee **CONFIRM** the Minutes of the Planning and Engineering Services Committee Meeting held on 20 July 2015, as a true and accurate record.

   Committee Voting – 5/0

6. **Matters Arising from the Previous Minutes**

   Nil

7. **Announcement by the Presiding Person without Discussion**

   **4.05pm** The Chairperson announced to all present that decisions made at Committees of Council are recommendations only and may be adopted in full, amended or deferred when presented for consideration at the next Council meeting.

8. **Declarations of Members and Officers Interests**

   **4.06pm** The Chairperson asked if there were any interests to declare.

   There were none.

9. **Petitions/Deputations/Presentations/Submissions**

   Nil

10. **Matters for which the Meeting may be Closed**

    Nil

11. **Bulletin Items**

    **Planning and Development Services Information Bulletin – August 2015**

    **Health Services**

    1. Health Services Team Overview
    2. Human Resource Update
    3. Project Status Reports
       3.1 FoodSafe
       3.2 Industrial and Commercial Waste Monitoring
       3.3 Mosquito Control Program
       3.4 Ocean Water and Storm Water Sampling
    4. Information Items
       4.1 Mosquito-Borne Disease Notifications
       4.2 Food Recalls
       4.3 Food Premises Inspections
       4.4 Public Building Inspections
       4.5 Outdoor Public Event Approvals
       4.6 After Hours Noise and Smoke Nuisance Complaint Service
       4.7 Complaint - Information
       4.8 Noise Complaints - Detailed Information
       4.9 Building Plan Assessments
       4.10 Septic Tank Applications
       4.11 Demolitions
       4.12 Swimming Pool and Drinking Water Samples
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.13 Rabbit Processing</th>
<th>4.14 Hairdressing and Skin Penetration Premises</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.15 New Family Day Care Approvals</td>
<td>4.16 Emergency Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.17 Social Media</td>
<td>4.18 Bush Fire Hazard Reduction Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.19 Accredited Volunteer Training</td>
<td>4.20 Emergency Exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.21 Operation Shoalwater Emergency Exercise</td>
<td>4.22 Rangers Actions Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.23 Animal Microchipping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Building Services**

1. Building Services Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
4. Information Items
   4.1 Building Fee and Building Services Levy Payments – Statutory Charges Increased
   4.2 Monthly Building Permit Approvals - (All Building Types)
   4.3 Private Swimming Pool and Spa Inspection Program
   4.4 Continued Service System – Where a Certificate of Design Compliance & Building Permit are Produced Individually
   4.5 Demolition Permit
   4.6 Permanent Sign Licence
   4.7 Community Sign Approval
   4.8 Temporary Sign Licence
   4.9 Street Verandah Approval
   4.10 Occupancy Permits
   4.11 Strata Titles
   4.12 Excavation Licence
   4.13 Unauthorised Building Works (Section 51 of the Building Act)
   4.14 Monthly Caravan Park Site Approvals
   4.15 R Code Variations

**Strategic Planning and Environment**

1. Strategic Planning and Environment Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Local Planning Strategy (LUP/1352)
   3.2 Water Campaign (EVM/56-02)
   3.3 Karnup District Structure Plan (LUP/1546)
   3.4 Cockburn Sound Coastal Alliance – Vulnerability & Flexible Adaptation Pathways Project Stage 3 (EVM/149)
4. Information Items
   4.1 Delegated Minor Changes to Structure Plans

**Statutory Planning**

1. Statutory Planning Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Planning Products via the Web formerly eDA
4. Information Items
   4.1 Land Use - Planning Enforcement
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PRESIDING MEMBER

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Subdivision/Development Approvals and Refusals by the WAPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Notifications and Gazettals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Subdivision Clearances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Subdivision Survey Approvals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Subdivision Lot Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Delegated Development Approvals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Delegated Development Refusals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>Delegated Building Envelope Variations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>Subdivision/Amalgamation Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>Strata Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>Subdivision/Amalgamation Refused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>Review of State Planning Policy 3.1 - Residential Design Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>Palm Beach Caravan Park - Advertising of Scheme Amendment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Planning and Development Directorate

1. Planning and Development Directorate Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Rockingham Primary Centre, Centre Plan Implementation (LUP/137-08)
   3.2 Northern Smart Village Sector – Masterplan, Development Policy Plan and Scheme Amendment
   3.3 Campus Sector – Masterplan, Development Policy Plan and Scheme Amendment
   3.4 Eastern Sector - Masterplan, Development Policy Plan and Scheme Amendment
   3.5 Southern Gateway Sector – Masterplanning, Development Policy Plan and TPS (LUP/1846 & LUP/1847)
   3.6 Rockingham Station Sector – Masterplanning and Development Policy Plan (LUP/1848)
   3.7 ‘Mangles Bay Marina’
   3.8 Rockingham Beach Foreshore Master Plan
4. Information Items
   4.1 Warnbro Dunes Pilot Project - Project Update
   4.2 Metropolitan Region Scheme Amendment – ‘Mangles Bay Marina’

Advisory Committee Minutes

Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Hamblin, seconded Cr Pease:
That Councillors acknowledge having read the Planning Services Information Bulletin – August 2015 and the content be accepted.

