

1 INTRODUCTION

The City of Rockingham contains a significant collection of heritage places as identified in its Municipal Heritage Inventory. Heritage places are identified as places having cultural heritage significance to the local community.

Places considered worthy of protection are identified on the Heritage List established under Town Planning Scheme No.2. A copy of the Heritage List is attached as Appendix 1.

This Policy:

- Applies the development control principles contained in the State Planning Policy 3.5 - Historic Heritage Conservation;
- Provides development and design guidance for development of places in the Heritage List established pursuant to the City of Rockingham Town Planning Scheme No.2; and
- Details procedures for making applications for approval of heritage-related development.

2 POLICY APPLICATION

This Policy applies to all places entered in the Heritage List pursuant to Town Planning Scheme No.2.

Aboriginal heritage is protected by the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*. This Policy does not apply to the conservation of aboriginal heritage, except in cases where aboriginal heritage places are entered into the Heritage List or a designated Heritage Area.

3 POLICY OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the Policy are:-

- (a) to conserve and protect places of cultural heritage significance within the City of Rockingham;
- (b) to ensure that development does not adversely affect the significance of heritage places;.
- (c) to ensure that heritage significance is given due weight in decision making for applications for Development Approval; and
- (d) to provide greater certainty to landowners and the community about the planning processes for heritage identification and protection in the City of Rockingham.

4 POLICY STATEMENT

4.1 Relevant Considerations for Development Approval

In considering any development applications in relation to a place entered in the Heritage List, the City will apply and have regard to:-

- (a) the conservation and protection of any place or area that has been registered in the State Register of Heritage Places under the Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990, or is the subject of a Conservation Order under the Act;



- (b) the conservation and protection of any place which is included in the Heritage List under Clause 8 of the deemed provisions of the City of Rockingham Town Planning Scheme No.2;
- (c) whether the proposed development will adversely affect the significance of any heritage place, including adverse effect resulting from the location, bulk, form or appearance of the proposed development;
- (d) the level of heritage significance of the place, based on a relevant heritage assessment;
- (e) measures proposed to conserve the heritage significance of the place and its setting;
- (f) the structural condition of a place, and whether a place is reasonably capable of conservation;
- (g) whether the place is capable of adaptation to a new use which will enable its retention and conservation; and
- (h) State Planning Policy 3.5 - Historic Heritage Conservation.

4.2 Development Control Principles

The following 'development control principles' must be applied in considering applications for Development Approval in relation to a place entered in the Heritage List under the City of Rockingham Town Planning Scheme No.2. The weight given to heritage as a consideration will vary, depending on the degree of significance of a place or area, and relevant economic, social or environmental factors that may apply.

Alterations, extensions or change of use affecting a heritage place

- (a) Development should conserve and protect the cultural heritage significance of a heritage place based on respect for the existing building or structure, and the least possible change to the significant fabric.
- (b) Alterations and additions to a heritage place should not detract from its significance and should be compatible with the siting, scale, architectural style and form, materials and external finishes of the place. Compatibility requires additions or alterations to work with the original fabric rather than simply copying or mimicking it.
- (c) In some cases, the conservation and protection of a heritage place may require a change of use to ensure a reasonable beneficial use or return. Sympathetic adaptation and change of use should be supported in such cases.
- (d) Development should be in accordance with any Planning Policies relating to heritage.
- (e) Where a Conservation Plan has been prepared for a place, development must be in accordance with the conservation policies under the Conservation Plan.

Demolition of a heritage place (including a place within a heritage area)

- (a) Demolition of a heritage place with exceptional or considerable significance is rarely appropriate and should require the strongest justification. Demolition of a heritage place with moderate or low significance should be avoided wherever possible, although there may be circumstances where demolition is justified. The onus rests with the applicant to provide a clear justification.



- (b) Demolition approval should not be expected simply because redevelopment is a more attractive economic proposition, or because a building has been neglected. Consideration of a demolition proposal should be based upon the significance of the building or place; the feasibility of restoring or adapting it, or incorporating it into new development; and the extent to which the community would benefit from the proposed redevelopment.

Any person or organisation who is considering or proposing to develop or alter a heritage place should seek advice from a qualified heritage architect or heritage consultant prior to progressing any application.

4.3 Level of Significance

The level of heritage significance of a place is one of the matters considered in determining an application.

A level of significance, based on the Management Categories of the Municipal Heritage Inventory, has been applied to each place on the Heritage List.

The requirements for each Management Category is included in the following table.

| Management Category | Level of Significance | Description | Required outcome |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| A | Exceptional significance | Essential to the heritage of the locality. Rare or outstanding example. Recommended for inclusion on the State Register of Heritage Places | The place should be retained and conserved. Any alterations or extensions should reinforce the significance of the place, and be in accordance with a Conservation Plan (if one exists for the place) |
| B | Considerable significance | Very important to the heritage of the locality. High degree of integrity/authenticity | Conservation of the place is highly desirable. Any alterations or extensions should reinforce the significance of the place. All works are to be supported by a detailed Heritage Impact Statement. |
| C | Some / Moderate significance | Contributes to the heritage of the locality. Has some altered or modified elements, not necessarily detracting from the overall significance of the item. | Conservation of the place is desirable. Any alterations or extensions should reinforce the significance of the place, and original fabric should be retained wherever feasible. All works are to be supported by a detailed Heritage Impact Statement. An Archival Record is to be prepared prior to any significant alterations to the place, in accordance with Heritage Council Standards. |

| Management Category | Level of Significance | Description | Required outcome |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| D | Little significance | Significant, but not essential to an understanding of the history of the district. | <p>Photographically record prior to major development or demolition.</p> <p>An Archival Record is to be prepared prior to any significant alterations to the place, in accordance with Heritage Council Standards.</p> <p>Recognise and interpret the site if possible. Interpretation of the site should be through a plaque, place name, or acknowledgement in new urban or architectural design.</p> |
| E | Historic Site | Historic site. Recognise - for example, with a plaque, place name, or acknowledge in new urban or architectural design. | <p>Recognise and interpret the site if possible. Interpretation of the site should be through a plaque, place name, or acknowledgement in new urban or architectural design.</p> |

4.4 Variation to Site and Development Standards

Clause 11 of the deemed provisions of Town Planning Scheme No.2 provides the Council with the ability to vary any site or development requirement specified in the Scheme or the Residential Design Codes to facilitate the conservation of a place listed in the Heritage List.

The City may consider varying one or more of the following site or development requirements set out in the Scheme and *State Planning Policy 3.1 - Residential Design Codes of Western Australia* (“R-Codes”) where it involves the conservation of a building on the Heritage List.

In accordance with Clause 5.1.1 of the R-Codes, local government may support the creation of a lot of a lesser area or approving a minimum site area of a grouped dwelling on a site area lesser than that specified on Table 1 of the R-Codes provided the proposed variation is no more than 5% less in area than that specified on Table 1.

The City may consider variations to other development requirements to the Scheme or R-Codes not listed above.

Any proposed variation to site and development standards will only be permitted where the proposed variation is consistent with the general and specific objectives of the Scheme and the objectives of the zone. Furthermore, variations will only be considered where there is a beneficial conservation outcome for the heritage place.

For any variation to site and development requirements under the R-Codes, the City shall give notice to nearby owners and occupiers who, in the opinion of the City, are likely to be affected by the proposal, shall be notified of the proposal in writing, and provided with an opportunity to comment on the proposed variations to the site and development requirements.



4.5 Incentives for Heritage Conservation

Not-for-profit clubs, groups and organisations that are providing economic, social, community or environmental services may be eligible for minor grants and sundry donations for heritage and conservation incentives under the City's *Community Grants Program Policy*. For further information about applying for a Minor Grant or a Sundry Donation under the *Community Grants Program Policy*, contact the City's Community Capacity Building Services.

Other incentives provided by other organisations, such as grants and tax rebates, may apply for proposals to conserve heritage places. These are offered by the Heritage Council of Western Australia, the Federal Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities, Lotterywest and The National Trust of Australia (WA). Contact the City's Planning Services and the State Heritage Office for assistance in determining what grants may be available.

4.6 Heritage Agreements

Clause 10 of the deemed provisions of Town Planning Scheme No.2 allows the Council to enter into a Heritage Agreement with an owner or occupier of land or building, pertaining to a heritage place.

Heritage Agreements will be required where a development proposal seeks to improve a heritage place, particularly where a site or development standard is varied under section 4.4 of this Policy.

Where a caveatable agreement is proposed, it shall be prepared by the City's solicitors at no cost to the applicant. The agreement is usually binding to successors in Title.