Committee Voting – 5/0

Engineering and Parks Services Information Bulletin – August 2015

Engineering Services

1. Engineering Services Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Road Safety Strategy Review
   3.2 Integrated Transport Plan Review
   3.3 Stormwater Adaptation Plan
4. Information Items
   4.1 Delegated Authority for Temporary Thoroughfare Closure
   4.2 Delegated Authority for the payment of Crossover Subsidies.
   4.3 Delegated Authority to approve the release of Bonds for private subdivisional works
   4.4 Delegated Authority to approve of Engineering Drawings - Subdivisions
   4.5 Delegated Authority for Notices given under s3.25(1)(a) LG Act 1995
   4.6 Delegated Authority for approval of Directional Signage
   4.7 Engineering Services Design Projects 2015/2016
   4.8 Handover of Subdivisional Roads
   4.9 Structure Plan Referrals
   4.10 Traffic Report Referrals
   4.11 Urban Water Management Referrals
   4.12 Subdivision Approval Referrals
   4.13 Subdivision Clearance Requests
   4.14 Development Application Referrals
   4.15 Authorised Traffic Management Plans for Works on City Controlled Roads
   4.16 Safety Bay Road – Principal Shared Path

Engineering Operations
1. Engineering Operations Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
4. Information Items
   4.1 Road Construction Program Roads to Recovery 2015/2016
   4.2 Road Construction Program Main Roads Grant 2015/2016
   4.3 Road Rehabilitation Program Main Roads Grant 2015/2016
   4.4 Road Construction Program Federal Black Spot 2015/2016
   4.5 Road Construction Program Municipal Works 2015/2016
   4.6 Road Renewal Program Municipal Works 2015/2016
   4.7 Road Resurfacing Program Municipal Works 2015/2016
   4.8 Drainage Program Municipal Works 2015/2016
   4.9 Footpath Construction Program Municipal Works 2015/2016
   4.10 Footpath Renewal Program Municipal Works 2015/2016
   4.11 Road Maintenance Program 2015/2016
   4.12 Litter Team and Sweeping 2015/2016
   4.14 Correspondence Received
   4.15 Passenger Vehicle Fleet Program 2015/2016
   4.16 Light Commercial Vehicles Program 2015/2016
   4.17 Heavy Plant Program 2015/2016

Parks Development
1. Parks Development Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Secret Harbour Emergency Access Path
   3.2 Rockingham Foreshore Management Plan
   3.3 Lewington Reserve Environmental Management Plan Review
   3.4 Tamworth Hill Swamp, Revegetation
   3.5 City Parks - Central Irrigation Management System
   3.6 Laurie Stanford Irrigation Upgrade
   3.7 Laurie Stanford Practice Cricket Net Replacement
4. Information Items
   4.1 Groundwater Monitoring
   4.2 Kulija Road Environmental Offsets
   4.3 Climate Change Mitigation
   4.4 Lake Richmond Heritage Listing
   4.5 Dixon Road Conservation Reserve AAG Funding
   4.6 Urban Water Management Referrals
   4.7 Structure Plan Approval Referrals
   4.8 Subdivision Approval Referrals
   4.9 Development Application Referrals
   4.10 Delegated Subdivision Public Open Space Practical Completion
   4.11 Delegated Subdivision Public Open Space Handovers
   4.12 Delegated Public Open Space Approvals
   4.13 Memorial Seat Approvals

Parks Operations
1. Parks Operation Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Beach Lookout Replacement - Secret Harbour Foreshore
   3.2 Baldivis Nature Reserve, Install Formal Limestone Access Path (Greening Plan)
   3.3 Stan Twight Reserve – Cricket Net Renewal
   3.4 Centenary Reserve – Garden Kerbing Renewal
   3.5 Play Equipment Replacements
4. Information Items
   4.1 Parks Maintenance Program 2015/2016

Asset Management
1. Asset Management Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Drainage data collection
4. Information Items
   4.1 Asset Management Improvement Strategy
   4.2 Asset Systems Management
   4.3 Solar Power

Building Maintenance
1. Building Maintenance Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   3.1 Secret Harbour Car Park – Lighting Upgrade
   3.2 Replacement of Flood Lighting – City of Rockingham Administration Building
   3.3 Replacement of Electric Fence – City of Rockingham Depot/Operations Centre
   3.4 Warning Signage and Fire Panel Upgrade – City of Rockingham Depot and Operations Centre

4. Information Items
   4.1 Building Maintenance
   4.2 Graffiti Removal
   4.3 Lighting Inspections

4.22pm - Cr Leigh Liley departed the Planning and Engineering Services Committee meeting.
## Capital Projects

1. Capital Projects Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   - 3.1 Coastal Management Consultants (Sand Drift/Erosion Problems)
   - 3.2 Coastal Infrastructure Facilities Consultant (Jetties/Boat Ramp Planning)
   - 3.3 Lighting Consultants (Technical Planning/Design, Underground Power Program)
   - 3.4 Major Project Property Development Planning (Design Modifications/Tender Planning/Structural Testing)
4. Information Items
   - 4.1 Shoalwater North Underground Power Project
   - 4.2 2015/2016 Public Area Lighting and Arterial Lighting
   - 4.3 Bent Street Boat Ramp Rock Armour Protection and Boat Ramp Maintenance
   - 4.4 Secret Harbour Surf Life Saving Club - Renovation
   - 4.5 Lark Hill Sub Metering
   - 4.6 Baldivis Reserve Toilet Replacement
   - 4.7 Lions Park Upgrade
   - 4.8 Waikiki Foreshore – Foreshore Protection Specification
   - 4.9 Rockingham Day Care Fire Panel Replacement
   - 4.10 Lotteries House Replacement of Elevator
   - 4.11 Administration Building Replacement of Elevator
   - 4.12 Aqua Jetty Swimming Club Office
   - 4.13 Eighty Road Reserve Club Facility
   - 4.14 Laurie Stanford Reserve Development
   - 4.15 Rhonda Scarrott Reserve Development
   - 4.16 Safety Bay Tennis Club – Reroofing and General Renovations
   - 4.17 Lifelinks – South Coast Women’s Health Building - Roof Modifications
   - 4.18 Baldivis Recreation Centre - Reroofing
   - 4.19 Point Peron Sand Trap Excavation and Beach Nourishment 2015/2016

## Waste Services

1. Waste Services Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   - 3.1 Introduction of 3 Bin Collection System including Roll Out of 360 litre Recycling Bins
4. Information Items
   - 4.1 Kerbside Collection
   - 4.2 Bulk Verge Collection
   - 4.3 Waste Diversion Percentage
   - 4.4 Public Street Bin Upgrade – City Administration Building
   - 4.5 Residential Waste Audit

## Millar Road Landfill and Recycling Facility

1. Millar Road Landfill and Recycling Facility's Team Overview
2. Human Resource Update
3. Project Status Reports
   - 3.1 Landfill access road off Kulija Road and associated internal roads
   - 3.2 Cell Construction – Cell 16
   - 3.3 New Leachate Dams
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Information Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Tip Passes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Landfill Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Waste Education and Promotion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Advisory Committee Minutes**

**Committee Recommendation**

Moved Cr Hamblin, seconded Cr Pease:

That Councillors acknowledge having read the Engineering and Parks Services Information Bulletin – August 2015 and the content be accepted.