4.7 Procedure for Adding, Deleting or Amending Entries in the Local Heritage List

The procedures for adding places to the Heritage List are set out in Clause 8 of the deemed provisions of the Town Planning Scheme No.2. The City may consider amending the Heritage List over time in the following circumstances:

| Event | City Policy |
|---|---|
| A place is found to be significant in a new or reviewed Municipal Heritage Inventory | The City will consider inclusion of a place in the Heritage List if the findings of a new or reviewed Municipal Heritage Inventory supports it. |
| A place is nominated for inclusion in the Municipal Heritage Inventory by the owner or a member of the public | The City will consider inclusion of a place in the Heritage List if assessment documentation to the required standard is provided by the nominator. If not, consideration will be deferred until a review of the Heritage List is scheduled. |
| A Heritage Impact Statement is prepared in conjunction with a development proposal | The City may consider inclusion of a place in the Heritage List if a Heritage Impact Statement is prepared: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As part of a Heritage Impact Statement submitted by an applicant, or • By the City at its own expense. <p>Even the most thorough Inventory will have some gaps in it, and over time the need will arise to assess non-listed places that appear potentially significant.</p> |



| Event | City Policy |
|--|---|
| A place is demolished, or substantially damaged or destroyed | The City will consider removing a place from the Heritage List if it is demolished or is damaged or destroyed, to the extent that its significance is lost. |

The City will retain a record of all places destroyed, demolished and/or removed from the Heritage List to monitor the outcomes of this Policy.

5 APPLICATION PROCEDURE

Applications for Development Approval for the development or demolition of places entered on the Heritage List under Town Planning Scheme No.2, shall be made on the form prescribed by the City, and shall be signed by the owner(s), and accompanied by the following information:-

- (a) A written submission describing the nature of the proposal, and which includes confirmation that the requirements of this Planning Policy can be achieved;
- (b) A break-up of the cost of the development, itemising a schedule of conservation works;
- (c) Such plans and other information that the City may reasonably require to enable the application to be determined. Refer to Clause 63 of the deemed provisions of Town Planning Scheme No.2;
- (d) In addition to the above information, the City may require an applicant to provide one or more of the following to assist the City in the determination of an application.

Heritage Impact Statement

A Heritage Impact Statement is a brief, independent evaluation by a heritage architect or heritage consultant. It is not to be confused with a Heritage Council Heritage Assessment or a Conservation Plan, which are more extensive and detailed documents.

If a proposal is likely to have a substantial impact on the exterior fabric of a place in the Heritage List, the City may require a Heritage Impact Statement to be submitted addressing three main questions:

- How will the proposed works affect the significance of the place?
- What alternatives have been considered to ameliorate any adverse impacts?
- Will the proposal result in any heritage conservation benefits that might offset any adverse impacts?

Conservation Plan

If a proposal affects a place that is entered in the State Register of Heritage Places, or a large or complex place of exceptional significance, the City may require a Conservation Plan to be prepared. Such cases will be rare.

A Conservation Plan is a guiding document for the conservation and future use of a place, and is prepared in accordance with the Heritage Council's *Conservation Plan Study Brief: Introduction to Conservation Plans*.

Structural condition assessment in the case of demolition

If structural failure is cited as a justification for the demolition of a place in the Heritage List, evidence should be provided from a registered structural engineer that the structural integrity of the building has failed, to the point where it cannot be rectified without removal of a majority of its significant fabric and/or prohibitive costs.

Archival recording in the case of demolition

If a proposal is for the demolition of a place entered in the Heritage List, or entered in the Municipal Heritage Inventory, the Council may require the applicant as a condition of approval to submit an archival record of the place, prior to the commencement of development.

The archival record is to be in accordance with the Heritage Council's standard for archival recording, and lodged with the City and the Rockingham District Historical Society.

- (e) The payment of an Administration Fee as detailed in the City's Scale of Fees for Planning Services.

6 AUTHORITY

This Planning Policy has been adopted by the City under Clause 4 of the deemed provisions of Town Planning Scheme No.2 and whilst it is not part of the Scheme and does not bind the City in respect of any application for Development Approval, the City is to have due regard to the provisions of the Policy and the objectives which the Policy is designed to achieve before making its determination.

7 INTERPRETATIONS

For the purposes of this Planning Policy, the following terms shall have the same meaning as in Town Planning Scheme No.2:

Conservation: *means, in relation to any place or Heritage Precinct, the management of that place or precinct in a manner that will:-*

- (a) *enable the cultural heritage significance of that place or precinct to be retained; and*
- (b) *yield the greatest sustainable benefit for the present community without diminishing the cultural heritage significance of that place or precinct,*

and may include the preservation, stabilisation, protection, restoration, reconstruction, adaption and maintenance of that place or precinct having due regard to relevant professional standards and the provision of an appropriate visual setting.

Council: *means the Council of the City of Rockingham.*

Cultural Heritage Significance: *means the relative value which that place or precinct has in terms of its aesthetic, historic, scientific or social significance, for the present community and future generation.*



Heritage List: *means a list of those places which, in the opinion of the Council, are of such cultural heritage significance to the Council that conservation and protection under the provisions of this Scheme is warranted.*

Place: *means an area of land sufficiently identified by survey, description or otherwise as to be readily ascertainable and includes:-*

- (a) an area of land situated below low water mark on the seashore or on the bank of tidal waters, or in the bed of any watercourse, lake or estuary;*
- (b) any works or building situated there, their contents relevant to the purpose of this Scheme and such of their immediate surroundings as may be required for the purposes of the conservation of those works or buildings; and*
- (c) as much of the land beneath the place as is required for the purposes of its conservation.*

For the purposes of this Planning Policy, the following terms shall have the same meaning as in the Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990:-

Conservation Order: *means an Order made under section 59 of the Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990.*

Heritage Council: *means the Heritage Council of Western Australia established pursuant to section 5 of the Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990.*

State Register: *means the Register of Heritage Places compiled pursuant to section 46 of the Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990.*

8 DELEGATION

Unless otherwise determined by the Manager of Statutory Planning, applications for Development Approval for development of a place on a Heritage List under the Scheme with a Management Category of "B", "C" or "D" and demolition of a place with a Management Category of "D", which comply in all respects with the objectives and provisions of this Planning Policy will be dealt with under delegated authority, pursuant to Clause 83 of the deemed provisions of Town Planning Scheme No.2 and Planning Procedure 1.1 - Delegated Authority.

All other applications for Development Approval for the development or demolition of a place on a Heritage List will be referred to the Council for determination.

9 ADOPTION

This Planning Policy was adopted by the Council at its ordinary Meeting held on the 25th March 2008 and modified by Council on the 26 June 2018.



APPENDIX 1

| Heritage List (under Clause 8 (1) of the Deemed Provisions in Town Planning Scheme No.2) | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| LGA Place No. | Name | Address | Owner/ Manager | Management Category | Council Adoption Date | Reason for Entry |
| 1 | Baldivis Primary School | Reserve 23952, Lot 1376 (No.342) Baldivis Road, Baldivis | City of Rockingham | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value, is representative and is rare. |
| 2 | Baldivis Reserve | 342 Baldivis Road, Baldivis | City of Rockingham | C | April 2018 | The place has historic and social value. |
| 3 | Group Settler's Home | Lot 100 (No.118) Fifty Road. Baldivis | Ms A O Pugh | C | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 4 | Limestone Quarry | Lot 500 Chesterfield Road, East Rockingham | Landcorp | A | September 2012 | The place has historic, social and scientific value. |
| 5 | Chesterfield Inn (fmr) * | Lot 103 Alloy Avenue / Chesterfield Road, East Rockingham | Landcorp | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 6 | Chesterfield Dairy (fmr) * <i>Also known as Chesterfield House and Rockingham Arms</i> | Lot 103 Alloy Avenue / Chesterfield Road, East Rockingham | Landcorp | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value, and is representative. |
| 7 | Day Cottage (ruin) * <i>Also known as Ellendale</i> | Lot 1 (No.27) Day Road, East Rockingham | L J & M E Pike | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic, scientific and social value, and is rare. |
| 9 | East Rockingham Heritage Precinct | Mandurah Road, East Rockingham | Various Owners | A | April 2018 | Contains numerous places of heritage significance. |
| 10 | East Rockingham Cemetery * | Reserve 841, Lot 3095 (No.231) Mandurah Road, East Rockingham | City of Rockingham | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value, and is rare. |