Committee Voting – 5/0

4.35pm - Mr Richard Rodgers, Manager Building Services, Mr Gary Rogers, Manager Procurement and Projects, Ms Morgan Adams, A/Manager Asset Services, Mr Stuart McCarthy, Manager Engineering Services and Mr Adam Johnston, Manager Parks Operations departed the Planning and Engineering Services Committee meeting.
### 12. Agenda Items

#### Planning and Development Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference No &amp; Subject:</th>
<th>PDS-056/15 Proposed Building Envelope Variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File No:</td>
<td>DD024.2015.000015.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Register No:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant:</td>
<td>Mr B and Mrs M Shute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>Mr B and Mrs M Shute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td>Miss Keara Freeley, Planning Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Contributors:</td>
<td>Mr David Waller, Coordinator Statutory Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Committee Meeting:</td>
<td>17 August 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously before Council:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of Interest:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Council’s Role in this Matter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Site:                   | Lot 1012 (No.26) Peelfold Glen, Golden Bay |
| Lot Area:               | 2551m²                                     |
| LA Zoning:              | Special Residential                        |
| MRS Zoning:             | Rural                                      |
| Attachments:            |                                             |
| Maps/Diagrams:         | 1. Location Plan                            |
|                        | 2. Aerial Photograph                        |
|                        | 3. Existing and Proposed Building Envelope Plan |
|                        | 4. Consultation Plan                        |
1. Location Plan

2. Aerial Photograph

CONFIRMED AT A PLANNING AND ENGINEERING SERVICES
MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 14 SEPTEMBER 2015

PRESIDING MEMBER
**Purpose of Report**

To consider an application to vary the approved Building Envelope at Lot 1012 Peelfold Glen, Golden Bay.

**Background**

In June 2014, the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) granted approval to subdivide Lots 135-137, 151 and 9004 Gaze Grove, Lots 133,138, 140-145 Peelfold Glen and Lots 146-150 and 9003 Ayrton Court, Golden Bay into 31 lots. As part of the subdivision process Building Envelopes were identified for the proposed lots.

The approved Building Envelope is set back 26.4m from the eastern boundary, 4.1m from the northern boundary, 9m from the southern boundary and 11.8m from the western boundary.

The subject lot has mature Tuart trees in the eastern portion of the site. The topography of the site is generally level.

**Details**

The applicant seeks approval to increase the size of the approved Building Envelope from 600m² to 652m² (an increase of 9%). The modification of the Building Envelope is to allow for the construction of a future dwelling.

The applicant has provided the following justification for the proposal:

- To accommodate a 'country style' frontage house, which will be in keeping with the rural appearance of the area;
- The unused portion of the Building Envelope will be used to accommodate a shed and play equipment in the future;
- No vegetation will be impacted; and
- It will not impact neighbour's privacy.
3. Existing and Proposed Building Envelope Plan
Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

In accordance with Clause 6.3.3 of Town Planning Scheme No.2 (TPS2) and Planning Policy 3.3.17 - Variations to Building Envelopes, Building Envelopes may be varied at the discretion of the Council, only after consultation with the owners or affected or adjoining properties. The application was referred to six nearby and adjacent property owners for a period of 14 days as shown on the consultation plan below. At the close of the advertising period, one submission was received in support of the application.

4. Consultation Plan

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Not Applicable

c. Strategic Community Plan

This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Community Plan 2015-2025:

Aspiration D: Sustainable Environment

Strategic Objective: Land Use and Development Control - Planning for population growth and guiding development and land use to ensure that future generations enjoy a sustainable city and a genuinely desirable lifestyle.
d. Policy

Planning Policy 3.3.17 – Variations to Building Envelopes (PP3.3.17)

The proposed Building Envelope variation complies with the objectives and policy provisions of PP3.3.17. The proposed increase is 9%. The bush fire risk is not considered to increase as a result of the modification. The modification is to accommodate the proposed Dwelling which will have minimal effect on the neighbouring properties or existing vegetation. There is an area of unused Building Envelope located to the rear of the dwelling. This will be used to accommodate a future shed and play equipment and will prevent any further building envelope modifications being required.

e. Financial

Nil

f. Legal and Statutory

Schedule No.5 of TPS2 provides that the size and location of an approved Building Envelope may be varied with the approval of Council.

g. Risk

Risk Implications of Implementing Officer Recommendation

Nil

Risk Implications of Not Implementing Officer Recommendation

Nil

Comments

The proposed Building Envelope complies with TPS2 and PP3.3.17. There are no adverse environmental impacts associated with the proposed Building Envelope or increased fire risk. The impact of the proposed Building Envelope on the amenity of neighbouring properties is minimal given no vegetation is affected and no objections were raised to the proposal by adjoining owners. It is recommended that the proposed Building Envelope be approved.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

Officer Recommendation

That Council APPROVE the application to vary the Building Envelope at Lot 1012 Peelfold Glen, Golden Bay.

Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Elliott, seconded Cr Hamblin:

That Council APPROVE the application to vary the Building Envelope at Lot 1012 Peelfold Glen, Golden Bay.

Committee Voting – 5/0

The Committee’s Reason for Varying the Officer’s Recommendation

Not Applicable

Implications of the Changes to the Officer’s Recommendation

Not Applicable
## Engineering and Parks Services

### Parks Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference No &amp; Subject:</th>
<th>EP-033/15</th>
<th>Investigation into the requirement for an additional public ablution facility within the Waterfront Village Precinct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File No:</td>
<td>R/49939</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Register No:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proponent/s:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr James Henson, Manager Parks Development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Contributors:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Committee Meeting:</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 August 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of Interest:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Council’s Role in this Matter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Waterfront Village Precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Area:</td>
<td>43,897m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps/Diagrams:</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Waterfront Village Precinct plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Ablution facility location plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. First floor design plan for Gary Holland Community Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Ground floor design plan for Gary Holland Community Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Internal layout of universal access toilet at the Gary Holland Community Centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Waterfront Village Precinct Map

**Purpose of Report**

For Council to consider the outcome of an investigation into a need for an additional public ablution facility within the Waterfront Village Precinct.