| LGA Place No. | Name | Address | Owner/ Manager | Management Category | Council Adoption Date | Reason for Entry |
|---------------|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 11 | Hymus House * | Lot 804 (No.303) Mandurah Road, East Rockingham | Giacci Holdings Pty Ltd | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value, and is representative. |
| 12 | Bell Cottage (ruin) * <i>Also known as Woodbine</i> | Lot 9500 (No.371) Mandurah Road, East Rockingham | Trecap Pty Ltd | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value, and is representative |
| 14 | Rockingham Cairn | Reserve 22618, Lot 439 Rockingham Beach Road, East Rockingham | City of Rockingham | B | March 2008 | The place has social value. |
| 15 | Kwinana Grain Terminal Granary Museum and Jetty | Lots 1304 and 1585 Rockingham Beach Road, East Rockingham | Co-operative Bulk Handling Pty Ltd | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 17 | Z-Force Memorial (1) | Dampier Road, Garden Island | City of Rockingham | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and social value. |
| 18 | Garden Island Batteries | Garden Island, Garden Island | City of Rockingham | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 21 | Cliff Point Historic Site <i>Also known as Foundations of Stirlings Hut, Well at Sulphur Bay and Sulphur Town</i> | Sulphur Bay, Garden Island | City of Rockingham | A | May 2008 | The place has historic and research value. |
| 22 | Peelhurst (ruins) <i>Also known as Thomas Peel Jnr's Homestead</i> | Lot 40 (No.178) Dampier Drive, Golden Bay | City of Rockingham | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value, and is representative. |
| 23 | Abattoir and Stables | Cnr Dixon Road and Darile Street, East Rockingham | City of Rockingham | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic, scientific and social value, is representative and is rare. |

| LGA Place No. | Name | Address | Owner/ Manager | Management Category | Council Adoption Date | Reason for Entry |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 24 | Paganoni Swamp | Lot 178 & 180 Paganoni Road, Karnup | City of Rockingham | D | April 2018 | The place has aesthetic and social value. |
| 25 | Alfred Hines Seaside Homes (fmr) | Lot 1786 (No.1) Hymus Street, Peron | Crippled Children Seaside Home Society Inc | B | May 2008 | The place has aesthetic and social value. |
| 27 | Cape Peron Battery Complex | Reserve 48968 Point Peron Road, Peron | Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 28 | Turtle Factory | Lot 3 Point Peron Road, Peron | Minister of Transport | C | March 2008 | The place has social value. |
| 29 | Point Peron Recreational Camp | Reserve 48968 Point Peron Road, Peron | Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions | C | May 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 32 | Port Kennedy Scientific Park | Reserve 44077, Lots 138 and 216 Port Kennedy Drive, Port Kennedy | Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions | C | May 2008 | The place has aesthetic and scientific value. |
| 33 | Rockingham Beach Primary School | Reserve 21181, Lot 321 (No.) Bay View Street, Rockingham | Department of Education | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value, and is representative. |
| 34 | Rockingham Park Underpass | Centaurus Street, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | C | March 2008 | The places have historic and social value. |
| 35 | Rockingham Park Kindergarten (fmr) | Lot 716 Centaurus Street, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | C | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 36 | Residence | Lot 10 (No.3) Chalwell Street, Rockingham | Roving Enterprises Pty Ltd | C | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 38 | Z-Force Memorial (2) | Reserve 22779, Lot 444 Esplanade, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and social value. |



| LGA Place No. | Name | Address | Owner/ Manager | Management Category | Council Adoption Date | Reason for Entry |
|---------------|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 39 | Illuka | Lot 1 (No.13) Esplanade, Rockingham | Mr D W & E S Treloar | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 40 | Carinya Court | Lot 1 (No.153) Esplanade, Rockingham | Various Owners | B | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 42 | Rockingham Oval and memorial | Reserve 48927, Lot 500 Flinders Lane, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | C | March 2008 | The place has historic and social value. |
| 44 | Uniting Church | Lot 1(No.11) Florence Street, Rockingham | Mr I G & Ms R L Siggers | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 45 | Rockingham Fire Station (fmr) | Lot 393 (No.4) Hebron Street, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | C | April 2018 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 49 | Rockingham Hotel Trees and Walls | Lot 99 (No.26-40) Kent Street, Rockingham | Mirco Bros Pty Ltd | C | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 50 | Rockingham Hotel | Lot 99 (No.26-40) Kent Street, Rockingham | Mirco Bros Pty Ltd | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 51 | Roads Boards Office (fmr) <i>Also known as Rockingham Districts Historical Society and Rockingham Museum</i> | Lot 53 (No.41) Kent Street, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 52 | Anglican Church <i>Also known as St Nicholas Church</i> | 63-65 Kent Street, Rockingham | Various Owners | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 54 | Lake Richmond | Reserve 9458, Lots 18 and 1596 and Reserve 47145, Lot 8001 Safety Bay Road, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | A | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, social, scientific and historic value. |