**Background**

As a consequence of the notice of motion submitted by Councillor Allan Hill OAM JP, a report was presented at the June 2015 Council Meeting. Council resolved the following:

“That Council **DIRECT** the Chief Executive Officer to investigate if there is a need for an additional Public Ablution Facility within the Waterfront Village Precinct and a report on the findings be presented to Council no later than September 2015”.

**Details**

Over the past two years there have been significant advances made in the provision and upgrade of toilet facilities within the Waterfront Village Precinct and importantly, the foreshore areas of Bell and Churchill Parks.

Primarily, this work involved the demolition of the Bell Park ablution facility, which was replaced with two separate automated conveniences positioned at opposite ends of the reserve.
2. Ablution Facility Location Plan

The Waterfront Village Green (formerly Rockingham Oval) covers an area of 43,897m² and is therefore categorised as a District Reserve.

It is accepted that District Public Open Space (POS) should provide around 2.5 - 7.0 hectares, notionally serving three neighbourhoods, located within 600m - 1km walk from most dwellings. At the district level, facilities should be multifaceted to cater for social, cultural, sport and recreation needs that are unable to be addressed through neighbourhood and local provision.

The following table identifies the minimum standards for the development of local, neighbourhood and district level Public Open Space outlined in the City’s Planning Policy 3.4.1- Public Open Space.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>LEVEL OF PUBLIC OPEN SPACE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll-on Instant Turf</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscaped Planting Areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shade Trees</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seating</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground Equipment</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five (5) On-street Parking Bays</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One (1) On-street Disabled Parking Bay</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One (1) Off-street Disabled Parking Bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Toilets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual-use Path Network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 On-site Parking Bays, including a minimum of one (1) Disabled Parking Bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With the development of the Gary Holland Community Centre on the Village Green and in response to the above POS service level provisions, an allowance was made in the design of the Community Centre to provide internal gender specific amenities, together with a universal access toilet on both the first floor and the ground floor of the building, as shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.

The Community Centre is open Monday to Friday 9am to 5pm and Saturday, Sunday and Public Holidays 9am to 4pm and these amenities can be accessed by the public at any time during these hours.

3. First floor design plan for Gary Holland Community Centre

4. Ground floor design plan for Gary Holland Community Centre
The design also allowed for the inclusion of a universal access toilet which can only be accessed externally with no airlock admission to the internal space, as indicated in Figure 4. This provision however, is not always open to the public and therefore remains underutilised.

Rationalisation of the opening and closing times to allow permanent access, together with inclusion in the ablution facility cleaning contract currently managed by the City would need to be resolved; however preliminary investigations have not revealed this to be a difficult proposition.

It is anticipated the toilet access hours would be 7.00am to 7.00pm and centre management has confirmed they are comfortable with this arrangement.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community

Consultation has been carried out with the Gary Holland Community Centre management team regarding the proposal which was met with a favourable response.

b. Consultation with Government Agencies

Nil
c. **Strategic**

**Community Plan**

This item addresses the Community’s Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Community Plan 2015-2025:

**Aspiration C:** Quality Leadership

**Strategic Objective:** Infrastructure – Civic buildings, sporting facilities, public places and transport infrastructure planned, designed, constructed and maintained using best practice principles and life cycle cost analysis, and implemented in line with informed population growth analysis.

d. **Policy**

Nil

e. **Financial**

There will be a need to provide adequate signage and inclusion on the existing cleaning register.

The approximate cost of the signage modifications would be around $350 and the annual cleaning provisions around $5000 which would be met through existing Building Maintenance Operational accounts.

f. **Legal and Statutory**

Nil

g. **Risk**

Risk Implications of Implementing Officer Recommendation

Nil

Risk Implications of Not Implementing Officer Recommendation

Nil

**Comments**

Over the past ten years, significant emphasis has been placed on upgrading and replacing the public ablation facilities within the Waterfront Village Precinct, particularly on Churchill and Bell Parks.

To date, other than the recent Councillor request to investigate the requirement for an additional ablation facility within the Waterfront Village Precinct, the City is not aware of any other formal requests to increase the existing coverage. The recent investigation however, revealed that the existence of the external toilet facility connected to the Gary Holland Community Centre may not be broadly known or acknowledged by all park users.

It is therefore recommended that Council support taking the necessary steps to formalise the functionality of the external universal access toilet and make the appropriate changes to both the information and way finding signage to increase public awareness of the facility.

**Voting Requirements**

Simple Majority

**Officer Recommendation**

That Council:

1. **NOT SUPPORT** further investigation into installing an additional ablation facility within the Waterfront Village Precinct.

2. **AUTHORISE** the Chief Executive Officer to make the necessary improvements to enable effective use of the external public toilet at the Gary Holland Community Centre on the Waterfront Village Green, Rockingham.
Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Pease, seconded Cr Elliott:
That Council:

1. **NOT SUPPORT** further investigation into installing an additional ablution facility within the Waterfront Village Precinct.

2. **AUTHORISE** the Chief Executive Officer to make the necessary improvements to enable effective use of the external public toilet at the Gary Holland Community Centre on the Waterfront Village Green, Rockingham.

Committee Voting – 5/0

The Committee's Reason for Varying the Officer's Recommendation
Not Applicable

Implications of the Changes to the Officer’s Recommendation
Not Applicable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference No &amp; Subject:</th>
<th>EP-034/15</th>
<th>Investigation into installation of Water Refill Stations within the City of Rockingham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File No:</td>
<td>R/22568b-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Register No:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proponent/s:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td>Mr James Henson, Manager Parks Development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Contributors:</td>
<td>Ms Helen Savage, Coordinator City Properties, Ms Natalie Elliott, Environmental Projects Officer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Committee Meeting:</td>
<td>17 August 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously before Council:</td>
<td>23 June 2015 (CD-027/15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of Interest:</td>
<td>Executive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Council's Role in this Matter:</td>
<td>City of Rockingham Recreation Reserves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site:</td>
<td>City of Rockingham Recreation Reserves</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Area:</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Zoning:</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS Zoning:</td>
<td>Parks and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachments:</td>
<td>Image of Water Refill Station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps/Diagrams:</td>
<td>Image of Water Refill Station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose of Report**

For Council to consider the outcome of an investigation into the installation of Water Refill Stations within the City.

**Background**

As a consequence of the notice of motion submitted by Councillor Joy Stewart a report was presented at the June 2015 Council Meeting. Council resolved the following:

“That Council **DIRECT** the Chief Executive Officer to investigate the installation of Water Refill Stations within the City boundaries to provide a network of water dispensers”.

**Details**

As identified in the previous report to Council, the Water Refill Stations (WRS) are a commercial initiative providing chilled, low cost, filtered, still or sparkling water as an alternative to purchasing bottled water. They connect to local water and power supplies and are usually operated using a smartcard which is available for purchase online or from nearby participating retailers.
There are a number of WRS suppliers and while the premise remains the same, there is likely to be some variation regarding the specific features offered, the particulars of which are identified below:

- Insulated to withstand -20 to 50 degrees Celsius
- Weigh over 600kg and are bolted to a concrete pad
- Constructed from stainless steel and built to be vandal resistant
- Have one Visual Display Unit (VDU) advertising screen
- Have an estimated lifespan of 20 years

The cost of purchasing still or sparkling water from a WRS is approximately 25 cents for a small (400ml), 40 cents for a medium (600ml) and 50 cents for a large (1000ml) refill.

An investigation into WRS in other local government areas showed that in highly urban environments such as Murray Street in Perth usage was very high with 5,719 litres dispensed servicing more than 10,000 people over a six month period. Comparably, in a less densely populated parks and recreation setting at Cockburn Central usage was much lower, with only 236 litres dispensed servicing approximately 400 people over the peak summer period. This equates to only 2.6 litres per day over this duration.

Overall, the investigation revealed that WRS experience varied levels of visitation and therefore impacted relevance and commercial viability. To maximise the opportunity for use within the City the WRS would need to be situated in a reserve with extremely high volumes of pedestrian traffic.

Due to the relatively permanent nature of the structures and the land that the WRS would exclusively occupy, the City would require a lease to be entered into in accordance with the City’s Leasing Policy.

Furthermore, a lease would be required by the City to provide formal clarification relating to the obligations that the City and the vendor will have concerning the rent, outgoings, rates and taxes, insurances, maintenance, the term that the City is agreeable to having the water stations in place and the requirement for the vendor to ‘make good’ the land to its original state, upon the expiration of the lease.
Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community
   Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies
   An investigation into the installation of the WRS currently in operation in other local
government areas was undertaken to ascertain the level of usage and success of the units.

c. Strategic Community Plan

   This item addresses the Community’s Vision for the future and specifically the following
   Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Community Plan 2015-2025:

   **Aspiration B:** A Strong Community

   **Strategic Objective:** Services and Facilities - Community facilities and services that
   accommodate contemporary community expectation and are justified, well used, cost effective and, where appropriate, multi-
   functional.

d. Policy

   The City of Rockingham Leasing Policy applies to all land and buildings owned, managed
   or controlled by the City, with the lawful capacity to be leased. If a WRF was to be installed,
a commercial lease would be required to be entered into between the City and an entity that
is not an incorporated not for profit association. In accordance with the Leasing Policy a
maximum term of five years would apply.

e. Financial

   If a WRS was to be installed on a reserve the operating costs associated with the installation
   would need to be addressed in accordance with the City’s Leasing Policy.

   Commercial rental figures would be required to determine market rent which would need to
   be carried out by a licenced valuer or by tender or auction process. Rent would then be
   reviewed in line with the Consumer Price Index on the commencement of the second, fourth
   and fifth years and in line with market value on the commencement of the third year of the
   lease.

   The vendor would also be required to pay all insurances, rates, levies and statutory charges,
   maintenance charges, electricity, gas and water consumption charges and all other outgoing
   charges relating to the occupation of the property.

f. Legal and Statutory

   Section 3.58 of the Local Government Act 1995 relates to the disposal of property, including
   leasing and covers the requirement to give public notice and the consideration of
   submissions in respect of proposals.

   Amendment to any Management Order to include a condition to allow power to lease would
   require a formal submission to the Minister of Lands providing the following advice:

   - The reason for the request to grant power to lease,
   - The term (maximum term of lease including further terms) requested, and
   - Written consent from the City to the current Management Order being revoked and a
     new Management Order being issued with the power to lease.

   The proposal would then be lodged with the Department of Lands (DoL) to facilitate
   Revocation of the Management Order and Issue of new Management Order documentation
   through Landgate.

   Should the power to lease be granted, under section 18 of the Land Administration Act 1997
   it is a requirement that any and all leases proposed over the reserve be presented to the
   DoL in draft format prior to execution for the Minister of Lands’ in-principle approval in the
   first instance and again for final approval once executed.
With regard to the placement of a WRS on a Class ‘A’ reserve such as the regional foreshore for instance, the process to excise out a portion of the reserve would require it to go through both houses of Parliament in order to gain the power to lease of the newly created reserve.

The City would need to provide a formal submission with evidence of public consultation details. This evidence should include responses from the standard referral agencies (Department of Planning, Main Roads WA and other service agencies) with any adverse submissions to the proposal to show how the City has addressed the concerns/issues raised.