| LGA Place No. | Name | Address | Owner/ Manager | Management Category | Council Adoption Date | Reason for Entry |
|---------------|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 56 | Lakeside (also known as Lucy Saw Home) | Lot 16 (No.65) Parkin Street, Rockingham | Mr L Thomas & W Howard | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 60 | Sutton Residence (fmr) | Lot 183 (No.30) Rae Road, Rockingham | Mrs L J Forster | B | April 2018 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 62 | Founder's Memorial | Railway Terrace, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and social value. |
| 66 | Bell and Churchill Parks | Reserve 22568, Lots 148 and 149 Rockingham Beach Road, Rockingham | City of Rockingham | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 70 | Revered Purdy's Residence (fmr) | Lot 28 (No.67) Rockingham Beach Road, Rockingham | Mandall Pty Ltd | C | April 2018 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 72 | Masonic Hall | Lot 643 corner Emma and Wanliss Streets, Rockingham | Rockingham Masonic Lodge | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 73 | Safety Bay Butchers Shop (fmr) | Lot 1 (No.44) Penguin Road, Shoalwater | Mr G N Burns | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic, historic and social value. |
| 74 | Residence | Lot 56 (No.72A) Penguin Road, Safety Bay | Mr J McCracken | C | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 75 | Residence | Lot 10 (No.188) Safety Bay Road, Safety Bay | Mr S J Milne | C | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 76 | Sorrento Guest House (fmr) <i>Also known as Koolama Flats</i> | Lot 157 (No.211) Safety Bay Road, Safety Bay | J I May | B | April 2018 | The place has aesthetic, historic, social and rarity value. |
| 77 | Residence | Lot 3 (No.218) Safety Bay Road, Safety Bay | Mr G T & Mrs D L Clark | D | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |



| LGA Place No. | Name | Address | Owner/ Manager | Management Category | Council Adoption Date | Reason for Entry |
|---------------|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 78 | A.J.H Watts Land Sales Office (fmr) Also known as Glenway Realty | Lot 123 (No.229) Safety Bay Road, Safety Bay | Mr R B Mason | B | March 2008 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 80 | Residence | Lot 10 (No.250) Safety Bay Road, Safety Bay | S M Pass & Mr T Pass | C | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 81 | Residence | Lot 22 (No.274) Safety Bay Road, Safety Bay | C Galbraith & V Galbraith | D | May 2011 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 83 | St George's Church | Lot 9 (No.1-3) Thomas Street, Safety Bay | Perth Diocesan Trustees | C | April 2018 | The place has historic, social and aesthetic value. |
| 84 | Residence | Lot 257 (No.21) Waimea Road, Safety Bay | Mr N C Chapman | C | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 86 | Residence | Lot 2 (No.118) Arcadia Drive, Shoalwater | Mr M A Goodman | C | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 87 | Residence | Lot 11 (No.124) Arcadia Drive, Shoalwater | Olisch Holdings Pty Ltd | C | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 88 | Mersey Point | Reserve 22948, Lot 24 (No.153) Arcadia Drive, Shoalwater | City of Rockingham | C | March 2008 | The place has historic and social value. |
| 89 | Aloha and Oahu | Lots 31 and 42 (No.166-168) Arcadia Drive, Shoalwater | Various Owners | D | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 91 | Penguin Island Kitchen, Store Cave & Well | Penguin Island, Shoalwater | City of Rockingham | A | April 2018 | The place has aesthetic, historic, social and research value. |
| 93 | CWA Centre | Lot 174 (No.12) Watts Road, Shoalwater | Country Womens Association | B | December 2010 | The place has historic and social value. |



| LGA Place No. | Name | Address | Owner/ Manager | Management Category | Council Adoption Date | Reason for Entry |
|---------------|-----------|---|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 94 | Residence | Lot 261 (No.623) Safety Bay Road, Waikiki | Mr S P Byrne | D | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |
| 95 | Residence | Lot 550 (No.5) Martell Street, Warnbro | Mr D D Howell | D | December 2010 | The place has aesthetic and historic value. |

* Heritage places marked with an asterisk are to be conserved on the basis of either incorporation as part of future industrial development or for removal and relocation elsewhere in the municipality (see Schedule A Clause 8(5) of the deemed provisions of Town Planning Scheme No.2).

This Heritage List was adopted by the Council under clause 5.4.2 of Town Planning Scheme No.2 at its ordinary Meeting held on the 25th March 2008, and modified by Council at ordinary Meetings held on the 14th December 2010, 27th April 2011, 28th June 2011, 25th September 2012, and 26 April 2018.