The public consultation process would need to be to the satisfaction of the Department of Lands;

1. DoL will prepare a submission to Director General for approval to advertise in accordance with section 42(5) of the LAA;
2. DoL to advertise in The West Australian for 30 day advertising period;
3. DoL to advise those Members of Parliament from both Houses (whose electorates the proposal lies in) of the impending advertisement & tabling of proposal;
4. After 30 days, DoL will prepare submission to the Minister for Lands for approval to table the proposal in both Houses of Parliament for 14 sitting days;
5. Upon the 14 sitting days being completed with no disallowances, DoL may proceed with registration of documents at Landgate.

The process to excise from Class A reserves can take up to 12 months and the proponent would be responsible for all costs associated with the proposal.

Risk

Risk Implications of Implementing Officer Recommendation
Nil

Risk Implications of Not Implementing Officer Recommendation
Nil

Comments

It is understood that the installation of a WRS is a means of improving the overall amenity of any reserve; however, it would appear that research into current installations in other local government areas questions the overarching benefit as the viability is primarily linked to the number of potential customers.

Currently, there are no reserves set aside under section 152 of the Land Administration Act 1997 with a Management Order issued in favour of the City with power to lease.

As WRS are immovable commercial entities connected to water and power, any installation would require revocation of the current reserve Management Order, necessitating an area of the reserve to be excised and a new Management Order issued with power to lease subject to Department of Lands’ approval.

Given the complex nature of the revocation process, the questionable environmental and commercial viability, while also acknowledging that the City currently provides public water fountains free of charge in most high visitation areas, it is not considered reasonable for the City to assume this process for the benefit of a private operator.

It is therefore recommended that Council not support the installation of WRS within City boundaries.

Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

\footnote{Correction of typographical error.}
### Officer Recommendation

That Council *NOT SUPPORT* the installation of a Water Refill Station within City boundaries.

### Committee Recommendation

**Moved Cr Elliott, seconded Cr Pease:**

That Council *SUPPORT* the concept of commercial water dispensing in public reserves subject to:

1. Any proposal being cost neutral or cost positive to the City.
2. The placement of water dispensing units be inline with normal park design considerations.

Committee Voting – 4/1  
(Cr R Smith voted against)

### The Committee’s Reason for Varying the Officer’s Recommendation

To enable investigation into the viability of the installation of Water Refill Stations within City boundaries.

### Implications of the Changes to the Officer’s Recommendation

Not Applicable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference No &amp; Subject:</th>
<th>EP-035/15</th>
<th>Delegated Authority for the Award of Tender T15/16-42 – Construction of the Safety Bay Road Principal Shared Path Stage One (Absolute Majority)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File No:</td>
<td></td>
<td>T15/16-42: RDS/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Register No:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proponent/s:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Aaron Baxter, Project Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Contributors:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Committee Meeting:</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 August 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of Interest:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Executive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Council's Role in this Matter:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Safety Bay Road (Warnbro Train Station to Mandurah Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lot Area:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Zoning:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRS Zoning:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps/Diagrams:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aerial Photo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONFIRMED AT A PLANNING AND ENGINEERING SERVICES MEETING HELD ON MONDAY 14 SEPTEMBER 2015
Purpose of Report

For Council to delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer to award tender T15/16-42 – Construction of the Safety Bay Road Principal Shared Path Stage One.

Background

The City’s Engineering Services Team have been consulting with OPUS Engineers and the Department of Transport (DoT) to bring about the Stage One construction of the Safety Bay Road Principal Shared Path during the 2015/2016 financial year. Designs and specifications for the project have been completed with the tender documents for this stage currently being compiled for advertising.

Details

The DoT is providing partial funding for the project that is to be staged over the next two years as a part of the Perth Bike Network (PBN) initiative. Stage One from Warnbro Train Station to the Mandurah Road roundabout consists of the 1.8km long path being constructed along the southern side of Safety Bay Road with extensive retaining walls and earthworks being required. Strict conditions and timeframes relating to the funding have been imposed by the DoT with construction of Stage One needing to be fully completed and ready for auditing by the 13 May 2016.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community
   Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies
   Consultation with the Department of Environmental Regulation has been carried out with regards to the clearing permit. The City is still awaiting the approval of the clearing permit.

c. Strategic
   Community Plan
   This item addresses the Community’s Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspirations and Strategic Objectives contained in the Community Plan 2015-2025:
**Aspiration B:** Strong Community

**Strategic Objective:** Services and Facilities – Community facilities and services that accommodate contemporary community expectation and are justified, well used, cost effective and, where appropriate, multi-functional.

**Aspiration C:** Quality Leadership

**Strategic Objective:** Infrastructure – Civic buildings, sporting facilities, public places and transport infrastructure planned, designed, constructed and maintained using best practice principles and life cycle cost analysis, and implemented in line with informed population growth analysis.

d. Policy

Purchasing Policy applies. To provide compliance with Section 3.57 of the Local Government Act 1995; and Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996, Part 4, Division 2, Regulation 11A (1).

e. Financial

An amount of $1,000,000 has been allocated in the 2015/2016 budget for the design and construction of the Safety Bay Road - Principal Shared Path, Stage One from Warnbro Train Station to Mandurah Road along with funding from Perth Bicycle Network Local Government Grants Program of $500,000 for 2015/2016. In the financial year 2016/2017 the City has budgeted a further $1,000,000 and along with $717,160 further funding from the Perth Bicycle Network Local Government Grants Program will complete Stage Two of the project from Mandurah Road to the Baldivis Town Centre.

f. Legal and Statutory


In accordance with section 5.42, subsection (1) read in conjunction with section 5.43 of the Local Government Act 1995.

‘A local government may delegate to the CEO the exercise of any of its powers or the discharge of any of its duties’.

g. Risk

**Risk Implications of Implementing Officer Recommendation**

Nil

**Risk Implications of Not Implementing Officer Recommendation**

Nil

### Comments

Current project scheduling indicates that the construction phase needs to commence by the end of October 2015 and is estimated to take 20 weeks to finish. This would indicate a construction completion date of early April 2016.

Taking this into consideration, there is a need for this delegation to ensure that the construction tender is awarded as soon as practical following tender closure and assessment. This will ensure that the provision of grant funding is not withdrawn because the project has not been completed within the necessary time frame, as directed by the Department of Transport.

### Voting Requirements

Absolute Majority

**Officer Recommendation**

That Council **DELEGATE** authority to the Chief Executive Officer to award Tender T15/16-42 – Construction of the Safety Bay Road Principal Shared Path Stage One, within the allocated project budget and tender requirements.
Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Hill, seconded Cr Hamblin

That Council **DELEGATE** authority to the Chief Executive Officer to award Tender T15/16-42 – Construction of the Safety Bay Road Principal Shared Path Stage One, within the allocated project budget and tender requirements.

Committee Voting – 5/0

The Committee’s Reason for Varying the Officer’s Recommendation

Not Applicable

Implications of the Changes to the Officer’s Recommendation

Not Applicable
13. **Reports of Councillors**
   - Nil

14. **Addendum Agenda**
   - Nil
15. Motions of which Previous Notice has been given

Planning and Development Services

Planning and Development Services

Health Services

| Reference No & Subject: PDS-055/15 Notice of Motion – Subsidising the Sterilisation of Domestic Cats |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| File No:                | PBH/29                                                                                                           |
| Risk Register No:       | Cr Allan Hill OAM JP                                                                                             |
| Proponent/s:            | Mr Michael Howes, Coordinator Ranger Services                                                                     |
| Author:                 |                                                                                                                  |
| Other Contributors:     |                                                                                                                  |
| Date of Committee Meeting: | 17 August 2015                                                                                                     |
| Previously before Council: |                                                                                                                 |
| Disclosure of Interest: | Executive                                                                                                         |
| Nature of Council’s Role in this Matter: | Executive                                                                                                          |
| Site:                   |                                                                                                                  |
| Lot Area:               |                                                                                                                  |
| Attachments:            |                                                                                                                  |
| Maps/Diagrams:         |                                                                                                                  |

Purpose of Report

To provide officer comment and advice on Cr Allan Hill’s Notice of Motion to investigate introducing a Domestic Cat Sterilisation Subsidy Program.

Background

Cr Hill submitted the following motion for consideration at the August 2015 meeting:

“That Council:

1) **SUPPORT** the concept of subsidising the sterilisation of domestic cats for low income residents; and

2) **DIRECT** the CEO to prepare a proposal for further consideration by Council that details the costs, legal ramifications, logistics and draft policy principles of a ‘Domestic Cat Sterilisation Subsidy Program’.”
Details

Cat Sterilisation Subsidy Programs have been introduced by numerous other Local Governments and Animal Foundations throughout Western Australia. These programs take different forms but the dominate method of running the program is to provide a refund to the owner once a cat has been sterilised at the owners expense.

Depending on the anticipated take-up of the program there will need to be an allocation of funds that have not currently been assigned from the 2015/2016 budget.

Implications to Consider

a. Consultation with the Community
   Nil

b. Consultation with Government Agencies
   Nil

c. Strategic
   Community Plan
   This item addresses the Community’s Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspiration and Strategic Objective contained in the Community Plan 2015-2025:
   
   **Aspiration B:** Strong Community

   **Strategic Objective:** Mobility and Inclusion - Community services, programs and infrastructure that effectively cater for seniors, youth and vulnerable populations.

d. Policy
   Not Applicable

e. Financial
   Should the Notice of Motion be supported by Council, the investigation of the proposal and draft policy principles will be undertaken and prepared through existing staff resources.

f. Legal and Statutory
   Not Applicable

g. Risk
   Risk Implications of Implementing Officer Recommendation
   Nil

   Risk Implications of Not Implementing Officer Recommendation
   Nil

Comments

A detailed investigation will be required to be undertaken to determine if there is a need in the community for this program. The investigation will include which form the program should take, if the program will be well utilised by the community and the amount of funds that should be allocated to the program.

The City currently supports the sterilisation of domestic cats and dogs for low income residents by way of an annual donation of $500 to the Ruby Benjamin Animal Foundation. This Foundation assists low income earners by providing subsidies for the sterilisation of cats and dogs.

The Cat Haven also provides a discounted sterilisation price for Pension and Health Care Card holders.

Both of these assistance programs are currently available to eligible residents within the City of Rockingham.
Numerous other Local Governments also provide a subsidy scheme and these will be investigated further as part of the investigation.

### Voting Requirements

Simple Majority

### Officer Recommendation

That Council:

1. **SUPPORT** the concept of subsidising the sterilisation of domestic cats for low income residents subject to further investigation; and
2. **DIRECT** the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a proposal for further consideration by Council that details the costs, legal ramifications, logistics and draft policy principles of a "Domestic Cat Sterilisation Subsidy Program".

### Notice of Motion from Cr Hill

That Council:

1. **SUPPORT** the concept of subsidising the sterilisation of domestic cats for low income residents; and
2. **DIRECT** the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a proposal for further consideration by Council that details the costs, legal ramifications, logistics and draft policy principles of a "Domestic Cat Sterilisation Subsidy Program".

### Committee Recommendation

Moved Cr Hill, seconded Cr Elliott:

That Council:

1. **SUPPORT** the concept of subsidising the sterilisation of domestic cats for low income residents; and
2. **DIRECT** the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a proposal for further consideration by Council that details the costs, legal ramifications, logistics and draft policy principles of a "Domestic Cat Sterilisation Subsidy Program".

Committee Voting – 5/0

### The Committee’s Reason for Varying the Officer’s Recommendation

Not Applicable

### Implications of the Changes to the Officer’s Recommendation

Not Applicable

4.55pm - Mr Ian Daniels, Manager Engineering Services departed the Planning and Engineering Services Committee meeting.
### Reference No & Subject:
- **EP-036/15** Notice of Motion – Lake Walyungup Car Park Expansion and Bin Provision

### File No:
- **R/23780**

### Risk Register No:

### Proponent/s:
- Cr Matthew Whitfield

### Author:
- Mr James Henson, Manager Parks Development

### Other Contributors:
- Ms Natalie Elliott, Environmental Projects Officer

### Date of Committee Meeting:
- 17 August 2015

### Site:
- Lake Walyungup, Safety Bay

### Lot Area:
- 64 Hectares

### Attachments:

#### Maps/Diagrams:
1. Management zone mapping
2. Tenure mapping
3. Tenure arrangement table

### Purpose of Report

To provide Officer comment and advice on Cr Matthew Whitfield’s Notice of Motion seeking support for the CEO to write to the Department of Parks and Wildlife (DPaW) requesting improvements to the Lake Walyungup car park on Safety Bay Road.

### Background

Cr Whitfield submitted the following motion for consideration at the August 2015 Council meeting:

"That Council **DIRECT** the CEO to write to the Department of Parks and Wildlife requesting the following:

1. That the car park at Lake Walyungup on Safety Bay Road be expanded to accommodate more parking bays.
2. That at least one bin be provided at the Lake Walyungup car park."
Details

The Rockingham Lakes Regional Park Management Plan covers the unique Rockingham-Becher Plain, from the coastal promontories of Cape Peron and Port Kennedy, to the wetlands of Lakes Cooloongup and Walyungup.

This area is significant for its geomorphic landforms because the distinct parallel sand ridges indicate the positions of former shorelines, providing a record of sea level changes over the past 7,000 years. Wetlands have formed in between the sand ridges and these are also significant because they form part of an evolutionary time sequence and support unique vegetation communities.

The Park also contains significant flora and fauna, including two Threatened Ecological Communities, sedgelands in Holocene dune swales and thrombolites at Lake Richmond. In addition, the woodlands and wetlands around Lake Cooloongup, Lake Walyungup, Tamworth Hill, Tamworth Hill Swamp, Anstey and Paganoni Swamps are important remnants of ecosystems that were once widespread on the Swan Coastal Plain.

Lake Walyungup itself covers an area of approximately 64 hectares and is bordered by Ennis Avenue on the west, Safety Bay Road to the north and Mandurah Road to the east. The area is a Crown Land parcel and currently managed by DPaW on behalf of the Western Australian Planning Commission. The Lake is an extensive landmark, highly visible from adjoining roads and the Perth – Mandurah Railway which passes to the west.

As urban development in the area continues, it is an important remnant rural landscape, used for walking and nature observation, as well as for model aeroplane flying and land yacht sailing, which are currently permitted and authorised under seasonal permits from Department of Environment Regulation (DER).

The following mapping shows the management zones identified within the Rockingham Lakes Regional Park Management Plan.
1. Management zone mapping
The following table identifies the current tenure arrangements outlined in the 2010 Rockingham Lakes Regional Park Management Plan.
3. Tenure Arrangements Table

### Implications to Consider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Consultation with the Community</th>
<th>Nil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consultation with Government Agencies</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strategic</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This item addresses the Community's Vision for the future and specifically the following Aspirations and Strategic Objectives contained in the Community Plan 2015-2025:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Aspiration B:</strong> Strong Community</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Strategic Objective:</strong> Services and Facilities - Community facilities and services that accommodate contemporary community expectation and are justified, well used, cost-effective and, where appropriate, multi-functional.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Aspiration C:</strong> Quality Leadership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Strategic Objective:</strong> Community engagement and advocacy: An engaged and informed community that participates in local decision making and can rely upon the Council to advocate on its behalf when important issues challenge the best interests of the City and its residents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|    | Policy                        | Nil |
|    | Financial                    | Nil |
f. Legal and Statutory
  - Nil

g. Risk
  - Risk Implications of Implementing Officer Recommendation
    - Nil
  - Risk Implications of Not Implementing Officer Recommendation
    - Nil

**Comments**

While the park has undoubtedly high nature conservation values and contains some popular recreation areas, it is at the same time beset by a number of critical management problems, such as vegetation degradation, widespread weed invasion and feral animal impacts.

This issue coupled with the lack of facilities at the lake has restricted the level and diversity of recreational use. The small parking area and several pedestrian gates are located at the northern end of the lake and while the recreational focus has been on preserving the natural character of the area there may also be an opportunity to further rationalise existing infrastructure with a view to allocate appropriate facilities and services to those areas of the lake best able to accommodate them in a sustainable manner.

It is therefore recommended that the Chief Executive Officer write to the Department of Parks and Wildlife to ascertain the environmental and recreational planning initiatives proposed for the lake area in the long term. This initiative would include requesting an expansion of the northern car park on Safety Bay Road and a refuse bin which could well be serviced by the City.

**Voting Requirements**

Simple Majority

**Officer Recommendation**

That Council **DIRECT** the Chief Executive Officer to write to the Department of Parks and Wildlife requesting an expansion to the Lake Walyungup car park and the provision of a waste receptacle.

**Notice of Motion from Cr Whitfield**

That Council **DIRECT** the Chief Executive Officer to write to the Department of Parks and Wildlife requesting the following:

1. That the car park at Lake Walyungup on Safety Bay Road be expanded to accommodate more parking bays.
2. That at least one bin be provided at the Lake Walyungup Car Park.

**Committee Recommendation**

Moved Cr Elliott, seconded Cr Hill:

That Council **SUPPORT** improved carparking and rubbish management at the Lake Walyungup carpark access area.

Committee Voting – 5/0

**The Committee’s Reason for Varying the Officer’s Recommendation**

To improve carparking and rubbish management at the Lake Walyungup carpark access area.

**Implications of the Changes to the Officer’s Recommendation**

Not Applicable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Notices of Motion for Consideration at the Following Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urgent Business Approved by the Person Presiding or by Decision of the Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matters Behind Closed Doors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date and Time of Next Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The next Planning and Engineering Services Committee Meeting will be held on <strong>Monday 14 September 2015</strong> in the Council Boardroom, Council Administration Building, Civic Boulevard, Rockingham. The meeting will commence at 4:00pm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There being no further business, the Chairperson thanked those persons present for attending the Planning and Engineering Services Committee meeting, and declared the meeting closed at <strong>5.04pm</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>